

The New Democrats are a

MEAN,
EVIL &
VIOLENT
Political Party

The Democrat Party of your father, grandfathers, FDR, and JFK is now the Party of dangerous, violent, elite, radical, socialists.

A project of FedUp PAC

The New Democrats want open borders, higher taxes for all, slavery reparations, to abolish all private health insurance policies, to forbid use of fossil fuels, to ban private ownership of guns, to close charter schools, and to pack the Supreme Court with 15 justices. They want to allow babies to be killed shortly before birth or after birth, and allow men in women's bathrooms and showers. The New Democrats are openly anti-God, and the list goes on and on. Visit www.meanandevil.com to add to our list.

If the New Democrats win the White House and Congress in November 2020, the changes they will instigate will make America resemble Cuba and Venezuela more than the America we grew up with.

Table of Contents

Chairman's Note

Introduction

1 - The Mean & Evil Duo of Joe Biden & Kamala Harris

2 - Democrats: Mean & Evil Political Candidates

3 - Mean & Evil: The Clintons

4 - Democrats: Perfecting the Art of Mean, Evil & Violent Politics

5 - Democrats: Mean & Evil on Guns and Your Right to Bear Arms

6 - Democrats: Mean & Evil on Immigration & Open Borders

7 - Democrats: Mean & Evil Anti-Christian Programs

8 - Democrats: Mean & Evil Toward Christians

9 - Democrats: Mean & Evil Toward Catholics

10 - Democrats: Mean & Evil Toward Jews

11 - How the Mean & Evil Democrats Use Intimidation and Violence

12 - Democrats: Mean & Evil on The Gender Hoax

13 - Democrats: The Mean & Evil Destruction of Justice and the Courts

14 - The Mean, Evil & Violent Recasting of "The Land of the Free" To
"Racist America"

15 - Democrats: Mean & Evil on Abortion

16 - Conclusion

If the New Democrats win control of Congress and the White House this November 3rd, they will quickly turn America into a one-party socialist/Marxist dictatorship. Republicans will never again have the power of the presidency or control Congress.

By December 2021, they will pack the Supreme Court and it will be permanently in the control of the Far Left. Gone will be any illusion of the checks and balances that our founders designed for this nation, the U.S. Senate filibuster will be done away with, and the America we've known all our lives will be lost forever.

Progressive leftists realize they made mistakes in the 2016 campaign, and they are committed to changing whatever laws are necessary, so that they will never again lose control of the federal government.

Most conservatives operate in the Republican Party, which is sometimes referred to as The Stupid Party. However, the Democrat Party is The Evil Party. Unfortunately, most conservative/Republicans leaders are not acting as if America hangs in the balance on the results of the November 2020 election.

Mean, Evil, and Violent was written to arm you with critical talking points and hundreds of examples as to how radical and violent the Democrat Party has become. Unfortunately most Americans are not aware of this.

As you go through this book, my hope and prayer is threefold.

FIRST, that millions of Americans will read this book.

SECOND, that those who get the book will pass it on to another, that they will get more copies and pass them on as well. You can order more paperback books for a modest cost or go online and download an individual chapter or the entire book and forward them to others—for free at FedUpPAC.org.

THIRD, that all who read this book act like America hangs in the balance based on the election results. This means getting involved however you can. For example, make sure you and all your family, friends, and neighbors who share your views and values are registered to vote (you might be surprised how many are not).

Go to FedUpPAC.org and take advantage of lots of free campaign items, such as videos, pocket cards, white papers, reports, etc., and forward them to all you have an email address for, especially if they are an Independent or a Democrat. We have a responsibility to help others know the truth. As the Bible tells us, "Know the truth, and you shall be free."

If America is to be saved for our children, grandchildren, and many future generations, we must make sacrifices. Fortunately, today we are not called to make great sacrifices of life, property, separation from family and friends in times of war, etc. The sacrifices that are needed by us today are small compared to those who came before us, but they are as important.

Failure to give of our time and treasure today will mean that America, forever, will be governed by those who are anti-God, anti-America, mean, evil, and violent, elitist, socialist/Marxist.

With thanks and appreciation for your efforts to save our great country,



Richard A. Viguerie
Chairman, FedUpPAC.org

Introduction

The New Democrats are not your parents' party. In fact, today's radicalized Democrats are now the Mean and Evil Party. And, as the title of this book says, and riots in 2020 show, they are violent. This book contains countless shocking examples of just how extreme, morally corrupt, and vocally anti-America the New Democrats have become. It exposes the blatant hypocrisy within the party—from their candidates' actions to the double standards contained in their “values.” As you will read, the New Democrats routinely harm Americans at the personal, financial, physical, and moral levels. Now, more than ever, the onus is on us—as conservatives, Republicans, and Americans to stop the Mean and Evil party from taking over.

The New Democrats' “values” are distinctly un-American; this is reflected in their policies. These radicalized Democrats practically mock the Constitution with their political maneuvers. They plan to, literally, disarm law-abiding Americans. They have banned free speech in places like New York City and elsewhere. They interrupt the free press. They want to abolish the electoral college and have a plan to gain illegal votes in the meantime. They are blatantly hostile to Christian Americans, including actually making it illegal to attend church services. And for the kicker, many Democrats are openly socialist. This all may sound shocking, but it's nothing new for the Mean and Evil party. This book shows how the candidates and current superstars of the Democrat Party will continue to challenge Constitutional Supremacy.

As the violent Antifa and BLM riots show, the Leftists who dictate the policy of the Democrat Party will allow and even favor violence as a means to accomplish their ends. This election cycle, the New Democrats have proven they will do anything to gain and keep power. They believe they know what is best for you, and they will stop at nothing to steal enough power to compel you to do what they want.

In early August of 2020 during a Senate Judiciary Committee meeting discussing anarchist violence, Sen. Ted Cruz (R-TX) called on Democrats to denounce Antifa. Sen. Mazie Hirono (D-HI) walked out of the meeting after saying, “I hope this is the end of this hearing, Mr. Chairman, and that we don't have to listen to any more of your rhetorical speeches.” The average Democrats' answer to being questioned on the horrific violence in America? “Thank you very much. I'm leaving.”

The silence of most Republican politicians in the wake of the outrageous attacks, policies, and programs of the New Democrats is maddening. Who besides President Trump is attacking Nancy Pelosi,

Chuck Schumer, AOC, and Elizabeth Warren, Black Lives Matter, the Green New Deal, those who are tearing down our history (even that of our founders)? Establishment Republicans are weak and ineffective campaigners, and they have not stepped up to oppose this mayhem and madness.

National and state conservative leaders have their principles and heart in the right place. However, most have low energy. They failed to act and lead the opposition to the Democrats in recent years, and it has now reached a boiling point.

This book illustrates how the largely-unopposed New Democrats plan to continue to corrupt the democratic process, curtail basic Constitutional freedoms (like free speech, free press, the right to peaceably assemble, the right to bear arms, the right to fair trial, etc.), indoctrinate children, rewrite history, open our borders, undermine trust in our institutions, and promote morally bankrupt candidates in order to gain and keep power. You will learn how this process has already started and how to recognize it when you see it happening.

And if the New Democrats are not able to get what they want right away? Well, the Mean and Evil party is also the party of intimidation and violence. The following chapters contain hundreds of examples of how the New Democrats react to opposition. If you oppose them, they slander you. If you don't support them, they attack your house and your business. If you hold your own viewpoints and values, they attack you. The New Democrats want you to be afraid of them. It's mean, it's evil, and it's par for the course for the New Democrat Party.

Remember the mainstream media has openly joined the radical New Democrats, and most Republican politicians are silent and AWOL in the fight to save America from the mean, evil, violent, socialist Democrat dictators.

That means if America is to be saved, you and others who love America need to fill the leadership vacuum by bypassing the biased media using materials like these to help to inform and educate family, friends, neighbors, and others.

It is crucial to be educated about just how mean, evil, and violent Democrats have become. This new, radicalized party only cares about its members and their views. The New Democrats have demonstrated, again and again, that they will use every available means to advance their viewpoints and consolidate power—no matter how illegal, how unethical...how downright mean, evil, and violent those tactics may be. It's up to educated voters to call out their corrupt strategies, see through their manipulation of the media, stand up to their intimidation and violence, and hold them accountable for their illegal actions.

This book is a key tool in maintaining our democracy—for the good of all Americans.

But don't take our word for it. Enclosed in this book are more than 100 documented examples of the depth of depravity to which leaders in the Democrat Party have sunk. Every single instance mentioned within is documented on the website MeanandEvil.com. Let's see if you agree that the New Democrats are, indeed, the mean, evil, and violent party!

Chapter 1:

The Mean & Evil Duo of Joe Biden & Kamala Harris

Much is being discussed about Joe Biden's mental state during the presidential campaign, including his seeming inability to form coherent sentences. Popular sentiment indicates that should he win the White House in 2020, he will be nothing more than an empty suit--if his health even holds up that long. He may likely have to step down early into his tenure due to mental health deterioration. This leads us to believe that Joe Biden is handled by a group of high-level Marxist puppeteers who are calling all of the shots. This just might be all part of their plan.

The media tries to position him as a likable, grandfatherly moderate. But Biden is anything but moderate, and we know him well. Now they are using the same tactic with Kamala Harris, the socialists' choice for his running mate. They are calling her a "pragmatic moderate."

Harris laughed at the idea that the Constitution would prevent her from keeping her promise to issue an Executive Order (if she becomes president) confiscating firearms from millions of law-abiding citizens. Does that sound like the policy of a pragmatic moderate?

Biden and Harris may be the most dangerous Democrats alive today due to the depths of their corruption, and because the leftist media portray them as "moderate."

Joe Biden's Biggest Con: That He's a Moderate

Note: We're not talking here about son Hunter Biden's graft in Ukraine and China, defended by father Joe.

No, here we're concerned with Joe Biden masquerading as a centrist, when his record shows him to be a reliably far-Left Democrat.

He has help in this charade from Democrats who are even more openly socialist, like Bernie Sanders (he votes with the Democrats, but is registered as an Independent, really a Socialist) and members of "the Squad," like Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez of New York. Everybody is to the right of AOC, so she gives him political cover. Then there's "Lunch Box Joe's" construction-worker-next-door DNA and demeanor: old white male, unpolished delivery as a public speaker, the kind of man you can imagine having a beer with at the local working-man's tavern. Did we mention old white male? Nah, he couldn't be a secret leftie, could he?

Let's see. Here's the real Joe Biden's record:

Senate voting record: From 1973 to 2009 he served as a U.S. Senator from Delaware; 2008 was his last year as a Senator before becoming Vice President. The far-left Americans for Democratic Action gave him an 80% liberal score for his votes that year, while the American Conservative Union found he voted 0% with the conservatives that year (can't get lower than that!), with a meager 12.67% conservative votes over his lifetime in the Senate.

Note also that the Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence gave him a 96% liberal voting record on gun issues, while the Christian Action Network gave him a low 12% scorecard on pro-religion issues.

Spending: "During his 36 years in the Senate, several Congresses went by with Joe Biden proposing tens of billions in spending increases and not a single dollar of spending cuts," National Taxpayers Union Foundation president Pete Sepp told National Review's Deroy Murdock. "According to our BillTally system, Senator Biden sponsored or co-sponsored \$322 million of spending hikes for every dollar of suggested decreases. His vote record was even worse. Aside from three years in the mid- 1990s, he routinely landed in the lower ranks of pro-taxpayer scores, finishing dead last in 1994 and 96th in 2008, his final year as a senator."

On every NTU taxpayer-friendliness report card between 1998 and 2008, Biden earned an F.

Taxes: "First thing I'd do [as President] is repeal those Trump tax cuts," Biden has repeatedly promised. What would this mean for taxpayers? Here's the tally from Americans for Tax Reform:

*A family of four on a \$73,000 median income would suffer a \$2,000 tax hike.

*And a single mother of one child earning \$41,000 would pay \$1,300 more in taxes.

Welfare for illegals: “I think that anyone who is in a situation where they’re in need of health care, regardless of whether they’re documented or undocumented, we have an obligation to see that they’re cared for,” Biden says.

As *National Review* concluded: “So, Biden would force you to finance medical care for people who have broken into the U.S., even as 29.7 million law-abiding citizens lack health coverage and some 554,000 Americans sleep on sidewalks, many sorely needing the mental-health and anti-addiction treatment that would move them indoors.

“This is not centrism.”

This is what the Democrat media call a “moderate”: Joe Biden’s Senate voting record exposed

The Democrat media (all of the “mainstream” media other than Fox) want you to believe that the race for the Democrat presidential nomination is between “moderates” and “progressives.” This is how they frame the narrative to disguise how the far Left has taken over the Democrat Party. The American people will overwhelmingly reject the Democrats if they know the truth, so the truth must be camouflaged by the narrative. As Hitler observed, if you tell a lie enough times, people will begin to believe it.

We beg to differ. This is America, not a Germany devastated and prostrate after World War I. And we’re here to tell you the truth about today’s Democrats.

The “mainstream” media’s top example of a “moderate” Democrat is Joe Biden. But an examination of his Senate voting record, before he became President Obama’s lap dog, reveals him to be a consistent leftist. Looking only at his more recent record, since 2000, this is what we found:

The big spender: Voted against cutting spending for programs rated “ineffective” by the Office of Management and Budget. Voted repeatedly against cuts in discretionary federal spending. Voted against a change in Senate rules that would have made it harder to increase spending beyond the previous year’s levels. Voted repeatedly against a cap on spending increases. Voted for the Democrat stimulus and spending bill. Voted to increase federal education spending by \$8.6 billion, to be financed by higher taxes.

Lapdog of Wall Street and the financial sharks: Voted for taxpayer bailout of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Voted to subsidize and further nationalize the mortgage industry. Voted to bail out the financial services industry.

Taxes: Voted repeatedly against extensions of the 2001 tax cuts. Voted to rescind tax cuts in place, including the \$1,000 child tax credit and the elimination of the marriage penalty. Voted repeatedly against repeal of the Alternative Minimum Tax, which hit 21 million middle-class

families in the pocketbook. Voted repeatedly to preserve the “death tax” (the estate tax). Voted repeatedly for changes in Senate rules that would make it more difficult to cut taxes in the future. Voted against extending the Internet tax moratorium for four years. Voted to increase the top income tax rate. Voted against new tax cuts of \$350 billion over 11 years.

Abortion and the unborn: Voted for repeal of Reagan’s “Mexico City policy” that barred taxpayer funds to perform and promote abortions internationally. Voted against requiring parents to be notified of their children’s abortions. Voted against a bill making it a federal crime to take a minor across state lines to obtain an abortion in order to circumvent state parental notification and consent laws. Voted against a bill making it a criminal offense to injure or kill a fetus during the commission of a violent crime. Voted for funding of abortions by the military. Voted to allow the use of taxpayer funds to distribute “morning after” pills on school grounds. Voted against including the unborn as eligible for the State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP). Voted to use federal funds in embryonic stem cell research.

Same-sex marriage: Voted for a filibuster against a constitutional amendment defining marriage as consisting only of the union of a man and a woman.

Immigration and open borders: Voted to extend Social Security benefits to illegal aliens. Voted for amnesty for most illegal aliens, offering them a path to citizenship. Voted against fencing and vehicle barriers along the U.S.-Mexican border. Voted against increasing funding for border protections.

American energy independence: Voted repeatedly against allowing drilling in coastal waters off the Atlantic, Pacific, Gulf of Mexico, and Alaskan Arctic coasts, or on the Outer Continental Shelf. Voted to raise taxes on oil and gas development. Voted to raise taxes on energy companies and direct the revenue to “renewable energy” initiatives.

Guns and the Second Amendment: Voted repeatedly to allow lawsuits against gun and ammunition manufacturers and distributors, making them liable for gun violence in which they had no role. Voted to prohibit the sale or transfer of handguns without certain safety devices. Voted to extend a ban on “assault weapons” for 10 years. Voted for a HUD gun-buyback program, buying guns from the public.

For union bosses, against union workers and the public: Voted against protecting workers’ rights to a secret ballot when deciding on unionization. Voted against requiring unions and corporations to obtain permission from dues-paying members or shareholders before spending money on political activities. Voted to give collective bargaining rights, including the right to strike, to police, firemen, and other public safety officers.

Against school choice: Voted repeatedly against school voucher programs. Voted repeatedly against charter schools.

Judicial and executive nominations: Voted against nomination of constitutionalist Samuel A. Alito to the Supreme Court. Voted repeatedly against Republican nominees to lower courts. Voted against timely hearings on judicial nominees, requiring action within a year after they were nominated. Voted against confirmation of John Ashcroft to be Attorney General, a critical test of whether conservatives could be confirmed for executive positions by the Senate.

Weakening Medicare and Social Security: Voting against a “lockbox” for Medicare and Social Security, hastening their bankruptcy by allowing the politicians to spend surpluses in these programs for programs in other areas. Voted for a bill that discriminated against private Medicare insurers, keeping doctor payments and taxpayer costs high.

For the politicians, against citizens: Voted to keep the names of Senators requesting special interest “earmarks” secret. Voted to reveal the names of contributors to grassroots lobbying organizations, opening them up to leftist vilification campaigns.

Ballot security: Voted against requiring voters to present photo identification at the polls in order to vote. Voted to increase federal control over state and local elections.

American sovereignty: Voted to force the U.S. into compliance with the “global warming” Kyoto Treaty that was never ratified by the Senate, and would have required massive, expensive reductions in carbon dioxide. Voted to join the International Criminal Court, thereby reducing American legal sovereignty.

“Global warming” hoax: Voted in 2005 to require U.S. businesses to return to the “greenhouse gas” emission levels of 2000, which would have severely damaged the American economy.

Welfare: Voted against extension of the welfare reforms passed during the Clinton administration.

Expanding federal power: Voted to nationalize education policy by establishing standards and funding programs. Voted against regulatory relief for small businesses. Voted for massive new regulations on the energy industry, including a ban on the incandescent light bulb. Voted to give government agencies advantages over private firms in competing for government defense contracts. Voted to expand the definition of “hate crimes” to cover crimes motivated by the victim’s gender, sexual orientation, or disability. Repeatedly voted for expanding federal police power regarding “hate crimes.”

Biden beat radical Leftists to clinch the nomination

If these examples above are the votes of a “moderate,” as defined by the Democrat “mainstream” media, all votes for a conservative or Republican position are by definition “extremist.” Biden beat radical Leftists for the nomination--that’s what those in media want you to believe.

Free Speech? Radical Democrats like Sen. Kamala Harris don't believe in it

Kamala Harris wants to ban President Trump from Twitter, and thinks this is not a “violation of free speech” because it’s a “privilege” to use that platform. And who gets to decide who gets to use that “privilege”? This supposed champion of “the people” says that determination belongs to the “corporation, which is what Twitter is.”

She complains that some of his tweets were meant to “target, harass, and attempt to out the [non-]whistleblower” in the Democrats’ Ukraine hoax. And that’s a no-no in her opinion.

In case you think we are exaggerating or biased in this statement, here is the relevant transcript of her September 30, 2019 exchange with CNN’s Jake Tapper on “The Lead”:

“HARRIS: Well, we’ll see as the impeachment process proceeds, but I will say, Jake, it is a classic case in terms of what he has been doing as it relates to the whistleblower, in an attempt to suppress evidence and intimidate a witness, which is why I’ve also called on Donald Trump’s Twitter privileges to be revoked, because he has, even as many other members of Congress have indicated, he seems to be eliciting fear and threatening a witness to what could be a crime against America, our democracy and try to suppress that evidence. That is a classic example of a crime.

“TAPPER: I guess the question about— I know you wrote to Twitter and the CEO, Jack Dorsey, and asked him to take away the president’s Twitter handle, his account. How is that not a violation of free speech? I mean, the president has the same rights that you have, that I have. How would that not be a slippery slope where they have to ban half the people on Twitter?

“HARRIS: I’ve heard that argument, but here is the thing, Jake. First of all, a corporation, which is what Twitter is, has obligations. In this case, Twitter has terms of use policy. And their terms of use dictate who receives the privilege of speaking on that platform and who does not. And Donald Trump has clearly violated the terms of use. There should be a consequence for that. Not to mention he has used his platform, president of the United States, in a way that’s been about inciting fear and potentially inciting harm against a witness to what might be a crime against our country and our democracy. And for that reason, I do believe that it’s clear that he has violated the terms of use. And I’m asking that Twitter does what it has done in previous locations, which is to revoke someone’s privilege because they’ve not lived up to the advantages of the privilege.”

You know that Kamala Harris has fallen off the cliff when even a reporter for the left-wing *Washington Post* demurs. Abby Ohlheiser finds three “loopholes” that suggest the President’s tweets won’t be censored: that Twitter has exempted “military or government entities” from charges that might be levied against us commoners; that Twitter considers “newsworthiness’ and whether a Tweet is of public interest”; and that all the social media oligarchs (our word, not the *Post*’s!) give themselves plenty of room in their rules for “interpretation.”

Not exactly a stirring defense of freedom of speech—that would be too much to expect from the *Washington Post*—but at least it dares to suggest that Kamala Harris has fallen off her rocker. President Trump’s tweets appear to be safe, unlike the tweets from us deplorables.

It’s doubtful that most of the Democrat presidential candidates would object if Twitter decided to censor President Trump’s tweets. They certainly have been silent about such actions against ordinary Trump supporters. They just wish that Harris would not be so honest about her intentions.

Biden also believes in censorship

You might object that while Kamala Harris is against free speech and wants to censor President Trump on Twitter (see above), she was one of the most radically-Left Democrat presidential candidates. Certainly the more “moderate” candidates are old-fashioned liberals who believe in free speech?

Well, no. Certainly Joe Biden pretends to be a moderate, and the leftist “mainstream” media portray him as a moderate, but he too has confessed to his love of censorship.

Biden campaign officials Anita Dunn and Kate Bedingfield (with no objections from the boss) sent a letter to executives and anchors at ABC, CBS, NBC, CNN, and Fox News calling interviews with Rudy Giuliani, at that time a prominent Trump campaign associate, “a disservice to journalism.”

“We are writing today with grave concern that you continue to book Rudy Giuliani on your air to spread false, debunked conspiracy theories on behalf of Donald Trump,” the letter begins.

“While you often fact check his statements in real time during your discussions, that is no longer enough. By giving him your airtime, you are allowing him to introduce increasingly unhinged, unfounded and desperate lies into the national conversation.

“We write to demand that in service to the facts, you no longer book Rudy Giuliani, a surrogate for Donald Trump who has demonstrated that he will knowingly and willingly lie in order to advance his own narrative,” it says.

Brad Parscale, Trump’s former campaign manager, responded: “Can we request the removal of Democrats on TV that push hoaxes? Wait, but then who would do the interviews?”

Truth is, today’s radicalized Democrats no longer believe in free speech when it is their opponents who are being censored. They only invoke the First Amendment on the very rare occasions when they feel threatened. That’s not advocating free speech; that’s craven political opportunism in their bid for power at all costs and by all means.

And if Giuliani does appear on any of those networks other than Fox, he should first be advised of his Miranda rights.

Kamala Harris' Other Extreme Views

How can we forget the onerous, uber-radical "Green New Deal" proposed by socialist New York Congresswoman Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez? It was an insane, \$100 trillion proposal to end all use of fossil fuel in the United States by 2030, and Kamala Harris was one of the first Senators to support it.

Harris supports raising taxes, which is typical Democrat fodder, and replacing Medicare for Seniors with rationed Medicare for All. But she also pushes paying "reparations" to black Americans. She has openly called for draconian restrictions on free speech, religious liberty, and both small and large American businesses. She favors erasing the borders of the United States and dismantling the military.

It is public knowledge that she leveraged her illicit affair with a powerful California Democrat socialist boss, Willie Brown, to advance her political career.

Kamala's father is Jamaican with part Irish roots, and her late mother was Indian. She was born in the American Socialist Mecca of Berkeley, California. The Biden campaign made history when it announced Harris as the first woman of color in the U.S. to join a major party ticket. Anyone who questions the credentials, policies, character, and record of Kamala Harris will be portrayed as "racist" and "sexist."

Kamala Harris is not to be underestimated, and will stop at nothing to advance her power, influence, and career.

Chapter 2:

Democrats: Mean & Evil Political Candidates

The radicalized Democrats are testing us, fellow Americans, trying to see how far Left they can go before we finally show them the door. President Obama racked up his record as the most left-wing president in American history, but then candidate Hillary Clinton ran to his left in her 2016 bid. In the 2020 election cycle, we had a weird cast of candidates who raced to fall off the left side of the stage. Mysteriously, former Vice President Joe Biden emerged victorious, perhaps by posing as a moderate. Biden is anything moderate, and we know him well.

Hopefully some true moderates will be able to re-create a sensible second party whose political positions are in the American tradition, rather than the failed foreign ideologies of Russia, the defeated fascist states of 20th-century Europe, China, Cuba, and Venezuela.

It didn't really matter who the Democrats chose as their nominee because their frontrunners were all either sincere leftists or craven opportunists who will do whatever the Left commands in order to obtain power. And so, in the chapters that follow, we keep them in even though they lost this race.

There was never any real dissent in the 2020 field except for Tulsi Gabbard and Andrew Yang, and, unfortunately, neither of them ever had a moment's chance of getting the Democrat nomination.

In this book, we pay the most attention to “Lunch Box Joe” Biden and Kamala Harris, who may be the most dangerous of the lot because the leftist media portray them both as “moderate.” Pete Buttigieg has another appearance in our chapter on abortion, and Beto O’Rourke appears in the chapters on religion and guns—we do miss him as the clown of the class, who brought some laughs to a dismal bunch with his antics.

This Class of 2020 remains in the book so readers can see the enormous swing to the Left that the Democrats are making, and to remember which mean, evil, and violent candidates are waiting in the wings for their big break.

The hidden story of how a Mafia hit man helped Joe Biden’s political career

The big story in Charles Brandt’s book *I Heard You Paint Houses* is supposed to be how Mafia hit man Frank “The Irishman” Sheeran killed Jimmy Hoffa. But the bigger story may be the key role Sheeran played in advancing Joe Biden’s political career, and the line of communication that opened up between the politician and the Mafia-infested Teamsters Union. Not surprisingly, the leftist “mainstream” media have ignored this story.

Sheeran, you see, was the president of Teamsters local 326 in Delaware, where Joe Biden started the political career that took him to the vice presidency and now, he hopes, to the White House as our next president. Here’s the story:

“In 1972 Sheeran received a visit from ‘a very prominent lawyer’ he knew who was ‘very big in the Democratic Party’ in Delaware. The November general election was approaching, and the race for the U.S. Senate seat held by a Republican was expected to be close.

“The lawyer wanted help in preventing the distribution of a paid Republican political ad—an insert in the Delaware-wide newspapers—that would run for a week and expose the campaign misrepresentations by the Democratic challenger, Joe Biden. Sheeran promised the operative that he ‘would hire some people and put them on the picket line.’ He added, ‘People nobody would mess with.’

“The picket line went up, the papers were not delivered all week, and, as Sheeran said, ‘The day after the election the informational picket line came down, and the newspaper went back to normal and Delaware had a new United States Senator.’ His name was Joe Biden. Thereafter, said admitted extortionist, thief and murderer Sheeran, of Biden, ‘You could reach out for him, and he would listen.’”

Buttigieg lies about his black support

It’s no secret that “Mayor Pete” has a problem with his lack of support from African Ameri-

cans—a key Democrat constituency. He usually explains this by saying that’s just because they are unfamiliar with his record and his platform. And he suggests that Vice President Biden has more black support because of name familiarity.

But Buttigieg is also not above lying about the amount of black support he allegedly has.

Unlike most of the media, which uncritically adores the mayor now that former idol Beto O’Rourke has run out of supporters and money, The Intercept has actually done some real investigative reporting on the mayor of South Bend, Indiana. What it has found is rather illuminating, and we don’t mean that in a good way.

First, in announcing his Douglass Plan for Black America, named for abolitionist Frederick Douglass, Buttigieg’s campaign used a charming photo of a young black woman with her young son. Nothing wrong with that. Only they were not African-Americans, but Africans in Kenya. Uproar. Upon investigation it was determined that this was a stock photo (to be used by anyone willing to pay for it), and the woman didn’t even know the photo of her would be used that way. Buttigieg, being an oh-so-sensitive leftie, promptly apologized for something that was not his fault. But sometimes you just don’t get no respect, as Rodney Dangerfield warned us. The woman in Kenya, not being familiar with American Democrat politics, couldn’t understand what the fuss was about. “Why is he apologizing to the public on the use of the photo?” she asked, “I got no problem with it being used but at least appreciation.”

Okay—that, if anything, was a funny campaign mishap that you couldn’t make up. But what follows is more serious and tells us something about Mayor Pete’s character.

Biden’s black support was particularly strong in South Carolina, and was an obstacle to Buttigieg. He used his Douglass Plan as his vehicle to show that he, too, had substantial African-American support in South Carolina, and prominently listed three leading black activists in the state as supporters of it and, by implication, the candidate. They were surprised and dismayed. Oh, they had some kind words to say about provisions in the plan, but they were not supporters of Buttigieg’s candidacy.

Equally appalling, the campaign released a list of South Carolinians who allegedly supported the Douglass Plan. The email announcing it said the list represented “over 400 Black South Carolinians.” Only it didn’t. Racial identity wasn’t available for all signers, but painstaking research by The Intercept identified the race of 297 of the endorsers—and 184 of them are white. That’s 62% of the people whose race could be determined, and 42% of the entire list.

Buttigieg obviously didn’t expect to have his list of “black supporters” scrutinized that carefully, but it was. Can we believe any other “facts” he gives us?

“Pocahontas,” aka Elizabeth Warren, lies about her life story

Elizabeth Warren listed herself as Native American while teaching at the University of Pennsylvania Law School, and then at Harvard Law School. Her incumbent Republican opponent in her first race for the Senate charged that she claimed Cherokee and Delaware ancestry in order to gain an unfair advantage as a “minority” when seeking those coveted faculty positions. She could offer no documentation to back up this claim, admitting instead that it was based on “family stories.” And fact-checking by the Washington Post—no right-wing source—concluded that “there is no documented proof” of her claim.

President Trump has skewered her claim to Native American ancestry for years, giving her the nickname “Pocahontas.” Under pressure to put the controversy behind her as she was entering the presidential race, Warren took a DNA test that suggested she might be 1/64th to 1,1024th Native American. Most people do not identify as Native American when the possible percentage would be that miniscule, but Elizabeth Warren did, signing her contribution to a book as “Elizabeth Warren—Cherokee” and claiming “American Indian” as her race on her State Bar of Texas registration card.

Note: The real Cherokees require much more evidence than this to be accepted as a Cherokee. Cherokee Nation Secretary of State Chuck Hoskin, Jr., calls the DNA test “useless to determine tribal citizenship” and says “Senator Elizabeth Warren is undermining tribal interests with her continued claims of tribal heritage.”

Fired because she was pregnant?

Warren also likes to claim victimhood on the campaign trail by relating how she was fired as a teacher because she was “visibly pregnant,” and this was why she changed from teaching to legal work. However, an examination of the minutes of the Riverdale, New Jersey Board of Education—where this supposedly took place—shows that the board unanimously approved her second-year contract, and then “accepted with regret” when she later resigned to become (temporarily) a stay-at-home mom. Oops!

Warren seems unable to fabricate stories about her life to advance her candidacy, perhaps because her far-Left admirers don’t require accountability for her lies. She was questioned at a campaign talk by a black woman who challenged her position against school choice, noting that poor inner-city don’t have the financial ability to send their children to private schools like Elizabeth Warren. Her response? “My children went to public schools.” Well, that’s just a half-lie. Her daughter went to public schools, but the only available record shows that her son went to a private school.” Well, a half-lie is progress for Elizabeth Warren.

Elizabeth Warren declares war on the poor: You must keep your children in inferior government schools

Catholic League President Bill Donahue accurately describes Elizabeth Warren's elitist and bigoted school policy:

“When it comes to education, there is no better way to punish the poor than to deny them the same opportunities the affluent have. Here's the drill: Keep the poor away from charter schools and away from private schools, especially Catholic schools in the inner city. Make sure to defend the unions to the hilt, knowing full well they will always put the best interests of teachers and administrators ahead of the best interests of students. And, best of all, reward failing schools with more money.

“This is what Elizabeth Warren is doing—in the name of helping the poor she is declaring war on them. Forget about her intentions, the effect of her plan is to consign black and brown kids to schools that no sane white person would ever choose for his own kids.”

Elizabeth Warren promises to spend another \$800 billion of your tax dollars on elementary and secondary education. But if more money was the answer, District of Columbia public school students would be the smartest students in the land, since D.C. spends more money per capita on them than any of the 50 states. But D.C. students consistently rank in last place. And it's not a race thing. Alaska, where only 3.6% of the population is African American, also has one of the best-funded school systems, and its students rank near the bottom of academic achievement.

Meanwhile, New Hampshire and the Dakotas spend relatively little on their schools, yet their students rank near the top.

Warren promises to stop more federal money for charter schools—the one public school initiative that works—and to outlaw for-profit charter schools, despite the evidence that charter schools in her home state of Massachusetts work well.

In 2003 Elizabeth Warren noted that “with fully funded vouchers, parents of all income levels could send their children—and the accompanying financial support—to the schools of their choice.” But her party has now been radicalized, and like all of the radical Left Democrats she now opposes any school choice for poor, inner city families. Keep the poor in their place.

Bernie Sanders honeymoons in the Soviet Union, vacations in Castro's Cuba

Most struggling American parents are happy if they can take the kids to Disney World. And young Americans commonly take their honeymoon in the Caribbean, or, if they have enough cash in wedding presents, to Paris or Rome.

Bernie Sanders has other ideas for a honeymoon or vacation, and it tells you a lot about his focus.

The Washington Post describes the high point of Bernie's "very strange honeymoon" (his self-description of it): "Bernie Sanders was bare-chested, towel-draped, sitting at a table lined with vodka bottles, as he sang 'This Land is Your Land' to his hosts in the Soviet Union in the spring of 1988."

"Returning to Vermont," the Post wrote, "Sanders held an hour-long news conference in which he extolled Russian policies on housing and health care, while criticizing the cost of both in the United States—and boasted that he was willing to criticize his homeland."

"Let's take the strengths of both systems," Bernie said. "Let's learn from each other." Since the Soviet Union imploded the following year, we wonder what Soviet "strengths" he thinks America should adopt. And we also warn that if America ever adopted Bernie's platform, it too would implode.

We certainly do not suggest that Bernie is a commie. No, he constantly admits that living conditions in the Soviet Union were "poor." But he also implies that conditions in the U.S. are so bad that we can learn from countries like the U.S.S.R. And he's positively giddy about Castro's Cuba. Welcome to the strange, far-Left world of the "democratic Socialists." They are always in search of the perfect worker's paradise, and if Cuba or the Soviet Union didn't actually attain heaven on earth, at least they were trying.

If you dismiss socialist and communist regimes, it's because "the American people, many of us, are intellectually lazy," he said in a 1985 interview with a Burlington, Vermont television station.

Bernie enjoyed his honeymoon in the Soviet Union so much, he picked Castro's Cuba for his next foreign adventure, the following year. Before that trip he proclaimed that "under Castro, enormous progress has been made in improving the lives of poor people." He failed in his bid to meet Fidel Castro, but told the Burlington Free Press upon his return that "I did not see a hungry child. I did not see any homeless people." And while communist Cuba was "not a perfect society," it "not only has free health care but very high-quality health care.... The revolution there is far deeper and more profound than I understood it to be. It really is a revolution in terms of values."

Americans, be forewarned. We know what Bernie has planned for us if he becomes President.

Kamala Harris Hates Free Speech, Tulsi Gabbard Called Her Out

The one presidential candidate who did object strenuously to Kamala Harris' repeated calls for censorship was Tulsi Gabbard. "I think freedom of speech is something that is an important foundational right in our democracy," said Gabbard. "We can't just cancel or shut down or silence those who we disagree with or who hold different views or who say things even that we

strongly disagree with or abhor. These freedoms and principles enshrined in our Constitution are things that we have to take very seriously. I and so many others who wear the uniform of this country are willing to give our lives to protect and defend this freedom of speech even for those who are saying things that we disagree with.”

But then, Tulsi had no realistic chance of getting the Democrat nomination. You can see why.

The party of the rich poses as the party “for” the poor

Over the past few decades, the Democrat Party has evolved into the party of the rich, the privileged, and the elite. This is confirmed by the demographics of their voter base, and is reflected by the net worth of their presidential candidates. Yet they persist in lying to the public, claiming working class origins, stating they identify with the struggling middle class, and promising they will save us from the evil rich.

Here’s the truth about the estimated net worth of their candidates. Reliable information about their incomes and assets are notoriously limited, but here are figures about the net worth of the 2020 Democrat candidates as published in Business Insider and collected by Forbes, the Center for Public Integrity, and the Center for Responsive Politics.

Their candidates’ estimated net worth:

Michael Bloomberg: \$52.4 billion

Tom Steyer: \$1.6 billion

Rep. John Delaney: \$232 million

Sen. Michael Bennet: \$15 million

Sen. Elizabeth Warren: \$12 million

Former Vice President Joe Biden: \$9 million

Former Rep. Joe Sestak: \$6 million

Sen. Kamala Harris: \$6 million

Sen. Bernie Sanders: \$2.5 million

Sen. Amy Klobuchar: up to \$1.9 million

Gov. Steve Bullock: \$1.5 million

Sen. Cory Booker: \$1.5 million

Marianne Williamson: \$1.5 million

Andrew Yang: \$1 million

Julian Castro: \$700,000

Tulsi Gabbard: \$500,000

Pete Buttigieg: \$100,000

Bottom line: The party of the poor is represented by 2 billionaires, 12 millionaires, and 3 who haven't yet become millionaires. Gimme a break!

And they're stingy with their own money

The Editorial Board of USA Today notes the irony and the hypocrisy:

“Democrats make the plight of the poor a big part of their campaign platforms and policy aspirations.... Too bad they are less generous when it comes to their own money.... It is not hard to imagine the criticism that these people are very generous but only with other people's money!” Looking at their latest available tax returns, using figures for both husbands and wives, former Rep. Beto O'Rourke was the biggest Scrooge of all, giving only 0.3% of his income to charities.

Mayor Pete Buttigieg of South Bend, Indiana, wasn't much better, giving 0.6% to charity. But at least Buttigieg is the poorest in net worth among the 2020 presidential candidates, while O'Rourke's net worth is estimated at \$4 million.

With Joe Biden the figure was 1.8%, with Bernie Sanders 3.3%, and with Elizabeth Warren 5.5%.

In contrast, the USA Today Editorial Board notes, 2012 GOP presidential candidate Mitt Romney donated nearly 30% of his income to charities.

Hypocrisy.

Turn the page to learn about the perpetual and most corrupt powers in a very corrupt Democrat Party, the Clintons. With any luck, 2020 will mark the end of the influence of these two friends of the late pedophile Jeffrey Epstein, Hollywood misogynist Harvey Weinstein, and those Saudi oil sheiks who gave so generously to them and their “charities.”

Chapter 3:

Mean & Evil: The Clintons

A pedophile is someone who rapes children, and certainly the world's most famous pedophile in this century has been Jeffrey Epstein, who was convicted of the crime in Florida but treated with kid gloves like the celebrity he was. Re-arrested in 2019, he allegedly committed suicide in his allegedly top-security federal prison in New York City. Good luck trying to find someone who believes that story.

Pedophile Jeffrey Epstein's American friends in high places

Epstein's little black book—whatever physical form it took—was bulging with names of the world's elites, who partied with him at his “pedophile island” in the Caribbean, his 10,000-acre Zorro Ranch in New Mexico, and his Manhattan townhouse, itself estimated to be worth \$56 million. He and his guests traveled to these spots on his private plane, nicknamed the Lolita Express. The sources of Epstein's immense wealth are a mystery.

Among the pedophile's closest friends, the now-disgraced Prince Andrew (ordered to close his Buckingham Palace office by Queen Elizabeth) is the epitome of political Eurotrash. That role on this side of the pond apparently was filled by former President Bill Clinton, of “I did not have sex with that woman” fame. Now Hillary Clinton has entered the picture, too. We wonder what secrets Epstein's former girlfriend and alleged child sex trafficker Ghislaine Maxwell will spill now that she is in custody?

In December 2019, London's Daily Mail published an account of its exclusive interview with

Zorro Ranch's estate manager, who revealed that the King and Queen of American liberals visited Epstein's ranch almost every year after they left the White House, calling Bill the pedophile's closest "celebrity mate."

"When contacted by DailyMail.com for comment," the newspaper reported, "Bill Clinton's press office referred us to a statement released over the summer. The statement denied he had ever visited any of Epstein's residences, apart from once at Epstein's home in New York City. DailyMail.com reached out to Hillary Clinton's office for comment as well." (Apparently with no response, since none was reported.)

Bill Clinton denied ever being on Epstein's "pedophile island," the newspaper said, "but one of Epstein's sex slaves, Virginia Roberts, claimed she saw Clinton on the island for a dinner held in his honor shortly after he left office, and stood by the claim when questioned under oath." Also, "Over the summer, the former president's office said Bill had not known anything of Epstein's crimes and had taken four trips with him in 2002 and 2003—although flight logs showed that he had taken a total of at least 26 individual flights in the course of those years." (emphasis added)

Obviously this story, with its many unanswered questions, is an embarrassment to the Democrats and the Democrat "mainstream" media, so their approach is to ignore it as much as possible and hope it all passes quickly down the memory hole. Thankfully British journalists are a little more curious. But one can only imagine how interested the American press would be if Donald and Melania Trump were frequent fliers to Zorro Ranch.

What's the story with Epstein's bizarre portrait of Bill Clinton?

It was found hanging in Epstein's Manhattan townhouse.

It shows our fun-loving former President suggestively lounging on a chair, apparently in the Oval Office, wearing red heels. The blue dress looks exactly like the one Hillary wore at the 2009 Kennedy Center Honors (image to the right).

We wonder: Did Bill pose for this portrait, or was this his friend's idea of Photoshop fun? Just curious.

Our world elites do live interesting lives.

Hillary Clinton: "My opponents are Russian assets!"

This was an outrageous assertion, even by Hillary Clinton standards.

She unleashed her conspiracy theory on a Campaign HQ podcast hosted by David Plouffe, President Barack Obama's campaign manager in 2008. In an obvious reference to Rep. Tulsi Gabbard—

who had supported Bernie Sanders over Hillary in the 2016 Democratic primary, and was a candidate for the 2020 Democratic presidential nomination—Hillary said “She’s a favorite of the Russians. They have a bunch of sites and bots and other ways of supporting her so far.”

Then she added: “That’s assuming Jill Stein will give it up, which she might not because she is also a Russian asset.”

Stein was the Green Party candidate for president in 2016, barely getting 1% of the vote. Gabbard is one of only two 2020 candidates who is a military veteran, having served in Iraq and Kuwait. Hillary’s conspiracy theory was a new low in American presidential politics. Yes, there were U.S. Communist Party candidates during the Cold War, but as far as we can tell, no American presidential candidates have been accused of being Russian assets since the Soviet Union dissolved. Until Hillary came along.

Of course, Hillary has also called President Trump “Vladimir Putin’s dream.” It’s all part of her unceasing campaign to blame everyone and everything for her 2016 defeat—except Hillary Clinton. Among those villains is the Green Party, but the Green Party did not cause her defeat in the key battleground states of Florida, Pennsylvania, Ohio, North Carolina, Michigan, and Wisconsin. She lost because Trump heavily out-campaigned her in those states.

In fact, Clinton didn’t make a single campaign visit to Wisconsin, which she lost by just 22,748 votes. The Libertarian Party got more than three times as many votes as the Green Party in that state, and presumably most of those votes would otherwise have gone to Trump. But it’s even more significant that when 2016 Trump voters in Wisconsin were asked why they voted for him, only 60% said they were positively for him while 40% gave their opposition to Clinton as the reason.

But Hillary Clinton cannot admit that she ran a lousy campaign, or that a major part of Trump’s vote was actually opposition to her because of her history and positions. So she stoops to the smear of accusing her opponents, in effect, of treason. As attorney Joseph Welch famously said in 1954, “Have you no shame...no sense of decency?”

Whose national interests did Hillary Clinton serve as Secretary of State?

It is shameful how Hillary Clinton, hubby Bill, and their Clinton Foundation put the interests of Russia, Saudi Arabia, and a multitude of Middle Eastern sheiks ahead of the interests of the United States.

Here are just a few of the matters that call for an independent Special Counsel to investigate the Clintons, and especially Hillary Clinton in her role as Secretary of State:

Investigate foreign “pay-for-play” donors to the Clinton Foundation

When she became Secretary of State, Clinton promised to separate herself from the work of the foundation, and the foundation promised to limit foreign donations. This was to guard against foreign governments and leaders giving donations in exchange for special access and favors from the Secretary of State.

Such was not the case. Peter Schweizer wrote a bestselling book—Clinton Cash—about this scandal.

An Associated Press story revealed that more than half of Clinton’s private visitors received at State were donors to the family foundation. And those repressive Middle Eastern regimes! Saudi Arabia gave between \$10 million and \$25 million to the Clinton Foundation. (The foundation’s reportable database gave ranges for donations, not specific amounts.) “Friends of Saudi Arabia,” co-founded by a Saudi prince, another \$1 million to \$5 million. Qatar, United Arab Emirates, and the government of Brunei: \$1 million to \$5 million. Kuwait: \$5 million to \$10 million. An investigation by the Daily Caller News Foundation says the true figure of donations by Persian Gulf States is more like \$85 million to \$100 million.

Even when the donations were made before her reign as Secretary of State, that past generosity could increase the kind of access that the AP found. That’s what lobbying is all about. When a lobbyist shells out the cash in 2016 and earlier years, it expects—and gets—access when the recipient is governing in 2017.

This doesn’t include Bill Clinton’s private arrangements with these notorious human rights abusers. Like his super-lucrative business partnership with the authoritarian ruler of Dubai—at least \$15 million noted in his personal tax returns and an alleged \$20 million in “walking away money” when he left the partnership. And \$5.6 million from a Dubai-based company for serving as its “honorary chairman.” (Don’t you wish you could get easy millions like that for “honorary” work? We do.)

The Clinton Foundation says it “receives support from individuals, organizations, and governments from all over the world because the foundation’s programs improve the lives of millions of people around the globe.” The duty of a Special Counsel would be to go beyond fairy tales like that when it involves repressive regimes that couldn’t care less about improving the lives of even their own subjects, much less lives in the rest of the world.

That assertion by the Clinton Foundation is obviously a public relations front. Once she lost the 2016 election, those donations began to dry up. The foundation became a shell of its former self when the power couple no longer had influence to peddle. Not that they were lonely. Friends like pedophile Jeffrey Epstein still paid them plenty of attention at his “pedophile island” in the Caribbean and his Zorro Ranch in New Mexico. (See our separate Brief on that.)

Why did Secretary Clinton's State Department approve giving away 20% of America's uranium reserves to the Russian atomic energy agency? Weren't they, and she, supposed to be representing the interests of the United States?

The GOP members of the House Judiciary Committee want to know if then-FBI Director James Comey has "knowledge of the purchase of Uranium One by the company Rosatom, whether the approval of the sale was connected to any donations made to the Clinton Foundation, and what role Secretary Clinton played in the approval of that sale that had national security ramifications." Comey and Clinton certainly will not tell if not required under oath by a Special Counsel.

Pravda hailed the deal with this headline: "Russian Nuclear Energy Conquers the World." And Putin has made no secret of his desire to control as much of the world's uranium supply as he can. (We don't have to wonder why.) Mining industrialists built a company that extracted uranium in Wyoming, then sold the company to the Russians, where it became known as Uranium One. Those industrialists also showed their generosity in the form of millions of dollars given to the Clinton Foundation, not publicly disclosed by the Clintons, despite their promise to do so when she became Secretary of State. Also, shortly after the sale, Bubba was paid \$500,000 for one speech given to a Moscow investment bank with links to the Kremlin. That must have been some speech! (Does the term "payola" come to anyone's mind?)

Uranium is considered a strategic asset, however, critical to national security. A sale of this sort to a foreign entity, especially one that is potentially a nuclear enemy, has to be approved by the State Department. And State, under Secretary Clinton, dutifully approved the sale. A coincidence? We think not. It doesn't pass the smell test, much less the radioactive test.

Why did Hillary Clinton promote fracking overseas but not in the U.S.? Was that payback to her Persian Gulf buddies? Did she promote such policies as Secretary of State?

Hydraulic fracturing of shale has turned the United States into an oil and gas exporter, no longer dependent on Saudi Arabia and the Persian Gulf states. "Under her leadership, the State Department worked closely with energy companies to spread fracking around the globe," reported Mother Jones magazine, and she convened a State Department shale oil conference that was off-the-record and closed to the press. We weren't even allowed to know which countries attended, but we can guess some of them, can't we?

But in the CNN debate during her presidential campaign, she said: "By the time we get through all of my conditions, I do not think there will be many places in America where fracking will continue to take place."

Why fracking abroad but not in the U.S.? "The oil states in the Middle East would be interested in our not attaining energy independence," notes Dr. Steven J. Allen, vice president of the Capital Research Center. So she pleases both her foreign sheik-buddies and brain-impaired environmentalists in the U.S.

You can call that being a smart politician, by the standards of the Washington Swamp, but a Special Prosecutor should look intensely at her actions in this regard as Secretary of State. And can you imagine what the fate of American energy independence would be, had she been elected President? Contrast her give-it-to-the-sheiks position with President Trump's "America first" and "make America great again" positions. So why was he investigated and she wasn't?

More questions of absolutely no interest to the Clinton-loving media

Why did Hillary Clinton delete 33,000 emails?

First of all, these were on her private email server, which included her State Department-related emails—and having work emails on a private server is against State Department protocols and a violation of federal law, specifically 18 U.S. Code § 1924.

Clinton said the 33,000 deleted emails were "personal" and not work-related—but we have to take her word for it. And after a year-long investigation, the FBI recovered more than 17,000 emails that had been deleted or otherwise not turned over to the State Department, and the FBI said many of them were work-related.

So, why the coverup surrounding Hillary Clinton's illegal email server when Secretary of State?

Then-FBI Director James Comey gave immunity to witnesses who then lied to the FBI agents investigating them. Normally that's a felony. Martha Stewart went to jail for that. But nothing happened to these witnesses in the Clinton email investigation.

Then there was the mass destruction of evidence by Clinton and her underlings.

These were materials under subpoena, with emails, computers, and servers destroyed. A bleaching agent was used to destroy contents so they couldn't be retrieved. But Comey decided not to prosecute Hillary.

Obviously "the fix was in," in the words of the New York Post's Paul Sperry. And National Review quipped: "The FBI did everything but drive Hillary's getaway car."

And why was the FBI investigation of the Clinton Foundation squashed by Obama Attorney General Loretta Lynch? What had those FBI investigators learned that got the powers-that-be so panicky?

The FBI probe of the Clinton Foundation reportedly started as a money laundering investigation in Los Angeles. The investigation then moved to New York City for about a year. Just before the 2016 election, when everybody expected Mrs. Clinton to be elected President, it was

squashed by the Obama Justice Department.

The head of Justice was Loretta Lynch—yes, the same Loretta Lynch who previously had a private, off-the-record conversation with Bill Clinton in an airplane on the tarmac at the Phoenix Airport. And Comey testified before the Senate Intelligence Committee that Lynch had asked him to downplay the investigation into Clinton's emails by calling it a “matter” rather than an investigation.

Coincidences? Everything connected with the Clintons seems to be a “coincidence.” That's why we still need a Special Counsel to get to the bottom of these coincidences.

Why was President Trump investigated, and not the Clintons?

The massive corruption surrounding the Clintons is unprecedented in American history. The brazenness of this self-aggrandizing couple is breathtaking. Obviously they believe they are above the law—and so far they have been.

Ever since he walked down those stairs at the Trump Hotel in New York, the Washington Swamp has been crucifying then-candidate and now President Trump for alleged collusion with Russia and Putin. And what have they come up with as evidence? NOTHING! Nada. Zilch. It's just been a tool of the revolutionary left to try and undo the results of the 2016 election—to force President Trump out of office. And the American public hasn't bought it.

Meanwhile, you've heard virtually nothing from the Washington Swamp all these years about the real scandal of the 2016 election—how Hillary, hubby Bill, and the Clinton Foundation put America up for sale to the highest bidders, including foreign despots Saudi Arabia and, yes, Russia. (See our next Brief for details.)

In case you're wondering, the Clinton Foundation is no little deal. It started in Little Rock but moved to New York City, where it switched offices from Harlem to Wall Street (what sweet irony in that move!), where it shares offices with Goldman Sachs (more sweet irony) with a monthly rent of some \$4,000. According to The Washington Post, which admires the Clintons, “In all, the Clintons' constellation of related charities has raised \$2 billion, employs more than 2,000 people and has a combined annual budget of more than \$223 million.”

That's not small potatoes.

The Swamp Media coverup

Leading the coverup, as usual, is the Swamp Media. The Media Research Center studied every broadcast network evening newscast in the five weeks after the appointment of special counsel Robert Mueller to investigate President Trump. It found “a whopping 353 minutes of airtime devoted to the Russia probe, or 55 percent of all coverage of the Trump presidency during those weeks.”

That was “20 times more attention than the new health care bill, 100 times more attention than the administration’s push to improve the nation’s infrastructure, and a stunning 450 times more coverage than the push for comprehensive tax reform.” The American people wanted health care reform and tax reform. The Swamp Media wanted to speculate and insinuate about President Trump and Russia.

And how much time has the Swamp Media devoted to the Clinton Foundation scandals? The Media Research Center looked at the broadcast networks in the first five months of 2016, when this should have been a front-burner issue, and came up with a typical answer: LESS THAN FIVE MINUTES! Four minutes and 24 seconds to be precise. And that silence has remained to this day.

A few courageous voices spoke out

The 20 Republican members of the House Judiciary Committee called for a second Special Counsel to investigate actions by Hillary Clinton and the Democrat Party during the 2016 presidential election and its aftermath. “The very core of our justice system demands as much,” they said in a letter to the Attorney General.

And Rep. Dana Rohrabacher (R-CA), then chair of a House Foreign Affairs subcommittee, called for an “under-oath” investigation of alleged corruption by the Clinton Foundation helping Russian interests and hurting the American energy industry.

But the Clinton-loving “mainstream media” remained uninterested and silent. And nothing happened. As usual, the Clintons had their get-out-of-jail-free card.

Chapter 4:

Democrats: Perfecting the Art of Mean, Evil & Violent Politics

American politics has always resembled an exciting sport, not a boring lecture. In rare periods of calm and consensus it may have resembled baseball—competitive but civil. Most of the time it has resembled football—rougher and tougher. But in the past few years, thanks to the radical Left and the radicalized Democrats, it has resembled a combination of professional wrestling (brutal but fake) and the Hunger Games (death to your opponents, survival of the meanest).

It's time to go back to football and baseball. The American people are tired of the nastiness that has marked our politics since the radical Left captured the Democrat Party.

Enough of the never-ending wars, based on hoaxes, that have made so many of us tune out of politics—the Russia hoax, the Ukraine hoax, the end-of-the-world Global Warming hoax. Enough of the show trials of prominent opponents such as Brett Kavanaugh and Roger Stone. Enough of government by “crisis,” including the impeachment circus that started before President Trump took office. Back to accepting the verdicts of the American people at the ballot box. Back to civility and decency.

We are under no delusion that the radicalized Democrats will change on their own.

In the next section of this book, we will look at the meanest and nastiest aspect of leftist/Democrat politics—the intimidation and violence that has become the everyday norm in the nation's daily life.

Defamed Covington high school student fights back, wins

You remember Nick Sandmann, even if you might not remember his name.

Sandmann and his Catholic high school friends from Covington, Kentucky, came to Washington, D.C. to join the annual March for Life rally on the National Mall. While standing there, the students were confronted by a Native American beating a drum. Nick Sandmann smiled at the drummer for some time, probably wondering what the heck was going on. The scene was caught by television and video cameras, and immediately the Establishment Media went into action with their morality play.

Evil: Sandmann and his fellow students, because they were rubes (from fly-over Kentucky), Catholic, pro-life, and wearing MAGA hats. What more proof do you need?

Good: The drummer, because he was Native American, seemingly opposed to the students (though that wasn't exactly clear from the video clips the media showed), and (we were told) a Vietnam vet. (The Establishment Media doesn't care about Vietnam vets, or veterans of any war, unless they can use them for propaganda purposes. And it turned out this guy wasn't a Vietnam veteran after all.)

The vilification went viral, as we say in this social media age. CNN, for example, devoted at least four TV broadcasts and nine online articles to the scene, falsely accusing the students of engaging in racist conduct against African Americans and Native Americans. As for the drummer, CNN said the students "surrounded" him, creating "a really dangerous situation," "harassed and taunted" him, and Sandmann "blocked [his] escape when he tried to leave, leading him to "fear for his safety and the safety of those with him." The full video showed that none of this ever happened. CNN had selectively edited the video to create that impression.

As Peter Van Buren wrote in *The American Conservative*:

"But not only was everything CNN and the others said absolutely wrong (Sandmann was never an aggressor, and alongside his peers, said nothing in return to those taunting him), it wasn't even news. Nothing really happened. Students on a field trip. But the media appointed Sandmann their racist oberfuhrer, fashioned the others into props, and had the entire white nationalist anti-Trump agenda in one handy snapshot."

Sandmann received threats of violence, and his family had to vacate their home temporarily. Shamefully, Covington's Catholic Bishop Roger Foys cravenly apologized for what the students had supposedly done. (The bishop was later seen eating crow at dinner.)

There were no apologies from the media outlets who defamed the students. For example, the

New York Times: “Boys in ‘Make America Great Again’ hats mob native elder at Indigenous Peoples March.”

Videos that subsequently appeared showed who were the real aggressors. “White people go back to Europe where you came from,” shouts one of the Native Americans. “This is not your land.” And there were vile anti-gay remarks from the Black Hebrew Israelite protestors there (“Your president is a homosexual” was one of the milder taunts), which the students rebuked. Of course those confrontations didn’t make it into the media reports.

But this story has a happier ending than most cases of leftist harassment. Sandmann fought back, and sued a whole slew of leftist propagandists, including CNN, MSNBC’s parent company, the Associated Press, Gannett, the *Huffington Post*, *Slate*, and the *Washington Post*. And then the other students started suing.

CNN has since settled with Sandmann for that legendary “undisclosed sum.” Rumor has it he is now the highest paid person at CNN with \$80 million to his name. They obviously didn’t want a public trial, which would expose their operating methods. And the suits against the other media outlets are working their way through the courts. To add insult to CNN’s injury, they were made to air Sandmann’s address to the RNC convention that ended with him donning that famous red cap and saying, “I stand for America.” Noticably, CNN commenters were silent after his speech.

Sandmann presumably will not ever have to worry about college costs. We hope he doesn’t waste his settlement on one of the Ivy League schools loved by the elitists who hate his kind. Hillsdale College would be a good choice, Nick.

Jury awards Oberlin bakery \$44 million against Oberlin College for its ‘racist’ smear

Here’s another story that demonstrates the importance of fighting back against the leftist mob. And what a sweet story this is! Trust a jury of American citizens to do the right thing.

Oberlin College, 35 miles southwest of downtown Cleveland, tries hard to be the most liberal college in America. (It has lots of competition for that designation, of course.) It got its comeuppance when it took part in libeling a town bakery for being—of course—racist.

The students at this prestigious college (prestigious at least in the leftist universe) have a reputation for shoplifting—indeed, an article in the college newspaper described shoplifting as a rite of passage for Oberlin students. Police records show that between 2011 and 2016 there had been four robberies at Gibson’s Bakery—a 134-year-old, fifth-generation family business in town—and 40 adults were arrested for shoplifting, of whom 33 were college students (and only six of those were black). And of course it’s not just Gibson’s. The owner of a Ben Franklin store in the neighborhood reported losing more than \$10,000 a year to shoplifters.

Then on November 9, 2016, the family member manning the store in Gibson's Bakery confronted a black Oberlin student who had shoplifted wine. Two other black students joined in and assaulted him, police said. Eventually the three students plead guilty to misdemeanors, and read statements in court that said the bakery's actions were not racially motivated. But there was no need for the facts to be determined in court. The vicious assaults on Gibson's Bakery began immediately.

Note that date: November 9, 2016. Some say the protests were a response against the election of Donald Trump as President.

Hundreds of students started protesting outside the bakery the next day. Members of Oberlin College's student senate published a resolution claiming that Gibson's had "a history of racial profiling and discriminatory treatment." The college stopped doing business with the bakery, whose products it had used in its dining halls. The college described the bakery's chase-and-detain policy regarding shoplifters as "archaic." The bakery's lawsuit accused administration and faculty members of encouraging the protests and said college tour guides informed prospective students that Gibson's was racist. These protests devastated their business, they testified, and forced them to lay off workers.

The Lorain County jury that heard the evidence awarded the bakery \$33 million in punitive damages. And that was in addition to \$11 million in compensatory damages awarded a week earlier, and an additional \$6.5 million ordered by the court as reimbursement for Gibson's legal expenses.

(No need to shed tears for Oberlin College, which charges these "students" \$70,000 a year for tuition and room and board. It can easily afford the settlement—it has an endowment of \$887 million. But, of course, the college is appealing.)

The lesson to be learned is: Fight back!

Roger Stone's arrest puts spotlight on double standard in justice

Hillary Clinton destroyed a computer after deleting emails that were under federal subpoena. She even had the computer "bleached" or "acid-washed" to make it virtually impossible to recover the emails. She was never prosecuted or charged with a crime.

Trump aide Roger Stone was accused by the Mueller investigation of lying on matters that had nothing to do with Russian collusion or election meddling. The 68-year-old unarmed Stone was roused from his sleep by a military assault on his house. He opened the door to be shackled by 29 heavily armed federal agents, a SWAT team supported by 17 vehicles, two of them armored, and with a helicopter overhead. That's more troops than were used to invade Osama bin Laden's

compound in Pakistan.

Fox News commentator Tucker Carlson was one of the few in the media who had problems with this:

“If convicted, Stone could die in prison.... Officially, Stone was charged with lying, something most of our political elite engage in every day. But his real crime was flamboyance. Stone has spent the last 40 years giving the finger to the people in charge. In the end, they got him. They always do....

“So, what was the justification for doing that? Prosecutors knew perfectly well that Stone was not a flight risk. He’s broke. He doesn’t even have a valid passport. They could simply have called his lawyer and told him to surrender. That’s the actual standard in cases like this. But they didn’t do that. Instead, they went in with guns drawn.”

Roger Stone was a political prisoner—an enemy of the Deep State. President Trump wisely commuted Roger Stone’s sentence in July 2020 for this travesty of justice.

Another tantalizing question: Why was a CNN crew there to film this supposedly secret operation? If Roger Stone was a danger, why would Mueller put the reporters at risk? Answer: CNN is a public relations flack for the Deep State. And the Deep State takes care of its friends, just as it treats its political enemies like foreign terrorists.

In the Beginning: The Left and the Democrats used the Kavanaugh confirmation hearings to deny one of your basic rights as an American

Who can forget those horrific confirmation hearings for the confirmation of Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court?

Judge Brett Kavanaugh was the immediate target of the radical Democrat campaign against him. But the far-Left takeover of the party is now so complete, and the Democrats’ hysteria is so overwhelming, that basic rights of every American citizen are now at risk. Be afraid—very afraid—if the Democrats win control of the White House and Congress in 2020.

It is a basic principle of American law that you are presumed innocent until proven guilty. And both law and common sense say you are not presumed guilty by reason of your genetic makeup (in this instance, whether you are male or female). The radicalized Democrats now deny both of those fundamental American propositions.

The larger message of the Democrat onslaught is a radical perversion of the #MeToo movement. Democrat politicians and the Democrat mainstream media told us that when there are accusations of sexual violence and assault, men are always guilty, and women are always to be believed.

The poster girl for this attitude was the leftist Democrat Senator from Hawaii, Mazie Hirono: “I just want to say to the men in this country: Just shut up and step up. Do the right thing, for a change.” And the right thing, of course, was to find Kavanaugh guilty because his accuser, Christine Blasey Ford, was a woman. “I believe her,” Hirono said, passing judgment before either Ford or Kavanaugh had made their statements before the Soviet-like show trial. And the liberal media hyenas agreed with her.

Thankfully that whole contrivance fell apart and Judge Kavanaugh was confirmed. But not before a frenzy of wild accusations that included a woman who claimed to have been to a series of high school parties where young Kavanaugh and other privileged white young males routinely gang-raped women at the party. Unexplained was why she kept going to those parties when this supposedly was happening. Her story was so ludicrous, it was eventually dropped down the memory hole. But not before the media had repeated her accusations over and over and over.

For shame! The real victims besides Kavanaugh, of course, were women with valid evidence of sexual violence. They do not deserve to be tarnished by political vendettas like the one levied against Kavanaugh.

California shows Democrats how to steal elections—legally

It’s called “ballot harvesting,” and California Democrats are experts at it.

In 2015, over one million illegal aliens in California were allowed to apply for driver’s licenses without having their immigration status reported to federal authorities.

In 2017, the state’s “motor voter” law automatically registered Californians to vote when they applied for driver’s licenses unless they were ineligible. But the law was rushed into effect in time for the 2018 elections, and before the state’s Department of Motor Vehicles could register the voter applications properly. This was the election in which seven Republican Congressmen from California lost their races.

Then there’s the mail-in ballots. Originally meant for voters who would be absent from their residences on Election Day, for legitimate travel reasons, it is now used indiscriminately and often constitutes a major portion of the total vote. The problem is that these mail-in ballots are an open invitation to corruption by activists with an agenda.

Regarding that 2018 election, for example, a *Los Angeles Times* story in January 2019 revealed that illegal Dreamers had been heavily involved in approaching potential voters at their residences, and helping them fill out their ballots. Did they pressure voters to cast ballots for the “right” candidates? Did they deliver ballots that were cast for the “wrong” candidate? We don’t know, and that’s the problem. These temptations to corruption should be eliminated.

Suspicious election developments

Consider California's rural 21st congressional district in 2018. When polls closed, the Republican led the Democrat by a comfortable 8,000 votes, or 8% of the votes cast. That was more than enough for the media to announce that the Republican had won.

But then late ballots delivered by third-party groups broke so heavily for the Democrat that he eked out an 843-vote victory. Why is this suspicious? Voter studies have shown that absentee ballots normally break roughly the same as votes cast at voting booths on Election Day. And polls before the election showed the Democrat distinctly behind in this rural district, with only 36% support a few months earlier. Yet here the absentee ballots delivered late by third-party groups gave that Democrat a victory.

Vladimir Putin: Don't bother trying to steal our elections. The Democrats have more experience and are better at it!

Outrageous: Leftist mayor Bill de Blasio is shipping New York City's homeless to YOUR neighborhood—without your permission, without even telling you

New York City—like most cities governed by leftist Democrats—has a homeless problem. The Coalition for the Homeless counted 63,839 men, women, and children sleeping in the city's homeless shelters in January 2019. And who knows how many are trying to survive somewhere else—on the streets, in cars, in abandoned buildings.

But New York City's Mayor Bill de Blasio has a solution. He wants to ship his homeless to your neighborhood. Without your permission or the permission of your city's officials. Without even telling you, actually.

The city has already shipped 12,482 homeless individuals to 373 cities in 32 states, with locations as far away as Honolulu. In addition to transportation to their new homes, the city pays their rent for a year. You might ask, why not just pay their rent in New York City? Answer: Have you checked how expensive the city has become? The average rent for a one-bedroom apartment in New York City is over \$3,500, up from \$1,938 at the beginning of 2011.

While de Blasio's "Special One-Time Assistance Program" has cost \$89 million for just the rent, the city's Department of Homeless Services defends that cost by noting that housing the homeless in city-run shelters costs \$41,000 per family annually, compared to an average annual rent of \$17,563 elsewhere. So the program makes sense, in dollars and cents, for New York City's taxpayers.

But if you and your city are on the receiving end of this program, you may have a different opinion, for good reason. For example, what happens to the displaced homeless family when their rent subsidy ends? Will they go on welfare in their new location? The mayor of Irvington, New

Jersey, which has received 278 of New York City's homeless, is worried about that and is "highly disturbed" by the program. And now that this program has been exposed, will San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Seattle—all Democrat cities with runaway homeless problems and runaway rent costs— also start shipping their homeless to your town?

Leftists love to exploit children in their propaganda crusades

Leftists have a long history of exploiting children in their propaganda crusades. The absurdities and hypocrisy of their political campaigns open them up to ridicule by more mature adults, so they hide behind an appealing kid. Disagree with what the youngster says and you're obviously a child-hating ogre who can't stand to hear the truth (their leftist "truth") coming from the lips of an innocent. That's the purpose behind the exploitation.

The most recent example to garner international fame was Greta Thunberg, the sweet 16-year-old Swedish teen who became the poster girl for their "global warming" religion. The one who addressed the United Nations to accuse us callous adults in the West of leading the world to mass extinction, conveniently not mentioning China, the world's greatest polluter by far. "You have stolen my dreams and my childhood with your empty words," she said to the UN delegates.

"There's no excuse, none, for this shameless and despicable exploitation of a vulnerable girl," wrote Townhall columnist Kurt Schlichter. "What kind of person would do that to a child? The kind that considers other people collateral damage in their quest for a leftist utopia." His entire column deserves reading for a full understanding of the cruel ways Greta has been used.

By politicians like Barack Obama, for example, "who was so convinced the seas are rising that he just dropped \$15 million on a beach house." By unhinged alarmists like Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, we would add, who warns that the world has about a dozen years left before it is destroyed by global warming. And, of course, by the 2020 crop of socialist Democrat presidential candidates. Nor can we leave out Al Gore, the Godfather of climate alarmists, who speeds up the demise of the world with his constant travels on carbon-emitting private jets.

Back in the days of the Cold War, the Left's poster girl was 10-year-old Samantha Smith, who asked Soviet leader Yuri Andropov: "I would like to know why you want to conquer the world or at least our country." Of course, the peace-lover in the Kremlin invited her on a "fact-finding" tour of Russia, and she became a peace activist posing in the uniform of a Soviet Young Pioneer.

Dinesh D'Souza had the audacity to note a curious resemblance of these girls to an earlier period's propaganda. "Children—notably Nordic white girls with braids and red cheeks—were often used in Nazi propaganda. An old Goebbels technique! Looks like today's progressive Left is still learning its game from an earlier Left in the 1930s."

President Trump has not escaped being the target of a children's crusade. In Eugene, Oregon, a

group of kids aged 9 to 21 (actually, of course, their radical parents and lawyers) have sued the federal government for allegedly endangering their future by failing to tackle climate change.

The Oregon lower court hearing this case, *Juliana et al v. United States*, ruled that American citizens have an “unenumerated fundamental right” to “a climate system capable of sustaining human life.” As the Trump administration has argued, such an “amorphous and sweeping right” would permit the court “to dictate and manage—indefinitely—all federal policy decisions related to fossil fuels, energy production, alternative energy sources, public lands, and air quality standards. To say the least, and by the district court’s own admission, this ruling is ‘unprecedented.’”

The specter of groups of brainwashed children suing the Trump administration over broad policy issues is ludicrous on its face, but it illustrates once again how the Left is willing to exploit children for its ideological goals.

Chapter 5:

Democrats: Mean & Evil on Guns and Your Right to Bear Arms

Even as they consistently seek to place the “rights” of violent criminals ahead of law-abiding citizens... Even as they promote open borders that let in more criminals... Even as they denigrate, attack, and call for defunding the police agencies that protect us from these criminal elements... Even as the looniest of them want to “abolish prisons”... Even as they pursue policies such as these, the radicalized Democrats want to infringe on your Second Amendment rights—your right to bear arms—piece by piece, until you are unable to defend yourself against the criminal elements.

And that makes them evil.

Only a Democrat can lie like this: “Nobody wants to take [your gun] away from you” becomes “Hell, yes! We’re going to take your AR-15, your AK-47”

Yes, both of those statements were made by Beto O’Rourke, revealing just how much you can trust Democrat politicians when they say they’re not going to take your guns. No wonder the other candidates were glad when he dropped out of the presidential race. He just couldn’t keep his mouth shut, and he gave away their con: you say one thing when you want to be elected, the truth when you’re trying to prove that you’re the best radical on the stage.

When Beto was running for the U.S. Senate in Texas, he declared on a radio show that he supported Americans' constitutional right to own guns: "We support the Second Amendment. If you own a gun, keep that gun, nobody wants to take it away from you—at least I don't want to do that." To be honest about his views in gun-loving Texas would have doomed his chances of winning. (He was doomed to lose anyway, thankfully.)

A year later Beto was in a Democrat presidential debate, with each candidate trying to position themselves farther Left on the stage than the others. The moderator asked: "Critics call this confiscation. Are you proposing taking away their guns?" Beto shot back: "Hell, yes! We're going to take your AR-15, your AK-47." The audience cheered, and within an hour his campaign was fundraising with that promise and marketing a new T-shirt: "HELL YES WE'RE GOING TO TAKE YOUR AR-15" it says in red, white, and blue letters (\$30 in sizes from XS to 3XL).

As Boston Globe columnist Jeff Jacoby noted, "None of the other candidates had a problem with O'Rourke's vow to confiscate a legal weapon owned by an estimated 15 million Americans. On the contrary, several went out of their way to praise his recent anti-gun rhetoric." He added: "The eruption of cheers that greeted O'Rourke's 'Hell, yes!' and the failure of anyone on stage to demur, will convince many voters that whatever anti-gun lobbyists may say today, what they intend for tomorrow is to seize guns from millions of Americans whose right to own them is guaranteed by the nation's fundamental legal charter."

How unhinged is this Democrat?

Answer: About as unhinged as you can get. It was too much even for CNN host Alisyn Camerota—CNN!

Camerota: "You expect mass shooters to follow the law?"

O'Rourke: "Our fellow Americans will follow the law, yes."

Camerota: "Mass shooters...don't follow the law—by definition. It doesn't make sense that people are going to hand over their 'assault weapons' if they're mass shooters. If they want to do harm to people, they're not going to follow the law."

Yet other Democrat presidential candidates immediately supported Beto's proposal, while the others meekly voiced no objection. That tells you how the far Left has taken over the Democrat Party.

And it's not just Beto going after your guns—it's the new, radical Democrat Party

Some apologists for the Democrats might claim that Beto O'Rourke is the exception—that the party doesn't agree with him. But the evidence is that the radicalized Democrat Party wants to

grab your guns step by step, maybe not overnight as Beto suggested.

The New York Times surveyed 19 Democrat presidential candidates and reported that, yes indeed, “proposals that were politically untouchable are now firmly on the table.”

“All 19 candidates support an assault weapons ban.... There is also some support for a federal gun registry, an idea that many Democrats used to dismiss exasperatedly as gun-lobby scare-mongering.

“Former Vice President Joseph R. Biden Jr. is calling for a ban on all online sales of guns and gun parts, an unusually aggressive proposal. Senator Elizabeth Warren wants a 30% excise tax on guns and a 50% excise tax on ammunition. Thirteen candidates want to require a license to own a gun.

“The scope of the candidates’ plans is striking: The Times asked about 17 policies, and a third of the field said yes to all of them. Most said they were prepared to take executive action, push to eliminate the Senate filibuster, or both in order to enact them.”

“Moderate” Joe Biden wants to legalize lawsuits against gun manufacturers tied to firearms that were legally manufactured and legally sold. He wants to ban the manufacture and sale of AR-15s and require registration of the ones already in circulation. He also wants to register ammunition magazines and says gun owners should be liable if they do not keep their firearms “under lock and key.” He also told NBC News that he is open to registration of all guns “down the line.”

The Democrats’ vicious assault on the rifle

AR-15s are one of the most popular guns in the United States. According to the National Shooting Sports Foundation, they account for as much as 60% of all civilian rifle sales.

Boston Globe columnist Jeff Jacoby comments:

“Have guns been used to commit terrible crimes? Obviously. Yet 99.9% of legally owned weapons are never used to commit any criminal offense. The hostility toward ‘assault’ rifles is *even more unhinged, inasmuch as rifles—rifles of all kinds—are used less frequently to commit homicide than hammers, fists, knives, or (by a wide margin) handguns.* (emphasis added)

“Moreover, gun ownership is normal. Gallup reports that 43% of Americans live in households with firearms.”

Indeed, according to the FBI’s Uniform Crime Report, gun murders fell by 6.7% in 2018 (the last year for which final statistics are available) and murders committed specifically with rifles fell by an even greater 23.8%. Rifles were involved in only 2.8% of all murders, and knives, fists, and blunt objects were used to commit murder more often than rifles.

This is especially remarkable given that an estimated 16 million rifles are owned by civilians. For those interested in statistics, only 297 murders were committed with rifles, and that means that less than 0.00002% of all rifles in the U.S. were used to murder someone. Hardly a “crisis.”

San Francisco today reveals what the Democrats plan for all of the United States tomorrow. The radical Left controls the city—lock, stock, and barrel, as the saying goes—so there is no need for pretense. And on September 3, 2019, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors unanimously approved a resolution declaring the National Rifle Association a domestic “terrorist” organization, and said local government agencies should avoid doing business with the NRA or organizations associated with it. The supervisor who sponsored the resolution told USA Today that it was also meant to pave the way for similar legislation at the local, state, or federal level.

The resolution says the NRA aims to “incite gun owners to acts of violence”—a blatant lie. On the contrary, the NRA is a leader in educational training that promotes safe use of firearms.

Despite the facts, emotions rule within the radicalized Democrat Party. A poll conducted by TheHill/Harrix X shows that 82% of today’s Democrat voters support “a mandatory buyback program” for AR-15s and AR47s. If you value the Second Amendment, the Democrats must be defeated in 2020.

You have been warned

All the gun-control proposals are just the foot in the door. It is obvious that the end-game of the Democrats and the Left is gun confiscation. As National Review puts it, this “means that a host of commonly posed inquiries now have the same simple answer.

“Why do you oppose federal licensing?”

“Because leading Democrats are threatening confiscation.”

“Why do you oppose “universal” background checks?”

“Because they would create a registry.”

“And why do you oppose a registry?”

“Because leading Democrats are threatening confiscation...”

No voter can now say he wasn’t warned.

The Left and the Democrats push fake news about mass shootings in their drive to disarm Americans

The murder of any innocent victim is tragic. But the evil and depravity of the Left and the Democrats is exposed by their eagerness to use every mass killing to stampede Americans into disarming. This is a propaganda attempt totally unsupported by any facts.

Nobody has offered a rational cause for the mass shootings that take place. We suspect that one of the key reasons might be the pervasiveness of media attention today thanks primarily to television and the Internet. Inevitably there will be some mentally and morally disturbed individuals who decide to become “copycat” killers when they see the attention given to these killings. We really don’t know whether there are more mass killings today than there were, say, 100 or 200 years ago. But we do know that the communication available in those days was low-key and nowhere as pervasive as it is today.

Having suggested that as a possibility, the more important fact is that mass shootings are a rarity—even today. You are more likely to be killed in countless other ways. Those other ways just don’t have the emotional impact of a mass shooting, and the Democrats and the Left are more than willing to use human tragedy to further their political goals of complete power.

Saul Alinsky, in his *Rules for Radicals*, famously advised: “Never let a crisis go to waste.” Rules has been the playbook for the Left ever since: “Its enduring message: the ends justify the means.” Community organizer Barack Obama was Alinsky’s most influential student, and Rahm Emanuel, President Obama’s chief of staff and then Democrat mayor of Chicago, dutifully paraphrased the master: “You never let a serious crisis go to waste. And what I mean by that it’s an opportunity to do things you think you could not do before.”

The Democrats and the Left, agreeing that the ends justify the means, have learned that lesson well and use it whenever a mass shooting occurs. But let’s compare the emotional propaganda with the facts.

You are much more likely to die of any number of causes than by a mass shooting:

*Number of deaths due to mass shootings: 382 in 2016, the worst year, 340 in 2018

*Number of motorcycle deaths annually: nearly 5,000 (Forbes)

*Number of deaths annually by choking: 5,051 in 2015 (National Safety Council)

*Number of deaths annually by drowning: 3,536 (CDC)

*Number of deaths annually by fires: 3,645 (FEMA)

*Number of deaths annually due to drug overdoses: approximately 70,200 (National Institute on Drug Abuse)

*Number of deaths due to the obesity epidemic: an estimated 300,000 (National Institutes of Health)

*Number of deaths attributed to smoking: an estimated 480,000 (CDC)

Northeastern University's Mass Killing Database is the nation's most comprehensive depository on mass killings, and the university's criminologist James Alan Fox is one of the nation's leading researchers on mass murder. He points out that about half of all mass murders are murders within a family, and 22% of all mass murders are carried out with weapons other than a gun. Yet because of the propaganda, the public associates all mass murders as public killings by a gunman.

“There is no epidemic of school shootings”

Research by Fox and his doctoral student Emma E. Fridel also shows that, contrary to the public's perception, there is no epidemic of school shootings today. In fact, shooting incidents involving students have been declining since the 1990s. “Four times the number of children were killed in schools in the early 1990s than today,” Fox says, and more kids are killed each year from pool drownings or bicycle accidents. Out of some 55 million school children in the U.S., and, on average over the past 25 years, about 10 students per year are killed by gunfire at school.

Yet surveys by the Pew Research Center show that 57% of teens are worried about the possibility of a shooting happening at their school, with one-in-four saying they are very worried. And 63% of their parents are worried about such a possibility.

Totally false propaganda by the Left and Democrats are causing unnecessary fear among students, parents, and the general public, and are unnecessarily and unwisely turning our schools into prisons.

Anatomy of a school massacre: Blame political correctness, not guns

Controlling the public narrative is the most important tool of propaganda, and this is especially true when a tragedy such as a school massacre occurs. As an example, let's look at the one that took place in Broward County, Florida. The public narrative in the mainstream media and “correct” political circles has been to portray guns as the villain, and to call for more restrictive gun-control laws. It is all so totally predictable.

And so tragically wrong-headed. The gun-control laws under consideration would not have stopped this massacre. What we have here is the total failure of government at all levels, as well as associated agencies that work with them, to protect the students. Instead of guns, look at the failures of the FBI, the Obama Justice Department, state and local laws governing education, local school officials, local law enforcement, and local juvenile rehabilitation agencies.

Some of these failures were due to deep corruption, others to unintended consequences of well-meaning but deficient “reforms.” This is what Congress at the national level, legislatures at the state level, and local governmental, police, and civic entities should be investigating.

Nikolas Cruz, the 19-year-old who has confessed to killing 14 students and 3 faculty members at Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School in Broward County, Florida, was no stranger to any of the local authorities. Excellent reporting by the Miami Herald, which managed to obtain school disciplinary reports, reveals that Cruz engaged in disrupting class, unruly behavior, insulting or profane language, fighting, and an assault on January 19, 2017, that resulted in a referral for a “threat assessment.” He brought a backpack with bullets to school, which got him banned from bringing a backpack to school in the future. He told a fellow student, “I’m going to go there [the high school] and shoot it up.” Local police made at least 18 visits to the Cruz home because of his actions, including holding a gun to a relative’s head. Last November the police got a tip that Cruz “could be a school shooter in the making,” but deputies did not even write up a report on that warning. And the FBI has admitted that it received at least two tips, one warning that Cruz was a possible violent threat. But the Florida Department of Children and Families determined that Cruz was not a threat to himself or others at the time of their investigation because he was in therapy.

We citizens are admonished, “If you see something, say something.” But what if the authorities then do nothing about our warnings?

Indeed, looking at Cruz’s record, what does it take today to get a student expelled? According to the Miami Herald, “Contrary to early reports, Cruz was never expelled from Broward schools. Legally, he couldn’t be... Under federal law, Nikolas Cruz had a right to a ‘free and appropriate’ education at a public school near him.... In general, school districts are required to provide kids with physical, emotional, or intellectual disabilities a free education in the ‘least restrictive’ setting....”

As a result, the Broward County school district—sixth largest in the nation, with over 265,000 students—expelled only 8 students between 2010 and 2014. And apparently those 8 were expelled only because they refused to go to one of those remedial school programs...

That “least restrictive” setting, in Broward County, consisted of stints lasting 3 to 10 days in an alternative school seeking to modify their behavior in that short period. Obviously it didn’t work with Nikolas Cruz.

This assault on discipline was planned

You could almost feel sorry for school authorities, hindered from expelling violent students by federal and local laws—until you learn that they were willing and active participants in a scheme to put such a program into being.

The website Conservative Treehouse and its Twitter thread reported how the school district to Broward’s south, Miami/Dade County, learned how to collect federal and state aid (taxpayer funded, of course) by reducing juvenile arrests—instead placing these miscreants in those alter-

native schools, for example, or just issuing them a citation (compared to “a traffic ticket”). This was a priority program promoted by the Obama Justice Department.

Broward authorities figured they could collect these rewards too, and soon became the Obama Administration’s national poster boy for reducing school arrests. In 2011, Broward had the most juvenile arrests in the state, with 9,034, but by 2015 this was cut to 4,777.

Of course, as noted by Mark Greenwald, director of research at the Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, the drop in arrests is not necessarily due to a drop in crime. But it looked good on paper. School superintendent Robert Runcie, who had been imported from the Chicago public school district (surprise!), was a hero. In 2015 he was invited by the Obama White House to address a school discipline conference and explain his success. And the next year he was named Florida’s Superintendent of the Year.

But that was before Nikolas Cruz demonstrated the dark side of a policy of total permissiveness.

Worst of all: The Broward Sheriff’s Department

But if you think the school authorities were derelict in their duties, we’ve saved the worst for last.

The nation became familiar with how a Broward sheriff’s deputy hid outside the school building while Cruz shot students and teachers for four long minutes, and how three other deputies hid behind their cars rather than rushing inside to confront the shooter. It was a shameful disgrace to good cops in the Broward force and around the nation.

But back to Conservative Treehouse for information they gathered partially through Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests:

“Well, it didn’t take long for criminal gangs in Broward and Miami-Dade to realize the benefit of using students for their criminal activities. After all, the kids would be let go...so organized crime became easier to get away with if they enlisted high-school kids.

“As criminals became more adept at the timing within the offices of the officials, they timed their biggest crimes to happen after the monthly maximum arrest quota was made....

“Again, over time, the most corrupt police officers within the system became the police inside the schools. These officers were those who are best skilled at identifying the political objectives and instructions.”

These accusations need to be verified by Congress and/or state and local investigators, of course, but are they up to that task, against certain opposition from the politically correct forces?

Even before the school massacre, Broward County Sheriff Scott Israel was under heavy attack for putting political cronies on staff for “outreach” (read: public relations for Israel). According to the Fort Lauderdale Sun-Sentinel, “A log of employees hired by the sheriff shows 10 workers were hired since 2013 into ‘outreach’ roles, their salaries totaling \$634,479.”

Three days after being sworn into office, conservative Republican Governor Ron DeSantis traveled to Broward County to officially remove and suspend the sheriff. (Florida law allows the governor to remove an elected official for specified reasons; DeSantis chose neglect of duty and incompetence.) The president of a private company that specializes in active shooter training and conducts threat assessments for schools was appointed as the new sheriff.

The liberal response to all this: blame guns

The horrendous example of the Florida school massacre shows malfeasance by government authorities and agencies from the federal to the local level, all according to the politically correct playbook. Decreasing the juvenile arrest rate is a worthy goal, but not at the expense of common sense and a total abandonment of school discipline, not to mention the police corruption that allowed all this to happen. The crime wasn’t that Nikolas Cruz used a gun (rather than, say, a bomb), but rather that he was not stopped despite dozens of incidents demonstrating that he was a danger to public safety.

The Florida school massacre is a poster board for left-wing political correctness run amok.

Dark money sources—and billionaires like George Soros and Mike Bloomberg—are financing the anti-gun campaign

It’s no longer a secret that the Left and the Democrats are now the home of the wealthy elites in America. But few people comprehend how much money these people have and use in their campaigns to control us through government coercion.

One of their major conduits goes by the innocuous name Arabella Advisors, LLC.

Arabella manages four nonprofit entities—the New Venture Fund, Sixteen Thirty Fund, Windward Fund, and Hopewell Fund. And between them, the four funds have over 340 fronts. This layered type of organization (called “pass-through funding”) helps them to avoid public scrutiny—left-leaning Politico calls it a “liberal secret-money network”—but the Capital Research Center has connected the dots in its investigative report, “Big Money in Dark Shadows.”

Arabella’s donors’ assets are worth more than \$100 billion, and between 2013 and 2017 they gave \$1.6 billion to Arabella’s leftist campaigns. This makes Arabella more flush than Planned Parenthood, the ACLU Foundation, or the Clinton Foundation. The more than 340 Arabella fronts strive to give the impression they are standalone “grass-roots” activists, but they really represent the elites.

One of its major campaigns is gun-control. In contrast, the Political Victory Fund of the National Rifle Association (NRA) currently gets only around \$10 million a year in donations, according to the Federal Election Commission. But there's another contrast: 90% of the NRA's donations are under \$200 and the average donation is around \$35. It's a classic contest of the rich vs. everyday Americans.

One of Arabella's anti-gun ventures, for example, is the Hope and Heal Fund, described by the Capital Research Center report as "a New Venture-sponsored gun control group based in California. It's led by Brian Malte, a longtime senior national policy director for the well-known gun control group Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence. As with other New Venture projects, Hope and Heal Fund's actual funders are hard to identify. According to a report by the left-leaning website Inside Philanthropy, the Hope and Heal Fund was launched in October 2017 with \$2 million from eight liberal foundations, including the Akonadi Foundation, the California Endowment, Blue Shield of California Foundation, and California Wellness Foundation."

That California Wellness Foundation has awarded over \$1 billion in grants since 1992 and focuses in part on "gun violence prevention."

Then there's the notorious far-Left billionaire, George Soros, who has given more than \$550 million to leftist groups since 2000 through his Open Society Foundations and promises to contribute another \$18 billion. His anti-gun contributions, amounting to millions, have gone to groups such as the Brady Campaign, the Million Mom March, the Educational Fund to Stop Gun Violence, New Yorkers Against Gun Violence, Women Against Gun Violence, the Joyce Foundation's Fund for a Safer Future, and the Violence Policy Center. Open Society has also supported lawsuits aimed at bankrupting the firearms industry.

And let us not forget the other billionaires. Forbes magazine lists some in its article, "These Are the U.S. Billionaires Who Back Gun Control." They include Michael Bloomberg (\$50 million to Everytown for Gun Safety), Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates and his wife Melinda, investment guru Warren Buffett, TV and movie personality Oprah Winfrey, Microsoft co-founder Paul Allen, former Microsoft CEO Steve Ballmer, and even "conservative" Fox owner Rupert Murdoch.

Grassroots Americans face powerful opposition from the anti-gun billionaires and millionaires. But we have more votes, and we must use them to make certain that the anti-gun Democrat Party does not win in 2020.

Can this billionaire's fortune trump the Second Amendment?

Before he decided to use his fortune of \$55 billion to buy his way into the Democrat presidential race, former New York City Mayor Michael Bloomberg began using it to create a powerful gun-control lobby. His umbrella organization is Everytown for Gun Safety; he accounted for \$38

million of the group's \$66 million in donations in 2018. Nationally, Everytown made more than \$17 million in political contributions and \$4 million in lobbying in the midterm elections, helping the Democrats capture the House of Representatives.

The effectiveness of this flood of money was next seen in the Virginia state elections in 2019. The Bloomberg gun-control front spent \$2.5 million in Virginia, compared to \$300,000 spent by the NRA in the state. This decisively helped the Democrats win control of the state legislature for the first time since 1994, and Virginia gun owners are now bracing themselves for a torrent of gun-control laws. Everytown claims that its emphasis on state-level politicking has already "led to the passage of meaningful gun safety legislation in 20 states." (Note the public relations-savvy use of the term "gun safety" rather than "gun control.")

"I'll be making gun violence a major issue of my campaign," Bloomberg promised as he entered the Democrat presidential race. "I spent hundreds of millions of dollars fighting the NRA to win common sense gun laws, and I'm not stopping now."

Of course, being a sugar daddy has its rewards, and Bloomberg was quick to get valuable support from Moms Demand Action, the "grassroots" arm of Everytown.

Mother Jones reports:

"The leaders of Moms Demand Action state chapters and local groups received the news from Aimee Tavares, a senior national organizing director for the group, who sent an email roughly three and a half hours after news broke that Bloomberg would formally announce his candidacy. 'Given his unique role in launching Everytown, his leadership in advancing the cause of gun safety, and the significant investments he's made in helping to grow our movement, Mayor Bloomberg's campaign is temporarily renting access to our list of supporters so they can communicate his plans for achieving our shared goal of a nation free from gun violence,' Tavares wrote." (emphasis added)

Shannon Watts, the founder of Moms Demand Action, says Bloomberg will have to take the same steps any other candidate has taken to earn the group's "Gun Sense Candidate" distinction. Forget Hollywood's Oscars—the suspense is killing us!

America has entered a new era of Rule by Plutocrats. Will we let this billionaire determine the fate of the Second Amendment? The 2020 election will be the test.

Actually, gun controls have worked—for Hitler, Stalin, Mao, Castro

Gun controls don't keep guns out of the hands of criminals. But they do work to help the world's greatest monsters control their slave populations. Funny how the "mainstream" press fails to mention this.

When the subject turns to the world's worst villains, the first name to pop up in most people's minds is Adolph Hitler. Here's the book jacket description on an Independent Institute book:

“Nazi Germany invaded France in 1940. In every occupied town, Nazi soldiers put up posters that demanded that civilians surrender their firearms within twenty-four hours or else be shot. Despite the consequences, many French citizens refused to comply with the order. In *Gun Control in Nazi-Occupied France: Tyranny and Resistance*, Stephen P. Halbrook tells this story of Nazi repression and the brave French men and women who refused to surrender to it.

“Taking advantage of a prewar 1935 French gun registration law, the Nazis used registration records kept by the French police to easily locate gun owners to enforce their demand that firearms be surrendered.” (emphasis added)

And of course Hitler had first used gun controls in Germany to disarm the Jews and “enemies of the state.” As another Halbrook book explains:

“Based on newly discovered, secret documents from German archives, diaries and newspapers of the time, *Gun Control in the Third Reich* presents the definitive, yet hidden history of how the Nazi regime made use of gun control to disarm and repress its enemies and consolidate power. The countless books on the Third Reich and the Holocaust fail even to mention the laws restricting firearms ownership, which rendered political opponents and Jews defenseless. A skeptic could surmise that a better-armed populace might have made no difference, but the National Socialist regime certainly did not think so—it ruthlessly suppressed firearm ownership by disfavored groups.”

Needless to say, Hitler was not the only dictator to understand the importance of gun controls.

The communists allowed the possession of weapons during the Russian Civil War, but that ended when they were victorious. If you weren't a member of the Communist Party, the penalty for possession of any weapons was ten years' imprisonment.

In China, the birthplace of gunpowder, communist leader Mao Zedong famously wrote that “political power grows out of the barrel of a gun.” Accordingly, he banned the buying, selling, and transporting of firearms, and the disarming of the population made it possible for him to kill an estimated 45 million Chinese during the Great Leap Forward, making him the greatest mass murderer in world history.

As the Mises Institute details in “A Brief History of Repressive Regimes and Their Gun Laws,” this is the pattern found everywhere that despots want to maintain their iron grip on power, be it Bulgaria, East Germany, Hungary, Cuba, or Venezuela.

As author Stephen Halbrook concludes, “Maybe it's time to pursue real solutions to criminal violence and forget about a war on peaceable, law-abiding gun owners.”

Chapter 6:

Democrats: Mean & Evil on Immigration & Open Borders

Yes, we're a nation of immigrants—and we're proud of it. A favorite American pastime is exchanging stories about where our ancestors came from, and when and why. No other nation has been as welcoming to immigrants as the United States.

What the radicalized Democrats are demanding, however, is a whole different kettle of fish from what we've had in the past.

First, there's the federal welfare state. There was none, to speak of, before FDR and the New Deal. Immigrants had to make it on their own and, being the hardiest and most entrepreneurial of their population in the Old Country, most of them succeeded in the New World and made tremendous contributions to America's growth. But today we are a colossal welfare state, with trillion-dollar annual deficits, and we need to make sure we're not just getting immigrants who will add to the public dole. But the radicalized Democrats will have nothing of that. Their presidential candidates all favor putting even illegal immigrants on welfare as a "right." Get that? The "rights" of illegal immigrants trump your rights as a tax paying citizen.

Then there's the matter of porous open borders and policies that let in millions of illegal aliens, with grossly inadequate controls to screen out even the cruelest criminals such as MS-13 gang members. But bring up any concerns of that sort and the radicalized Democrats will brand you as a racist bigot.

The Democrats don't want a sensible immigration policy. They want uncontrolled open borders followed by amnesties that give them effective control over millions of new voters. It's all about their quest for political power, not what's good for the U.S. And that makes the radicalized Democrats evil.

You can be fined up to \$250,000 in New York City for saying “illegal alien”

It's unconstitutional and it violates our right to free speech, but that has never stopped New York City or its Mayor Bill de Blasio. On September 25, 2019 the city's Commission on Human Rights declared it is against the law to use the terms “illegal alien” or “aliens,” and doing that can get you fined as high as \$250,000. Threatening to call Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) can also get you in trouble.

Sure, you have to do that with “intent to demean, humiliate or harass a person,” but who's going to determine your motives? New York City bureaucrats, that's who, and you will have to lawyer up if you intend to prove your innocence.

“This is an outrageous violation of the First Amendment,” says the Heritage Foundation, and “Under federal law, any individual in this country who is not a citizen is an alien. Any alien who is here without permission is here illegally. This should be the end of the story. But it is not in New York.”

Heritage points out that this is part of a larger assault on the lawful rights of legal citizens: “New York City makes it unlawful to refuse to provide housing to illegal aliens. It even has a provision on ‘associational discrimination’ that makes it illegal for a landlord to refuse to rent an apartment to a U.S. citizen that the landlord knows will have illegal aliens living in the apartment. *So if you are a human trafficker housing illegal aliens you've smuggled across the border* in an apartment you're renting, don't worry. If the landlord finds out about it he will be barred from doing anything about it.” (emphasis added)

Lawyer Hans Bader, who has practiced civil-rights and constitutional law, notes that the term “illegal alien” appears throughout Title 8 of federal law and is used by the Supreme Court. Yet New York City threatens to sue you for using the term. He also notes that the bureaucratic rules “will have a huge chilling effect on citizens, discouraging them from exercising their First Amendment right to petition federal officials to remove illegal aliens.”

It's all part of the campaign to disguise the illegal nature of illegal immigration by using the phrase “undocumented immigrant” instead. The nation's left-wing media are complicit in this scheme. The style guide of the New York Times, for example, finds use of “illegal alien” to be “loaded or offensive.” And “off the table entirely are ‘illegal’ when used as a noun, and the sinister-sounding ‘alien.’”

George Orwell's novel 1984 predicted this assault on reality. Orwell wrote that his novel “was based chiefly on communism, because that is the dominant form of totalitarianism, but I was

trying chiefly to imagine what communism would be like if it were firmly rooted in the English speaking countries, and was no longer a mere extension of the Russian Foreign Office.” (emphasis added) Now we know, thanks to leftwing Democrat governments like New York City’s and leftwing newspapers like the New York Times.

Democrats put law-abiding Americans at the mercy of illegal criminals

Let us not forget the innocent victims of illegal immigrants turned murderers. Mollie Tibbetts, for example, was a 20-year-old University of Iowa student when she was jogging. An illegal alien confessed to stalking her and led the police to the location of her body. He had been residing illegally in the U.S. for four to seven years.

Mollie joined Kate Steinle, who died on a San Francisco pier when an illegal alien fired the gun that killed her. She was walking with her father, and her last words to him were, “Help me, Dad.” The illegal alien claimed it was all an accident and was acquitted of all murder and manslaughter charges. (This was the sanctuary city of San Francisco, after all.)

The reaction of Democrat leaders and the leftist mainstream media to Mollie Tibbetts’ murder was to turn as quickly as possible to other, “more important stories”—such as President Trump and the separation of children from their parents while illegally entering the U.S. But what is a more cruel and permanent separation of children from their families than what happened to Mollie Tibbetts and Kate Steinle?

We must keep this issue front and center. With the kind of effective border controls demanded by President Trump, there need be no more victims of illegal immigrants.

The Democrats and the leftist mainstream media say this is “politicizing” the murders. No—it is a call for policies that will protect American citizens. No more illegals = no more American victims of illegals. It’s as simple as that.

Note: We are not saying—and you shouldn’t imply—that all illegal aliens are criminals. Far from it. But the illegals should not be here, and with proper border controls and immigration policies, they won’t be. Then there will be no more American victims at the hands of the criminals among them.

Democrats want to abolish ICE—and that would mean unlimited illegal immigration, including criminals

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, an avowed Socialist, has been the poster girl of the leftist media since she was elected to Congress from her overwhelmingly Democrat congressional district in New York City. She started the movement to abolish ICE, and radicalized Democrats have jumped on the bandwagon. Her shameless 2018 photo opp that showed Ocasio-Cortez crying along a mi-

grant detention center's fence line was widely mocked by conservatives online, but did not seem to impact the mainstream narrative.

Abolishing ICE will be a real possibility if the Democrats win the presidency and Congress in November. But abolishing ICE would create a real danger to law-abiding Americans.

ICE stands for Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Abolishing the entire agency would end its role in fighting cyberattacks and human trafficking. But even abolishing only the department the leftist Democrats hate the most—Enforcement and Removal Operations, which detains and deports undocumented immigrants—would open up America to all illegal criminals already inside the United States.

In a recent year, ICE arrested 105,736 immigrants with criminal convictions. If ICE had been abolished, those criminals would have remained untouched by the law.

In addition, the leftist Washington Post reports that at least 178,000 illegals who are convicted criminals “are free pending hearings or appeals, or because the agency has not been able to deport them yet and the Supreme Court has ruled that such individuals cannot be jailed indefinitely.”

Abolishing ICE would not only put law-abiding Americans at the mercy of illegal criminals, it would effectively result in an open-borders policy since ICE is the immigration enforcement agency.

The Democrats are not being humanitarians when they call for abolishing or neutering ICE. Their policy would result in tens of millions of illegal aliens crossing our southern border. And that means millions of new Democrat voters. The Democrats would never lose another election.

Democrats jumped on Ocasio-Cortez's “abolish ICE” bandwagon

Democrat Senator Kirsten Gillibrand of New York said to CNN: “I believe that ICE has become a deportation force ... and that's why I believe you should get rid of it...”

Massachusetts Sen. Elizabeth Warren said ICE should be replaced with “something that reflects our morality and that works,” a weasel-phrase way of saying she wants to abolish the present ICE. Vermont Democrat/Socialist Sen. Bernie Sanders and New Jersey Democrat Sen. Cory Booker, took the same position.

Senator Kamala Harris, Joe Biden's choice for vice president, told MSNBC that it is time to “reexamine” ICE and consider “starting from scratch.”

And these are just a few examples of the growing “abolish ICE” movement among Democrats.

But the Democrats have to hide their ultimate goal

A HuffPost/YouGov poll found that only 21% of all responding Americans want to abolish ICE, while 44% oppose abolishment and 35% are undecided. And this is a poll conducted by the far-left Huffington Post.

But look at how different the results were among just Democrats: Only 22% said they have a favorable opinion of ICE, with 61% having a negative opinion, and 4 in 10 Democrats saying they would support ending the agency. This reflects the growing far-Left orientation of the Democratic Party, the element that would have critical influence in a Democrat-controlled administration.

This is why Democrats and their lackeys in the mainstream media are promoting the movement to abolish ICE, while many Democrat candidates will try to avoid or downplay the issue in their campaigns—the time for action is after they control the House. And this is why conservatives must make sure their Republican candidates emphasize the “abolish ICE” campaign and the real danger to the nation if the Democrats win in November.

Incredible: Democrat leadership defends MS-13!

For years we have been warning that the Democrat Party is moving farther and farther to the Left, but we never thought it would come to this: The most prominent and powerful Democrat, House Majority Leader Nancy Pelosi, defending the super-violent MS-13 gang members who have been properly denounced by President Trump.

Apparently her rage against Trump is now so totally consuming, she must defend anyone Trump denounces. This is partisanship carried to an insane extreme.

Here's the story:

At a conference on Sanctuary Cities, California's Fresno County Sheriff Margaret Mims expressed her frustration over those laws. She relayed how the state's sanctuary city laws often keep her from informing Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) officials about the activities of MS-13 gang members in her jurisdiction.

This incensed President Trump, who had called the meeting. “These aren't people. These are animals,” he said of the MS-13 members, “and we're taking them out of the country at a rate that's never happened before.”

Now it was Nancy Pelosi's turn to be incensed. She called a press conference and hypocritically pleaded that “we are all God's children” and “there is a spark of divinity among every person on earth, and we all have to recognize that as we respect the dignity and worth of every person.”

Funny how Pelosi doesn't seem to recognize the dignity and divinity within, say, the unborn or her political opponents.

Pelosi then made her political point: "So when the president of the United States says about undocumented immigrants, 'These aren't people, these are animals,' you have to wonder, does he not believe in the spark of divinity, the dignity and worth of every person?"

Note how deftly she changed President Trump's specific reference to MS-13 members to "undocumented immigrants."

Pelosi wasn't alone in her outrage. Several notable tweets:

Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer: "When all of our great-great-grandparents came to America they weren't 'animals,' and these people aren't either."

Leftist Harvard law professor Laurence Tribe: "Mr. Trump actually said undocumented immigrants 'aren't people, they're animals.' This is how fascism begins. Tyrants start by dehumanizing their scapegoats." (This is the kind of scatterbrained thinking that makes one a top Harvard law professor? Unfortunately, the answer must be "yes.")

And, of course, The New York Times: "Trump lashed out at undocumented immigrants during a White House meeting, calling those trying to breach the country's borders 'animals.'"

These are just three tweets from prominent sources. Dozens and dozens of Democrat politicians and "mainstream" (i.e., Democrat) media personalities joined the tweetstorm denouncing the inhumane fascist in the White House.

It was all fake news, of course.

We are waiting for the apologies to President Trump

Give credit to the Associated Press. It had joined in the tweet tirade against Trump's comments, but quickly withdrew its tweet when it realized its error. But so far we have seen no retraction by Nancy Pelosi, Chuck Schumer, or Professor Tribe, nor most of the others who joined the witch hunt.

If pressed by the media (which won't happen, of course), they might excuse themselves by saying the original media reports they relied upon were inaccurate. That's true, but it's no excuse for them. They didn't fact-check. They didn't seek a response from the White House. It never occurred to them that President Trump has never, ever called all undocumented immigrants "animals," but he has said that before about MS-13 gang members. No, they just rushed to condemn the President for denigrating all undocumented immigrants (Pelosi and Tribe), and even our great-great grandparents (Schumer). And, of course, Professor Tribe (of the Democrat tribe) also had to bring in the "F" word.

Any conservative or Republican would have been roundly condemned, and justly so, had we rushed to judgment that way against, say, President Obama. But it's obvious there is one rule for us, and another for them.

But then, who knows, maybe Nancy Pelosi doesn't think a retraction or apology is necessary because we really should respect the "dignity and worth" of MS-13 gang members. Who knows what goes on in that head after so many months of anti-Trump fever.

The truth about the MS-13 gang

Is the threat from MS-13 a figment of Donald Trump's anti-immigrant imagination? Let's see....

They come mostly from El Salvador. They are veterans and products of the drug wars in that country and Mexico. They now have an estimated 10,000 or more members who have landed or been coerced into joining in the United States. And their mark of distinction is their brutality.

Nassau County (New York) Madeline Singas: "The crimes that we're talking about are brutal. Their weapon of choice is a machete. We end up seeing people with injuries that I've never seen before. You know, limbs hacked off. And that's what the bodies look like that we're recovering. So they're brutal. They're ruthless..."

Massachusetts State Police Lt. Col. Frank Hughes, after the arrest of dozens of MS-13 gang members: "In my 30 years of law enforcement, I've never seen a more violent gang out there. These are very, very violent individuals. The violence is unspeakable."

Teenage civilian Julio Cesar Gonzales-Espantay was lured to a forest in Long Island where he was attacked with machetes and stabbed with knives. Nassau County police said the two attacking MS-13 members were also responsible for 21 murders in New York in just short of two years. Authorities said the motive was to gain reputation within the gang.

In 2017, two MS-13 members, Miguel Alvarez-Flores and Diego Hernandez-Rivera, were arrested for kidnapping, raping, torturing, and drugging a 14-year-old girl for over two weeks.

Rances Ulices Amaya, a leader of MS-13 in Springfield, Virginia, was convicted for trafficking girls as young as 14 into a prostitution ring. The girls were lured from middle schools (middle schools!), high schools, and public shelters. Once acquired by Amaya, they were raped by as many as ten men per day.

These are just examples. A think-tank report lists 506 cases of MS-13 criminal acts in the United States between 2012 and 2018. And those are just the ones we know about.

The victims of MS-13 are overwhelmingly the poor and powerless, and overwhelmingly other

immigrants—legal and illegal—who fled these thugs in their native country, and now face them here. How can Democrats justify their refusal to support President Trump’s war on MS-13? We must hold the Democrats accountable, and the perfect time to do that is in November.

Democrats want 50, 100, or more “refugee” caravans invading every year

Remember those “caravans” marching through Mexico to the U.S. border? The Democrats denied their existence until videos proved their existence and made their denials impossible to believe. And note how those caravans stopped when President Trump got tough with the Mexican government—a welcome change from the policies of the Obama and Bush administrations.

One of the caravans—estimated at 7,000 individuals—was the size of two U.S. Army brigades, headed by a brigadier general. They may have been unarmed (at least most of them) but they did constitute an invading army.

Those formal caravans may have ended for now, thanks to President Trump, but the illegal invasion continues. Only it comes in a daily torrent rather than as caravans, which makes them harder to apprehend.

During the eight years of the Obama presidency, for example, the Border Patrol apprehended 3,692,825 illegal aliens on the Mexican border. That’s equal to 527 caravans the size of that 7,000-strong caravan marching from Central America. In just his first two years, despite total Democrat opposition, President Trump reduced that invasion by 21%. But the piecemeal invasion continues, and the Democrats continue their total opposition to doing anything about it.

Also consider:

The mainstream media, in bed with the Democrat Party, portrayed the Central American caravans as “refugees” made up mostly of mothers fleeing with their young children. So why did the live videos of the caravans show mostly single young men?

Even the most pro-Democrat immigration websites admit that the Border Patrol catches hundreds of MS-13 members each year crossing the Mexican border into the U.S. That’s hundreds of members of one of the most violent criminal elements in the U.S. (source: lawfareblog.com), and that counts only the ones determined by the Border Patrol to be MS-13 members. How many get in with their criminal association undetected? Our Border Patrol officers are overworked and overextended, and MS-13 gang members don’t wear headbands or T-shirts boasting of their criminal affiliation.

Don’t let Mexico off the hook. Even at the height of the organized caravans from Central America (Guatemala, Honduras, and El Salvador), about half of the 300,000 illegals apprehended still came from Mexico.

Keep the pressure on Mexico, President Trump! And we know what will happen if the Democrats win the 2020 election, so we must not let that happen.

Why the Democrats welcome and need the illegal invasion from South of the Border

It's rather simple, actually. The Democrats need a constant stream of illegals coming into the U.S. in order to take over the government. This is why they have always been opposed to any and all measures to stop the invasion. It's essential for their survival.

The Democrats know that most of the illegals who make it into the U.S. will manage to stay in the U.S. Stephanie Leutert, who we gather is no fan of President Trump, is the Director of the Mexico Security Initiative at the University of Texas at Austin. On lawfareblog.com, she explains why: They are “taken to a family detention center, where they would have to pass a ‘credible fear’ interview to be released—that is, prove that they have a real fear of returning to their home countries. At least 77% of the families pass this hurdle, and are released with an ankle monitor or after paying a bond. They can then begin their cases in immigration courts.”

And, we would add, they then “disappear” from any supervision by our understaffed and overworked immigration staff. Plus their children then born in the U.S. are American citizens by birth. The Migration Policy Institute (MPI) shows how immense this invasion has become: “Immigrants and their U.S.-born children now number approximately 86.4 million people, or 27% of the overall U.S. population, according to the 2017 Current Population Survey (CPS).”

Previous estimates of the number of illegals in the United States usually said 11 million. But a new study by a Yale-MIT researcher shows that the number is double that—22 million. It could be as high as 30 million. The problem is so huge, we really don't know the full extent of this crisis.

Over time most of these people will vote, either legally (as new naturalized citizens or as voting-age American-born children of the immigrants) or illegally (Democrat voter fraud at the polling booths). And judging by past records, they will overwhelmingly vote Democrat.

Now, America is the world's land of opportunity, and many or most of these immigrants are hard-working and entrepreneurial. As their economic status improves, many of them will follow the path of previous immigrants and start to vote Republican. That is why the Democrats require a constant stream of new Latino immigrants, who are dependent on them, to replace the older immigrants now starting to vote Republican.

Polls show that President Trump is getting the support of more and more Latino voters as we approach the 2020 election. We must expand our outreach to them, even as we stop the ongoing invasion at the border by defeating the Democrats.

Why the Democrats need open borders to win the Electoral College

The radical Democrats are furious that they won the popular vote in the 2016 presidential election, but lost in the Electoral College. That's why they want to eliminate the Electoral College, but constitutional changes require a lot of time and effort. That's why they have a second plan for winning the Electoral College.

Since 2000, the five states with the greatest new-immigrant population have been Texas, California, Florida, New York, and New Jersey. Those five states have a total of 165 electoral votes, or 61% of the 270 electoral votes needed to win a presidential election.

And this doesn't include heavy concentrations of legal and illegal immigrants in states with smaller electoral votes, such as Arizona (11), Colorado (9), Nevada (6), and New Mexico (5).

Of the top 5 states, the Democrats already have a total lock on California's electoral votes and near-locks on New York and New Jersey. Florida is rated a toss-up in most elections. Only Texas is pretty certain to vote Republican in 2020, but you can see the Democrat effort to "flip" Texas by the massive amounts of money they dumped into Beto O'Rourke's losing campaign against Sen. Ted Cruz in 2018. And they are turning the state's big cities (Texas will have four of the 10 largest cities in the U.S. after the 2020 census) into Democrat bastions. You can also see why continued immigration, especially illegal immigration, is so crucial to the radical Democrats' hopes and plans for the future. In a nation that is pretty evenly divided in presidential elections, continued waves of new immigrants are the Democrats' key to winning every presidential election in the future.

If we want America to remain a two-party nation, rather than a one-party dictatorship, we must stop the invasion of illegal aliens and redouble our efforts with the Latino voters who can make the difference in these battleground states.

Chapter 7:

Democrats: Mean & Evil Anti-Christian Programs

Anti-religious bigotry has had a long presence in America's past—unfortunately. Usually the targets have been religions that, at the time, had minimal numbers and influence—Catholics and Jews, for example. But that's true in pretty much every society.

What has made America different from other societies is the protection our Constitution gives to religious freedom. Without legal protection, anti-religion advocates have had to fight for their depraved causes in the public arena—and in America, they have always eventually lost, because Americans overwhelmingly have a “live and let live” attitude toward religions other than their own. I may think you're going to hell because of your religious beliefs, but that's a matter to be debated in church and in private conversations, not something that calls for State intervention.

America has also always been overwhelmingly a Christian nation, from Colonial days to the Founders in the Revolutionary era, on to today. We see no conflict between our shared religious consensus and religious freedom. Indeed, we take pride in noting how religious groups and denominations fare much better in the U.S. than in the “old countries” where a particular church has State support.

This has changed in our lifetimes. Starting in the 1960s, religious Americans—that is, the vast majority of us—have been under vicious and growing assault by the forces of secularism and anti-religious bigotry. And today those forces have captured the Democrat Party. At a grassroots level they may try to hide this from voters in the party rank-and-file who are traditionalist, but

now they flaunt their anti-religious beliefs. If you are a Catholic, Protestant, or Jew—whatever—who is religious, you should be aware that the Democrat Party is no longer your party.

And even if you are secularist, agnostic, or atheist, you have a vital stake in religious freedom. What works against a belief you oppose today, can work against your beliefs tomorrow. Like free speech in general, religious freedom protects all of us.

They're coming for your church: Beto O'Rourke calls for stripping churches of tax-exempt status if they oppose same-sex marriage

Note: He's not arguing for the elimination of all tax exemptions, including the one for churches. He wants to deny tax exemption to churches that oppose his political views.

“There can be no reward, no benefit, no tax break for anyone...that denies the full human rights and the full civil rights of every single one of us”—as interpreted by Beto O'Rourke, of course. And the leftist audience at a CNN Town Hall cheered him on, which shows the support by the radical left for religious discrimination. Beto has previously also called for ending the tax exemption given the NRA.

This recalls the practice of the IRS under Obama to audit and harass conservative political groups—and only conservative ones.

The power to tax is the power to destroy, and today's radical leftists and New Democrats make it clear they will use that power to destroy conservatives. This sort of anti-Christian strong-arm governmental intolerance has no place in a democracy.

Democrats to Catholics: “Catholics not welcome!”

It's clear from recent actions and statements by national Democrats that they want to return to the anti-Catholic bigotry of the past by using the power of the government to force Catholics to change their religious beliefs, impose a “religious test” on Catholics, and expel Catholics from public life. This is in direct violation of the Constitution.

The Democrats have abandoned Catholics.

If you value religious education or life's sanctity, you're not welcome in the party – Wall Street Journal op-ed by Cardinal Timothy Dolan, the Archbishop of New York, March 22, 2018: “Pro-life Democrat, Rep. Dan Lipinski, has been rejected by his own party. The DNC has maintained that the Democrat Party has no room for pro-life Democrats.

I'm a pastor, not a politician, and I've certainly had spats and disappointments with politicians from both of America's leading parties. But it saddens me, and weakens the democracy millions of Americans cherish, when the party that once embraced Catholics now slams the door on us.”

Senator Cory Booker (D-NJ) applied a “religious litmus test” to Judge Buescher during the Senate Judiciary Committee hearing. Booker brought up pro-life statements Buescher made during his run for Nebraska Attorney General and asked: “Why should a litigant in your courtroom expect to get a fair hearing from an impartial judge in a case involving abortion rights?”

Senator Diane Feinstein (D-CA), attacked a Catholic judge in 2017, saying to Judge Amy Coney Barrett, “the dogma [Catholicism] lives loudly within you.” Shortly thereafter, Senator Dick Durbin (D-IL) criticized Barrett when he sneered, “Do you consider yourself an “orthodox Catholic?”—a term commonly used as a smear against observant Catholics.

Democrat/Socialist Bernie Sanders attacked Catholic Russell Vought’s during a 2017 budget hearing regarding Vought’s nomination for Deputy Director of the office of Management and Budget. Sanders angrily condemned Vought’s faith as “indefensible” and “hateful.”

Democrat senators pressured Dr. Mark Green (a West Point graduate, decorated Army flight surgeon, and Tennessee State Senator) to withdraw his nomination for Secretary of the Army after they relentlessly criticized his strong Catholic faith, which they declared was anti-Muslim.

In 2016, Hillary Clinton’s campaign manager, John Podesta, bragged in multiple emails about “infiltrating” the Catholic Church with liberals who could foment a “revolution” to force the “middle ages dictatorship” of the Catholic Church to accept same-sex marriage, abortion, and other sacrosanct liberal beliefs.

Hillary Clinton said that “deep-seated cultural codes, religious beliefs and structural biases have to be changed” regarding the anti-abortion position of Catholics and other Christians, at the Women of the World Summit, April 2015.

Governor Andrew Cuomo blatantly tells Catholics: “You’re not welcome,” in a statement that reveals his ignorance of Church teachings:

“Who are they? Are they these extreme conservatives who are right-to-life... anti-gay? Is that who they are? Because if that’s who they are and they’re the extreme conservatives, they have no place in the state of New York because that’s not who New Yorkers are.” (Friday January 17, 2014 on “The Capitol Pressroom,” an Albany radio talk show)

Democrats “booed” God when party officials offered an amendment to their 2012 presidential platform re-inserting references to God. Prior to that, Democrat leaders removed all references to God from their platform. When public outcry forced the leaders to put forth an amendment placing references to God back into the platform, the Democratic Convention delegates booed and many believe voted down the Amendment by voice vote.

Embarrassed party leaders ignored the voice vote and declared the amendment passed. The new Democrats are in clear violation of the U.S. Constitution by applying a “religious test” when it comes to the appointment of pro-life Catholic nominations for those who are willing to

serve their country.

If the Democrats could have their way, they would rewrite the U.S. Constitution to now include: Catholics need not apply!

The fact is, Article VI of the U.S. Constitution prohibits the government from considering a person's faith when assessing fitness to hold federal office. This clause is part of the original Constitution and has been a bedrock principle since the founding of the Republic.

More Democrat attacks on religious freedom, the sanctity of life, and God

President Obama omits mention of the Creator from the Declaration of Independence during several speeches.

President Obama issued a mandate “under Obamacare requiring religious organizations to provide abortion—including drugs, sterilizations, and contraceptives free of charge.”

The U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops lost a longstanding federal government grant that they used to combat human sex trafficking because of the bishops' objections to abortion.

Illinois, with a Democrat governor and state legislature, passed a law allowing girls under 18 to have an abortion without adult or parental consent, and requiring private health insurance companies in the state to provide free coverage for abortions.

Democrat Attorney General for Pennsylvania, Josh Shapiro, and Democrat Attorney General for California, Xavier Becerra, are both suing Little Sisters of the Poor to provide contraceptives and abortifacients to their employees. If they prevail the Sisters will be forced to pay tens of millions in fines or face extinction.

Deputy Attorney General for Michigan, Dana Nessel, is obsessed with vilifying the Catholic Church by pursuing 20-, 30-, even 40-year-old child abuse cases involving priests while ignoring current child sexual abuse cases going on throughout Michigan's public school system.

Again, the new Democrats are in clear violation of the U.S. Constitution by applying a “religious test” when considering pro-life Christians to serve in the government.

Radical Democratic Senators suggest Catholic judicial nominees must change their beliefs to be confirmed

Senators Kamala Harris (D-CA) and Mazie Hirono (D-HI), during a December 2018 Senate Judiciary Committee hearing of Judge Brian C. Buescher (a pro-life Catholic) for the District of Nebraska, asked whether belonging to the Knights of Columbus (a highly regarded fraternal

Catholic organization) could prevent him from hearing cases “fairly and impartially.”

Founded in 1882, the Knights of Columbus has 1.9 million members worldwide, contributed more than \$185 million in charitable donations, and volunteered 78 million hours to charitable causes in 2017. But these charitable works did not stop Democratic Senators Hirono and Harris from attacking Judge Buescher’s membership in the Knights, which subscribes to traditional Christian moral teachings on abortion and marriage. They suggested that this membership might influence Buescher’s rulings as a federal judge.

Sen. Hirono scolded Buescher that the Knights of Columbus “has taken a number of extreme positions” and contributed to California’s Proposition 8 campaign “to ban same-sex marriage.” And she asked him: “If confirmed, do you intend to end your membership with this organization to avoid any appearance of bias?” Also: “What assurances can litigants have that you will deal with reproductive rights and abortion issues fairly and impartially?”

Senator Kamala Harris pursued a similar line of questioning:

“Were you aware that the Knights of Columbus opposed a woman’s right to choose when you joined the organization?”

And: “Have you ever, in any way, assisted with or contributed to advocacy against women’s reproductive rights?”

And: “Were you aware that the Knights of Columbus opposed marriage equality when you joined the organization?”

It is obvious that today’s Democratic Party leadership has become open with its anti-Catholic bigotry even though 28% of America’s voters are Catholic. These Democratic leaders are saying that faithful Catholics who believe in the traditional moral teachings of the church are automatically disqualified from serving in government.

And then there was the shocking statement by Hillary Clinton in a speech when she said “Deep-seated cultural codes, religious beliefs, and structural biases have to be changed.”

In other words, Hillary Clinton, if she had been elected President, was pledging to use the full power of the federal government to force Christians to change their moral beliefs. And the Left would certainly do this if they sweep back into power in 2020.

Supreme Court rules in defense of religious freedom, sort of

The Left’s attempts to obliterate religious freedom have been set back a bit by several Supreme Court decisions. These decisions show the importance of President Trump’s conservative nominations to the Supreme Court, and the importance of making certain he can continue to make

court appointments in a second term.

The first case involved the Masterpiece Cakeshop in Lakewood, Colorado. The owner declined to make a wedding cake to celebrate the wedding of a homosexual couple, citing his Christian religious beliefs as the reason. While gay marriage was not legal in Colorado at that time, the state's public accommodations law prohibits businesses open to the public from discriminating against customers on the basis of race, religion, gender, or sexual orientation. The couple sued, and the cake shop was ordered to change its policies.

The case wound its way through the courts and received national attention when it reached the Supreme Court. It ruled that the state had exhibited hostility toward the owner's religious views, rather than maintaining neutrality, and ruled in favor of the baker as a result of religious animus. The Court thus avoided a wider decision based on anti-discrimination laws and rights to free exercise, leaving that to future cases. Chaos reigned on those broader questions of free association and free speech, and Justice Clarence Thomas—the most ardent defender of religious civil liberties on the Court—voted with the majority but said he would have adopted those broader reasons.

The case made Masterpiece Cakeshop a target for leftist activists, and the bakery soon found itself back in court over its refusal to bake a cake celebrating a gender transition (blue on the outside and pink on the inside). It was an “obvious setup,” said the Alliance Defending Freedom attorney defending Masterpiece. “At this point, he's just a guy who is trying to get back to life. The problem is the state of Colorado won't let him.” (This is typical of the Left—never giving up, you lose a case and come right back with a modification to wear down your opponent. Conservatives, on the other hand, tend to give up the fight after a single defeat.) The state dropped its suit against Masterpiece after deciding it wasn't the proper vehicle for such action. Then the transgender woman sued on her own behalf, and now Masterpiece finds itself in court for a third time. Throughout it all, the baker has faced death threats and harassment. The Left views this as total cultural war, and that's how they wear you down.

The second case gaining national attention involves Sweet Cakes by Melissa, a bakery in Gresham, Oregon. The owners had to pay \$135,000 in damages after refusing to make a wedding cake for a lesbian couple, and closed their shop. They sought redress from the Supreme Court, however, and the Supremes ordered the Oregon Court of Appeals to take a second look at the case in view of the Masterpiece decision. This has satisfied neither side, and Sweet Cakes by Melissa is still out of business.

These two court cases demonstrate the perils of religious liberty in the United States after decades of Leftist legal assaults. The case for religious liberty is far from won, and will depend on future court cases. This is why it is imperative that court appointments in the next four years—at both the Supreme Court and lower court levels—be made by President Trump, who has been consistent in appointing constitutionalists to the courts.

Chapter 8:

Democrats: Mean & Evil Toward Christians

There was a time when religion was sacrosanct for both parties—when it was important for candidates to be seen going to church, and when the parties might disagree about taxes or regulations, but agreed that religion was an essential part of the American way of life.

Those days are long gone. Since 1972, the Democrat Party has become increasingly hostile to Christians.

Democrats Can't Decide if They Want to Displace or Replace Christians

Consider the coronavirus lockdown. Blue state governors have taken an obscene pleasure in padlocking the doors of churches. Barely 24 hours before Easter Sunday, Laura Kelly—the Democrat governor of Kansas—prohibited religious services of more than 10 people—even if social distancing was observed.

Virginia placed the same burden on churches, punishable by a \$2,500 fine and up to a year in jail. At its Easter service, The Lighthouse Fellowship Church, on the Island of Chincoteague, had 16 worshippers in a sanctuary that holds 225. The town's police gave the pastor a citation and a summons anyway.

In siding with the church, U.S. Attorney General Bill Barr noted that in a photo of a nearby Walmart parking lot, 268 cars could be counted. And Virginia's state-run liquor stores had their

best month ever in March.

But then, selling cheap jeans and booze are considered “essential services” in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Praying for deliverance from a pandemic is something trivial that can easily be dispensed with, in the eyes of Democratic Governor Ralph Northam.

During the height of the COVID-19 outbreak, New York City reluctantly accepted help from the Christian charity, Samaritan’s Purse, which set up a field hospital in Central Park. Now that the curve is flattening, the city has decided that the group started by Franklin Graham (son of the late Billy Graham) is just too hateful to defile the sacred soil of the Big Apple. This bigotry is supposedly manifested in Graham’s support for the right-to-life and traditional marriage—both tenets of Christianity.

While this was going on in Gotham, another drama was played out before the United States Supreme Court.

A number of Democrat Attorneys General prosecuted the Little Sisters of the Poor for refusing to pay homage to one of the party’s core values, what it calls unrestricted access to contraceptives—paid for by someone else, naturally. In 2007, the high court carved out an exemption from the contraceptive mandate of Obamacare for the Little Sisters. But the AGs have dragged them back to court, arguing that the original exemption was too broad. So the Sisters are again forced to defend their right to the free exercise of religion, guaranteed by the First Amendment.

Besides upholding a central tenet of their creed (free birth control), Democrats take absolute delight in forcing a religious order to choose between violating their beliefs (which include not facilitating artificial birth control) and carrying out their mission to aid the poor.

The war on Christianity also plays a role in battles over judicial nominations. Democrats believe that nominees should be free to believe whatever they want, as long as it’s not Christianity.

When Amy Coney Barrett was up for confirmation to the U.S. Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, Senator Dianne Feinstein said of the then-Notre Dame professor that she was troubled by the fact that “dogma lives loudly within her.” She meant the beliefs of the Catholic Church.

The idea that a woman’s deeply held religious beliefs would keep her from fulfilling her duties as a judge should be deeply offensive to those who understand the importance of the Article VI prohibition of a religious test for public office. This law was lost on Sen. Feinstein, one of the doyennes of the Democratic Party.

The party’s post-McGovern hostility to Christians can be traced from poor, uneducated and easy to command (1993) to bitter clingers (2012) to basket of deplorables (2016).

In 1993, the Washington Post (an unofficial organ of the Democrat Party) described members of the Religious Right—which was growing in numbers and influence during the Clinton years—as

“largely, poor, uneducated and easy to command.” The comment combined a stereotypical view of Christian activists with social snobbery.

Speaking off the record—or so he thought—at a campaign event in San Francisco in 2008, Barack Obama characterized small-town voters in the Rust Belt, who saw prosperity pass them by, with the observation that: “They get bitter, they cling to guns or religion or antipathy to people who aren’t like them,” – lumping Christians (those who cling to religion) with gun nuts and racists.

The next version of the smear came in 2016, when Hillary Clinton said that half of Trump’s supporters could be put into a “basket of deplorables” who were “racist, sexist, homophobic, xenophobic, Islamophobic” etc. Since Trump carried 80% of the white, evangelical vote in 2016, it isn’t hard to guess who Mrs. Clinton was stigmatizing here.

Democrats are moving to institutionalize their antipathy toward Christianity. At the party’s 2012 convention, an effort to remove a rather innocuous reference to God from the party’s platform almost succeeded.

The 2008 platform spoke of giving “everyone willing to work hard” the ability to “make the most of their God-given potential.” In 2012, the platform committee tried to change that from “God-given” to “each one of us should be able to go as far as our talent and drive take us.” The original language was put back by the Convention, by a voice-vote that had to be taken three times to get the desired outcome, at the insistence of President Obama, who worried that the change would hurt his prospects for reelection.

The latest version of poor, uneducated and easy to command was a 2019 resolution of the Democratic National Committee which called on the party to be more inclusive toward non-believers. The casual observer might think they were inclusive enough already.

In the process of putting out the welcome mat for atheists and agnostics, the DNC couldn’t pass up the opportunity to take a swipe at Christians, by charging: “Those most loudly claiming that morals, values, and patriotism be defined by their particular religious views have used those religious views, with misplaced claims of ‘religious liberty,’ to justify public policy that has threatened the civil rights and liberties of many Americans including but not limited to the LGBT community, women, and ethnic and religious/nonreligious minorities.”

Translation: We Democrats have passed laws requiring Christians to affirm our values by disavowing their deeply held beliefs on life and the family. When they seek relief from those mandates (like requiring nuns to provide contraceptives in their health-insurance plans and Christian bakers to create cakes for gay “weddings”), they are threatening the civil rights of women and LGBT community. Not coincidentally, feminists and those who identify as LGBT are among the party’s most loyal constituencies.

Bad Christians—no soup for you! In the bizarro world of the Democrat Party, to resist aggression is aggression.

Like the Bolsheviks, Democrats don't like competition. They have a religion: worship of the omnipotent state. For the Party of Pelosi, all of the things Christians pray for—safety, sustenance, comfort and purpose in life—should come from the State.

This goes back to the French Revolution, when Jacobins tried to establish an atheistic religion, centered on the Goddess of Reason. The Soviet Union turned houses of worship into anti-religion museums.

In the 1920s, Marxist theoretician Antonio Gramsci warned his fellow revolutionaries that communism would never succeed until traditional religion and the family were eliminated. This principle lies at the heart of the Cultural Revolution.

At this particular point in history, instead of displacing religion, Democrats seek to replace it with their own twisted creed.

During the 2020 campaign, most of the candidates for the Democratic presidential nomination loudly proclaimed their “Christianity.” But, digging a bit deeper, we learned that for them, Jesus was a social justice warrior.

Caring for the poor was accomplished by taxes and redistributionism. Welcoming the stranger became open borders. Stewardship of nature became the Green New Deal and de-industrialization.

Joe Biden said he was a “practicing Catholic.” Unfortunately, he's never gotten around to explaining how his “Catholicism” could be reconciled with abortion on demand, infanticide, transgenderism, compelling a Christian baker to participate in a gay marriage ceremony, and forcing the Little Sisters of the Poor to provide contraceptives through their health insurance.

During the coronavirus epidemic, Democrat governors closed churches or put absurd restrictions on services. Face masks became the new symbols of the Democrats' faith, and social distancing an important ritual.

Naturally, the leadership of the Democrat Party doesn't like orthodox Christians, who they see as bigoted, superstitious and stupid. But beyond this, it sees authentic Christians as competition for the loyalties of the masses. Perhaps Democrats recall that in Romania and Poland, it was Christians who led anti-communist counterrevolutions.

There's an old Quaker tongue-in-cheek saying: “I love everyone. But of all people, I love Thee the least.” Democrats don't hate. Only bitter-clingers and deplorables do that. But of all the creatures on earth, they like Christians least.

Chapter 9:

Democrats: Mean & Evil Toward Catholics

At the heart of the Catholic Church's social teaching lies what the Catechism of the Catholic Church calls "the dignity of the human person." This dignity is rooted in the idea that God made man "male and female" and "in the image and likeness of God." Among other things, this dignity confers upon man the inalienable right to life and the right to be free from coercion in religious affairs.

The modern-day Democrat Party is waging a multi-front war on each and every aspect of the Catholic Church's teaching on the dignity of the human person. Not only have Democrats exposed themselves as enemies of the Catholic faith during the coronavirus outbreak and the 2020 Democratic Primary race, their extreme anti-life, anti-Catholic agenda has been on full display for all to see as far back as Barack Obama's first term as president.

In 1988, St. John Paul II declared that the so-called "right" to health, home, and work are "false and illusory if the right to life, the most basic and fundamental right...is not defended with maximum determination." The right to life of unborn children has been affirmed by other authorities in the Church as well. "When political positions openly or covertly include plans to decriminalize abortion and euthanasia, the democratic ideal...is betrayed at its foundations," Pope Emeritus Benedict XVI said in 2010. "The direct and intentional destruction of innocent human life...is not just one issue among many. It must always be opposed," the U.S. Bishops Conference has declared in its voting guide, *Forming Consciences for Faithful Citizenship*.

Catholicism's unflinching defense of the sacredness of life in all its stages stands in stark contrast to the stated aims and policy goals of the modern-day Democratic Party. Abortions are "essential health care that cannot be delayed," Joe Biden said during a virtual town hall with Hillary Clinton in April 2020. Abortion is "life sustaining," Michigan Democratic Governor Gretchen Whitmer told former Obama adviser David Axelrod on his podcast also in April. "Every Democrat, like every American, should support a woman's right to make her own choices about her body and her health," Democratic National Committee chairman Tom Perez said in 2017. "That is not negotiable," he added. "Being pro-choice is an absolutely essential part of being a Democrat," socialist Senator Bernie Sanders declared during a CNN debate in February of this year. "Democrats support the right to...abortion, and we stand with Planned Parenthood," Democratic National Committee CEO Seema Nanda affirmed in a statement released in August 2019. Virginia Governor Ralph Northam, who once defended the idea of killing a child that survived a botched abortion, signed, on Good Friday of this year, the "Reproductive Health Protection Act." Pro-life leaders called the bill "another step from a pro-abortion governor seeking to make abortion as widespread as possible in Virginia." Biden Himself, in June of this year, announced he is no longer in favor of the Hyde Amendment, a measure that bans federal funding for most abortions.

These sorts of policies are clearly what St. John Paul II had in mind when in his 1995 document *Evangelium Vitae* he condemned what he called the "culture of death."

Cardinal Timothy Dolan of the Archdiocese of New York was so distraught over the Democrat Party's embrace of abortion that in 2018 he wrote an op-ed for the Wall Street Journal titled, "The Democrats Abandon Catholics." In his article, His Excellency accused the Democrats of being "the party that once embraced Catholics [but] now slams the door on us." "The needs of poor and middle-class children" and "the right to life of the baby in the womb," he said, have "largely have been rejected by the party of our youth."

Dolan is not the only Catholic clergyman to condemn Democrat politicians. Illinois Bishop Thomas Paprocki banned in June 2019 several Democrat state lawmakers from receiving Holy Communion for having "obstinately persisted in promoting the abominable crime and very grave sin of abortion." He has also banned Democrat U.S. Senator Dick Durbin from Holy Communion due to his "voting record in support of abortion."

Archbishop Joseph F. Naumann, Chair of the U.S. Bishop's Committee on Pro-Life Activities, strongly rebuked the U.S. Senate for having failed, thanks to Senate Democrats, in February of 2020 to pass two bills which would have given legal protection to unborn children after 20 weeks and to those who survived abortion attempts on their life.

The Democrat Party's unflinching support for abortion up until and even after birth is but one of the many ways in which they attack Catholic teaching on the dignity of the human person. Their radical embrace of homosexuality and the so-called "LGBT" agenda is also a monstrous assault on the Catholic faith.

During an “Equality Town Hall” on CNN in October 2019, Texas Congressman Beto O’Rourke was asked if “religious institutions like colleges, churches, charities, should they lose their tax-exempt status if they oppose same sex marriage?” O’Rourke emphatically responded “yes!” to a smattering of applause. “There can be no reward, no benefit, no tax break, for anyone or any institution, any organization in America that denies the full human rights and the full civil rights of every single one of us,” he stated.

In March of 2019, a video surfaced online showing O’Rourke in 2011, then an El Paso City Councilman, berating a Catholic priest for defending traditional male-female marriage.

New Jersey Senator Cory Booker was also asked at the “Equality Town Hall” if institutions that oppose gay “marriage” should lose their tax-exempt status. “We must stand up as a nation to say that religion cannot be an excuse to deny people health insurance, education, or more,” he said.

Just months prior to CNN’s town hall, Michigan’s Democratic Attorney General Dana Nessel, a “married” lesbian woman, announced in March of 2019 that taxpayer-funded adoption agencies with religious objections to placing children in homes of homosexual “married” couples would no longer be able to cite their faith as a legitimate reason to opt out of providing that service. St. Vincent Catholic Charities eventually sued Nessel and the state of Michigan for refusing to recognize their religious freedom.

In May of 2019, House Democrats passed the misleadingly named “Equality Act.” The bill seeks to amend the 1964 Civil Rights Act to include “sex,” “sexual orientation,” and “gender identity” as protected classes. It would also mandate transgender “access” to opposite-sex restrooms, locker rooms, and dressing rooms. Such a law will undoubtedly be used to pressure Catholic institutions, which have been under assault for years by liberals for firing pro-gay as well as active homosexual faculty, to either violate Church teaching or risk being sued or shut down.

Unsurprisingly, Joe Biden has endorsed the Equality Act, as well as other measures that endanger Catholic institutions’ ability to integrally live out Church teachings on family life. Aside from having played an integral role in passing Obamacare, a law that forced the Little Sisters of the Poor to provide contraceptives to their employees, Biden has said that “transgender equality is the civil rights issue of our time.” Moreover, Biden’s campaign website promises that, if elected, he will “reverse” the “broad” religious freedom exemptions issued by the Trump administration for those who “discriminate against LGBT+ people.”

Biden’s embrace of the transgender agenda has won him the endorsement of The National Center for Transgender Equality Action Fund (NCTE). “I promise you I’ll protect every single right,” Biden told the group’s executive director during an interview. Biden, a self-described Catholic, officiated at the “wedding” of two gay men at the Naval Observatory in August 2016. On Twitter, Biden said he “couldn’t be happier” for the couple.

In a recent biography about his life, Pope Emeritus Benedict XVI is quoted as having said “homosexual marriage” and “abortion” are connected to the “spiritual power of the Anti-Christ.” In the same book, His Holiness says that “the real threat to the church...is in the global dictatorship of purportedly humanist ideologies.” This is undeniably a reference to the anti-life, anti-God, anti-Catholic policies advanced by liberal politicians across the world.

The current head of the Catholic church, Pope Francis, has also warned about the sinister nature of the LGBT agenda. “Gender theory is an error of the human mind that leads to so much confusion,” he said in 2015. During a flight to Manila in the same year, Francis referred to gender theory as “the new ideological colonization that tries to destroy the family.”

While many Democrats claim to believe in God in their private life, they often insist they are not able to “impose” their religious beliefs on others in public. Yet they themselves do bring their secular progressive so-called “values” into the public square and pressure Catholics to capitulate to them, treating them essentially as second-class citizens.

During a Senate Judiciary Committee hearing in September 2017, California Democratic Senator Diane Feinstein attacked Notre Dame Law Professor Amy Coney Barrett, saying “the dogma lives loudly within you.” The reference was to Barrett’s devout Catholic faith. Democrat Senators Kamala Harris of California and Mazie Hirono of Hawaii similarly grilled Judge Brian C. Buescher for his membership in the Knights of Columbus, a Catholic charity group, during a December 2018 Senate Judiciary Committee hearing. Democrat Governor of New York Andrew Cuomo, a self-professed Catholic, said in 2014 that pro-life, “anti-gay” conservatives “have no place in the state of New York. In April 2020, Cuomo said that God had nothing to do with the recent decrease in COVID-19 cases in his state. “The number is down because we brought the number down,” Cuomo said during a press conference. “God did not do that. Faith did not do that. Destiny did not do that. A lot of pain and suffering did that...It’s math.”

Cuomo’s remarks are representative of the larger anti-God, anti-Catholic attitude the modern-day Democrat Party possesses as a whole. Unwilling to budge even in the slightest to protect the innocent lives of unborn children, today’s Democratic Party has declared itself the sworn enemy of Catholic teaching on the dignity of the human person. If Democrats regain power either in the White House or Congress, they will stop at nothing to impose their secular, humanistic agenda not only on Catholics across the country but people of all faiths.

Chapter 10:

Democrats: Mean & Evil Toward Jews

Can this be the Democrat Party of Harry Truman, who made the United States the first country to recognize Israel in 1948, providing crucial support for the Jewish state at its birth? How far the Democrats have fallen from John F. Kennedy, who told the Zionists of America Convention in 1960: “Israel will endure and flourish. It is the child of hope.”

The Democrat Party of the 21st century has betrayed that legacy. Today, it’s the home of Jew-hatred and efforts to undercut Israel’s security. The most prominent anti-Semites in America are connected to what bills itself as the party of inclusiveness and tolerance.

The Democrat Party—Anti-Semitism’s Home Address

In May, New York’s Democratic Mayor Bill De Blasio attacked the entire Jewish population of the New York area, due to perceived breaches of social distancing guidelines at the funeral of an Hasidic rabbi. De Blasio tweeted: “My message to the Jewish community, and all communities, is simple: the time for warnings has passed.” But only the Jewish community was singled out for censure.

New York’s police commissioner disclosed that his department had coordinated the funeral. The day of the funeral, thousands of New Yorkers turned out for a demonstration by the Flying Angels over the skies of Manhattan, which drew no rebuke from the mayor.

In response to De Blasio's attack, over 100 Jewish leaders signed a letter to the mayor stating, "In the midst of an historic wave of anti-Semitic violence in New York City, our community has been feeling the pain of being singled out and blamed for the spread of this disease."

Unfair though it may have been, De Blasio's condemnation was mild compared to the outright anti-Semitism of two freshmen House Democrats.

Rep. Ilhan Omar (D-Minn.) has charged Israel's supporters with pushing "allegiance to a foreign country." Rep. Rashida Talib (D-MI) said Congressmen who refused to support the pro-terrorism Boycott, Sanctions and Divestment Movement "forgot what country they represent." This is ironic coming from women who invariably support international Islam over the United States.

In 2019, six weeks before the AIPAC (American Israel Public Affairs Committee) Convention, Omar explained that Congressional support for Israel was "all about the Benjamins"—in other words, support for Israel is bought and paid for with what anti-Semites call "Jewish money." Both legislators tried to go to Israel under the sponsorship of a Palestinian group called Miftah, which promotes the blood libel—the lie that Jews kill Christian children to use their blood for Passover.

When some members of the House of Representatives wanted a resolution condemning Omar's anti-Semitism, Speaker Nancy Pelosi had it watered down to include diverse forms of bigotry. Omar's name was never even mentioned. Pelosi said of the Minnesota rep., "I feel confident that her words were not based on any anti-Semitic attitude." Only someone with ideological blinders on could believe something which clearly contradicts reality.

For decades now, Democrat candidates have made a pilgrimage to Harlem, to be anointed by the Reverend Al Sharpton. Hillary Clinton did when she first ran for the U.S. Senate in the year 2000. At the start of the current campaign, Biden called Sharpton a "champion in the fight for civil rights" and Elizabeth Warren hailed him as a man who "has dedicated his life to the fight for justice for all." Sen. Kamala Harris concurred.

In so doing, these Democrat leaders heaped praise on a vile anti-Semite with blood on his hands. In 1991, Sharpton rushed to the defense of New York City College Professor Leonard Jeffries, who claimed, "Jews financed the slave trade," and Hollywood Jews "put together a system of destruction of black people."

In the same year (Sharpton was a busy little storm trooper), a Jewish driver accidentally killed a black child in the Crown Heights neighborhood of Brooklyn. Sharpton demagogued at the child's funeral and led demonstrations that essentially blamed the Jewish community of Crown Heights for the tragedy. This was followed by several days of rioting and the stabbing death of a rabbinical student to shouts of "Kill the Jews."

On the heels of Crown Heights came Freddy's Fashion Mart, four years later, involving a dispute between a Jewish tenant and a black sub-tenant, with the typical allegations of the Jew trying to

force out the black man.

After several weeks of picketing by Sharpton's National Action Network, one of the demonstrators stormed Freddy's, shot and killed several employees and set the place on fire. The oft-rehabilitated Sharpton has never apologized for any of this, any more than he's apologized for the Tawana Brawley hoax about white men (including a county prosecutor) abducting and raping a black teen.

That Sharpton is an icon of the Democrat Party—praised by at least three candidates for the party's 2020 presidential nomination—speaks volumes about the evil eating away at the soul of a once great institution.

But Sharpton is strictly bush league next to Louis Farrakhan. The Nation of Islam leader is the most prominent and outspoken anti-Semite in America today.

Here's a sampling of "Minister" Farrakhan's poisonous rhetoric:

"Jews were responsible for all of the filth and degenerate behavior that Hollywood is putting out, turning men into women and women into men."

"Did you know pedophilia, homosexuality, sex trafficking is the work of Talmudists (Farrakhan's code word for Jews)?"

"When you want something in this world, the Jew holds the door."

"It is now becoming apparent that there were many Israelis and Zionist Jews in key roles in the 9/11 attacks."

"German Jews financed Hitler right here in America...International bankers financed Hitler and poor Jews died while big Jews were at the root of what you call the Holocaust."

There are multiple ties between Farrakhan and the National Democratic Party. The Congressional Black Caucus has met with him. Barack Obama was involved in his 2005 Million Man March. California Democrats Maxine Waters and Barbara Lee have attended Nation of Islam events. At the 2018 funeral of jazz legend Aretha Franklin, ex-President Bill Clinton felt comfortable sharing the stage with him.

The Minister of Chicago's United Church of Christ before he retired, the Rev. Jeremiah Wright is a Farrakhan clone. To wit: "Israel is an apartheid state," "Jesus was a Palestinian," and "Ethnic cleansing is going on in Gaza."

He was also one of the formative influences in the life of Barack Obama. The future president attended Wright's church for 16 years. He and Michelle were married there. He praised him and took the title of his book, "The Audacity of Hope," from one of Wright's sermons.

He didn't dissociate himself from the man he called his spiritual mentor until things got too hot in his first presidential campaign. Even then, he would only condemn his words, not Wright himself.

The man who hopes to be the next sitting president, Vice President Joe Biden, isn't openly anti-Semitic or anti-Israel. He just wants to undercut Israel's security on multiple fronts.

Biden says that if elected, he will reopen the PLO diplomatic Mission in Washington, D.C., as well as the U.S. consulate in East Jerusalem, not that it's needed with the U.S. embassy now located there. It's just another obeisance to the terrorists who call themselves the Palestinian Authority.

Under Biden, the U.S will resume aid to the PA in Ramallah, even though part of our funding was used to pay the families of terrorists who died in action (the so-called pay to slay program). Since almost half the world's Jews (46%) live in Israel, it may reasonably be argued that whatever imperils Israel is ipso facto anti-Semitic.

The Democrat Party's drift (some would say headlong rush) into anti-Semitism parallels the growing influence within the party of the radical left, which began with George McGovern in 1972.

The left's agenda of the 1960s has become the Democrats' platform of the 21st century: anti-Americanism, socialism, anti-colonialism and white guilt. Their allies include the most militant elements in the black community and others with a deep loathing for both Israel and the Jewish people. This would include the "Black Hebrew Israelites" who killed three in an attack on a kosher market in Jersey City last December.

Israel is seen as a colonial power, usurping and exploiting an indigenous people. In reality, Jews were the original indigenous people and brought prosperity to a wasteland when they began returning in substantial numbers in the late 19th century.

In America, Jews are perhaps the most successful minority. This incites both envy and conspiracy theories.

For Democrats, wealth is a zero-sum game. At least subconsciously, many believe that Jewish success must come at someone else's expense. This gives credence to anti-Semitic canards and conspiracy theories about Jewish cupidity, feelings of superiority and a drive to dominate and exploit the rest of humanity.

The 19th century German Social Democratic leader August Babel declared, "Anti-Semitism is the socialism of fools." With Sanders, Warren, AOC and the Squad in the ascendancy, Democrats now have both socialism and the socialism of fools.

Chapter 11:

How the Mean & Evil Democrats Use Intimidation & Violence

In our previous section, we showed how the Left and the Mean and Evil Democrats refuse to abide by the rules of fair play when they engage in politics. They vilify public figures that they consider to be their enemies, and they do the same to grassroots businesses and individuals who they deem to be insufficiently “politically correct.”

But it gets worse than that. In their quest for power, they have made intimidation and violence the norm in the nation’s daily life. You are not safe, your kids and family are not safe, your homes and cars and possessions are not safe.

The lists in this section are partial listings—otherwise this would constitute the entire book. And most of these incidents are reported only in local media—the leftist national media aren’t interested in this—so we ask you to help us by reporting incidents of intimidation and violence of which you’re aware.

Toxic Politics: “Trump Derangement Syndrome” makes civil arguments impossible

Americans are used to robust political campaigns and arguments. The tradition has been to fight fair and hard, and if you lose, start organizing to win the next election. But the Democrats haven’t been willing to do that since they lost the 2016 presidential race. With the takeover of the

Democrat Party by the radical Left, civil discourse has been impossible.

Here is just a sampling of the hundreds of examples of beyond-the-bounds, extremist rhetoric and charges against President Trump:

Solitary confinement! Rep. Maxine Waters (D-California), who supposedly doesn't believe in solitary confinement, is willing to make an exception. She says: "Impeachment is not good enough for Trump. He needs to be imprisoned and placed in solitary confinement."

Arrest them! That's how Rep. Rashida Tlaib (D-Michigan), a member of the leftist "Squad" in the House of Representatives, would handle Trump officials she deems insufficiently cooperative. "Is it the D.C. police that goes and gets them? We don't know. Where do we hold them?... I will tell them they can hold all those people right here in Detroit."

Ban him! Cancel him! Here's what presidential candidate Kamala Harris thinks of freedom of the press: "Look let's be honest, @RealDonaldTrump's Twitter account should be suspended." Her line of thinking is not out of step with the so-called "fact checkers" at Twitter. In July 2020, Twitter temporarily suspended the Twitter account of @DonaldTrumpJr for retweeting a video of a press conference held by medical doctors regarding the successful treatment of Covid-19 patients using Hydroxychloroquine. The mainstream media is going so far as to not even air White House press conferences in favor of covering Joe Biden.

Treasonous! Presidential candidate Cory Booker (D-New Jersey) on MSNBC's "Live," about President Trump's alleged withholding of aid to Ukraine: "If there is any treasonous actions here, it is coming from the White House... That is outrageous, and in my opinion, and that is treasonous." (Silence about Vice President Biden's filmed withholding of aid to Ukraine when he was President Trump's point man there.)

Death to traitors! Bill Weld, the former governor of Massachusetts and 2020 anti-Trump "Republican" presidential candidate, made this statement about President Trump's alleged withholding of aid to Ukraine: "That is treason. It's treason pure and simple, and the penalty for treason under the U.S. code is death. That's the only penalty. The penalty on the Constitution is removal from office, and that might look like a pretty good alternative to the president if he could work out a plea deal."

He commits crimes every day! From legendary Hollywood film director Rob Reiner, excerpts from a few of his Tweets on President Trump: "A President who commits crimes on a daily basis. He was not held accountable for a foreign country helping him steal the last election. So he's at it again." (September 23, 2019) "Never in our nation's history has there been a more lawless President. He has continually lied to the American people & has used the Presidency to line his pockets." (September 7, 2019) "He is defecating on our Constitution." (September 19, 2019)

Democrats use violence and intimidation, but want Republicans and conservatives to be “quiet”

An article in the October 16, 2018, issue of the *Washington Post*, the top Democrat newspaper, claimed that the nation is enduring “Trump fatigue,” which is “largely a product of the ringmaster’s perpetual circus: noise, shock and vulgar spectacle.” Then the conclusion that “many voters don’t care so much about whether America can be great again—only that it can be quiet.” “Quiet.” Of course what the writer and the *Washington Post* mean is that the President and Republicans should be quiet. As in, acquiesce. There is no call for the radicalized Democrats and their leftist mobs to be quiet. Their violence and harassments are not even mentioned.

The Democrats and their leftist mobs are in panic mode. The few who have a smidgen of lucidity left realize that their screaming antics during the Kavanaugh hearings and ever since Trump was elected are backfiring on them. President Trump refuses to be “quiet” like so many GOP figures before him; he fights back at every opportunity. And encouraged by his leadership, conservatives and Republicans are energized as never before.

Yes, the public does want quiet—an end to the screaming and shouting by the Democrats’ leftist mobs, the violent demonstrations, the character assassinations (as with Kavanaugh), the invasions of personal and family privacy, and all the other harassments of their political opponents that make a mockery of the democratic process.

Help us create a database of the violence by the Democrats’ mobs

We know there are many other examples to bring to the voters’ attention. You can help us create a more complete database. Use the link below to email us with examples of which you are aware.

Whenever possible, include a link to an article or police document reporting on this incident. Keep it accurate. If a person has been accused, but not yet convicted, make that clear.

Keep it short, but include all the essential facts. Remember that even a short article tells the reader who did what, when, and where.

We will make this growing database available to conservative activists, candidates, and any media that dare to report about this threat of violence and intimidation to our nation.

Please make your report at www.MeanAndEvil.com. Thank you for helping us alert the public!

Top Democrats encourage violence, intimidation of Trump supporters by the Left

As we document the pattern of violence and intimidation against Trump supporters in the chapters in this section, it is important to note that this has been encouraged by top leaders of the Democrat Party. They bear responsibility and must be held accountable for their encouragement of the current state of incivility in America's politics.

Hillary Clinton:

In an interview with CNN's Christiane Amanpour on Tuesday, October 9, 2018, the Democrats' unsuccessful 2016 presidential candidate said:

"You cannot be civil with a political party that wants to destroy what you stand for, what you care about. That's why I believe, if we are fortunate enough to win back the House and/or the Senate, that's when civility can start again. But until then, the only thing that the Republicans seem to recognize and respect is strength."

Eric Holder:

Former Obama Attorney General Eric Holder, speaking at a rally for Democrat candidates in Georgia:

"Michelle [Obama] says, 'When they go low, we go high.' No. No. When they go low, we kick 'em. That's what this new Democratic party is all about."

Rep. Maxine Waters:

The radical Democrat committee chair Rep. Maxine Waters (D-California), and past chair of the Congressional Black Caucus:

"Let's make sure we show up wherever we have to show up. And if you see anybody from that Cabinet in a restaurant, in a department store, at a gasoline station, you get out and you create a crowd. And you push back on them. And you tell them they're not welcome anymore, anywhere."

Waters appeared on MSNBC later in the day to double down on her remarks, saying she has "no sympathy" for members of the Trump administration.

"The people are going to turn on them. They're going to protest. They're going to absolutely harass them until they decide that they're going to tell the President, 'No, I can't hang with you.'"

The radicalized Democrat Party refuses to repudiate these calls for violence and intimidation by its leaders, so it bears full responsibility for the unprecedented incivility that has marked the past four years.

Your home is no longer your castle—it's their target

In civil society, your home was your castle. No more. The following examples of violence and destruction of property were documented even before “riot season” broke out nationwide in the spring of 2020! In the world of the radical left, unhinged by Trump Derangement Syndrome, your home is their target (very partial listing):

- *Plant City, Florida: Navy veteran's home torched and vandalized with spray paint; multiple pieces of furniture and family Christmas tree set on fire; word “cracker” painted in several places
- *Somerville, Massachusetts: Trump supporter's house vandalized with spray paint, and a threat that reads: “Trump is next”
- *Gainesville, Florida: two homes near the University of Florida campus, a liberal neighborhood, defaced with Nazi swastikas
- *Denver, Colorado: Hateful graffiti, including “Make Racists Afraid Again” and “Death to Trump,” painted all over couple's historic home
- *Oceanside, California: Woman returns from church Sunday morning to find her garage egged
- *Foley, Alabama: Eight homes of Trump supporters vandalized with spray paint
- *Mango, Florida: two mobile homes spray-painted, with evidence that someone tried to set one of them on fire
- *Hillsborough County, Florida: trailer set on fire, two homes spray-painted with messages “F*** Trump,” “Burn Everything,” and “BLM,” the acronym for “Black Lives Matter”
- *Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii: woman's house egged—“eggshells all over the place”
- *McKinney, Texas: woman's home in “quiet neighborhood” vandalized because of Trump signs
- *Brooklyn, New York: vandal attacks home of Trump fan
- *West Long Branch, New Jersey: surveillance camera shows woman stealing a Donald Trump flag from the porch of the home
- *Clearwater, Florida: home egg and vandalized, yard set on fire
- *Modesto, California: vandals egg the home of Trump supporter, destroy Trump flag, and throw paint on his truck
- *Naples, Florida: home's front window is blacked out with spray paint, Trump sign stolen
- *Silver Spring, Maryland: Trump supporter's home vandalized with swastika spray-painted on front door, American flag stolen. It was the third act of vandalism at his home.
- *Ceres, California: Trump supporter's home is egged, his Trump flag destroyed, paint thrown on his truck.
- *Orlando, Florida: Two women, next-door neighbors and Trump supporters, find “Nazi scum,” “KKK,” and “f*** Trump” messages spray-painted at their homes.
- *Phoenix, Arizona: House spray-painted three places with “f*** Trump” graffiti.

Forget freedom of speech—Trump yard signs, bumper stickers not allowed

Yes, there have always been uncouth people who would tear down signs supporting an opposing candidate. But with Trump Derangement Syndrome, destruction of pro-Trump signs and bumper stickers has reached epidemic levels (very partial listing):

- *Oceanside, California: Vandals write curse words “f” you and “f” you “a-hole” on a Trump yard sign
- *Rockland County, New York: To combat a trend of anti-Trump vandalism, woman offers a \$1,000 reward for information leading to the arrest and conviction of the vandals who defaced her three Trump for President lawn signs
- *Evanston, Illinois: Trump sign burned; previously five signs were stolen, so the homeowner wired this one to a tree; the vandals couldn’t steal but vandalized it and placed signs supporting Hillary Clinton and Black Lives Matter in the yard
- *Jacksonville, Florida: man caught on surveillance camera destroying a Trump yard sign—and he’s doing a “selfie” as he vandalizes!
- *Battle Creek, Michigan: Trump signs vandalized two nights in a row
- *Bloomington, Minnesota: woman’s lawn salted and yard sign torched; “An act of democracy was met with an act of crime,” notes Fox 9
- *Kalamazoo, Michigan: Trump campaign sign burned on lawn
- *Haymarket, Virginia: Trump sign and five American flags vandalized; the young Trump supporters who put up the sign are “enraged” but say they will replace it with a bigger sign
- *Boynton Beach, Florida: Man is watching fireworks from his front yard when a driver pulls up and tells him to remove his Trump flag. He refuses, and the driver punches him in the face and drags him 30 feet with his arm stuck in the car.
- *Naples, Florida: Man steals a Trump yard sign and then urinates on the homeowner’s mailbox. Caught on video, he says it was just a prank, but it cost him his job anyway.
- *Nampa, Idaho: Man’s Trump-Pence signs vandalized or stolen. Trump campaign offices in Boise and Caldwell report many such instances.
- *Reno, Nevada: Surveillance cameras show two masked vandals spray-painting over a gun shop’s 6-foot by 4-foot pro-Trump sign.
- *Provo, Utah: Trump signs at several homes damaged or defaced, one sign reading “AmeriKK-Ka.”
- *Detroit, Michigan: Black Women 4 Trump report their yard signs run over, but the tires of the vandals’ car were punctured. “Talk about instant Karma,” says one.
- *Platteville, Wisconsin: Trump yard sign burned to its frame with a torch; the owners’ tenant admits he did it.
- *Cape Coral, Florida: Man intentionally runs over Trump yard sign. He’s arrested after posting a video of his action on Facebook.
- *Texarkana, Arkansas: Woman’s two Trump signs are set on fire, so she replaces them with six more.
- *Columbia, Maryland: Howard County Police receive 27 reports of campaign sign vandalism; Democrats blame Trump, of course.

*Andover, Massachusetts: Woman charged with destroying several Trump yard signs and then trying to run the homeowner down when he confronted her.

*Haverhill, Massachusetts: Man's Trump signs are repeatedly stolen; he replaces them each time with a new sign.

Your cars and trucks are also fair game for the vandals

*Amherst Junction, Wisconsin: Dumb, intoxicated vandal thinks cars parked at an environmental meeting were at a pro-Trump rally, and smears 30 cars with peanut butter. Arrested, she explained "how much she loved Hillary Clinton and hated Donald Trump," the complaint says. "Fortunately, it wasn't chunky peanut butter, so vehicles didn't get scratched," said Chief Deputy Dan Kontos.

*Bangor, Maine: 17 cars vandalized at Donald Trump rally.

*Somerville, Massachusetts: "BLM," the acronym for "Black Lives Matter," spray-painted on hood of Trump supporter's car, bumper stickers spray-painted too; a previous incident cost him \$400 to repair his windshield

*Foley, Alabama: Six vehicles vandalized with spray paint

*Vancouver, Washington: Truck with "Trump 2020" bumper stickers spray-painted with anti-Trump messages and set on fire.

*Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Car with Trump bumper stickers has all 4 tires slashed; side-view mirror smashed.

*San Francisco, California: Car with Trump bumper sticker is spray-painted with words "Nazi" and "KKK," its tires slashed.

*Indianapolis, Indiana: Shots fired at a truck with a MAGA flag as it was driven along Interstate 465.

*Ypsilanti, Michigan: Woman tears off and damages American flag on Trump supporter's vehicle at Trump auto workers' rally; protestors were chanting "love trumps hate."

*Oregon: Photo shows Trump supporter's car vandalized above bumper sticker.

*Burlington, Washington: Truck and travel trailer vandalized with "F*** whites" and other hate messages.

*Eugene, Oregon: Protesters damage Trump supporter's car after march downtown.

*Queens, New York City: Nine cars including a Bentley spray-painted with anti-Trump graffiti, including "Pussy Grab" message.

*Wilmington, North Carolina: Swastika spray-painted above Trump bumper sticker on car's back windshield; after the owner has the paint professionally cleaned, the windshield is smashed three days later.

*Aberdeen, Maryland: \$300,000 McLaren 650S with Trump signs is set on fire.

*Maryland: Vandals pour white paint on and inside \$86,000 Corvette Stingray; owner had to sell it at insurance auction sale.

*Madison, Wisconsin: Pickup truck spray-painted with anti-Trump slogans, set on fire.

*Burtonsville, Maryland: 3 Trump supporters' cars parked in driveway spray-painted with swastika, "Trump" and "racist" signs.

- *Portland, Oregon: Trump supporter attacked in his Jeep, with USA flags ripped off, windshield smashed, and smoke bomb set off.
- *Portland, Oregon: Another vehicle, a Ford Mustang, spray-painted with words “f*** Trump” and set on fire.
- *Portland, Oregon: Trump supporter trapped in Jeep during downtown anti-Trump protest has flags torn off, windshield, headlight, and window smashed with a bat, and car spray-painted before he could escape. He and his family had moved to the U.S. from Ukraine about 16 years ago: “I love this country and I’m proud to be a U.S. citizen. My family is still in shock about what happened and we feel not safe.”
- *Lexington, Kentucky: Car with Trump bumper sticker has roof smashed, windshield busted.
- *New Jersey: Tires slashed on pickup truck with patriotic flags, Trump bumper sticker.
- *Georgetown, Texas: Southwestern University student’s car has “Racist” spray-painted on the hood of his car.
- *San Diego, California: “F*** Trump” spray-painted on car of 82-year-old in a retirement residence; residents band together to get car restored.
- *Tucson, Arizona: Car windows smashed while owners watched election night news on TV; estimate of damage is \$1,200.
- *Fort Myers, Florida: BMW with Trump sticker vandalized with white paint hours after Trump elected president. “I would not have gone and spray-painted, vandalized someone’s car that had Hillary on the back of it,” owner says.
- *Moline, Illinois: High school student’s car vandalized after a photo of him wearing a “Build the Wall” t-shirt is uploaded on Facebook.
- *New York, New York: Tires slashed on the van of Hasidic Trump supporter.
- *Sacramento, California: Hao Lee takes his 2-year-old son fishing, parks his truck with Trump bumper stickers, later hears someone shouting “f*** Trump” and finds his truck set on fire.
- *California: “F*** Trump” spray-painted across the length of the van in huge letters.
- *Grant, Alabama: Thousands of dollars in damage to Trump supporter’s Cadillac, with every window smashed, the body of the car beaten, and anti-Trump obscenities painted on it.
- *Provo, Utah: Two vehicles damaged at several homes with pro-Trump signs.
- *Scranton, Pennsylvania: Trump-themed tractor trailer used in the campaign is spray-painted.
- *North Carolina: Trump supporter’s SUV spray painted, with damage estimated at \$1,500.
- *Warwick, Rhode Island: Obscenities yelled at a car with Trump bumper sticker; owner and his granddaughter go inside a theater to watch a movie, and find the car vandalized when they return to it after the movie.
- *Ceres, California: Vandals throw paint on the truck of a Trump supporter.
- *Gig Harbor, Washington: Trump supporter’s tires slashed; vandal claims “It was an act of community service.”
- *Walled Lake, Michigan: Family RV turned into a special Trump van is hit with eggs and tomatoes, then the windshield is smashed and the hood broken open.
- *Mt. Morris Township, Michigan: Painting of Trump on the side of a semi-truck trailer is defaced with a moustache to make him look like Hitler.

Shameful, but your kids are not exempt from Leftist intimidation and violence

- *Martin County, Florida: Adult school bus aide, charged with the protection of children, harasses a 14-year-old student for wearing a MAGA hat on the school bus for “hat day,” a fundraising event for the March of Dimes. She rips off his hat, even though other students were allowed to keep theirs on
- *Grand Rapids, Michigan: An 11-year-old boy is threatened with physical violence on Halloween when he goes trick-or-treating dressed as President Trump. One woman threw candy at him and threatened to slap him
- *St. Louis, Missouri: 12-year-old boy attacked on school bus by other students for wearing MAGA hat, and he is suspended even though he was the one attacked; mother Christina Cortina is outraged: “I feel like my son was made an example of...it was politically charged”
- *Chicago, Illinois: Four thugs videotape as they kidnap a special-needs teenager, duct-tape his mouth shut, torture him with cigarette ashes and knife cuts, and force him to drink out of a toilet; they are shouting “f*** Donald Trump” and “f*** white people”
- *Rockville, Maryland: Five students punch and kick a high school student wearing a MAGA hat, and he is taken to a hospital. Ironically, the students were part of an anti-Trump march with signs saying “Love trumps hate.” “I have my right to free speech too,” the victim says
- *Redwood City, California: High school girl is beaten by other students for supporting Trump; a cellphone video shows students laughing. An attacker says, “You support Trump, you hate Mexicans”; “I said no I do not.” Her mother says “She’s got Mexican friends. She’s got black friends. We have them over. We’re not racist and have never been racist.”
- *Stafford, Texas: Elementary school boy is attacked after he admits he supported Trump, and is taken to a hospital emergency room for injuries. Parents note that a teacher was present in the room and want to know why the attack wasn’t stopped.
- *Moline, Illinois: High school boy’s car is vandalized and he receives death threats for supporting Trump; there were threats of gang violence, and attempts to get him fired from his job
- *Atlanta, Georgia: A black teenage Trump supporter receives death threats for supporting him
- *Anaheim, California: Girls ages 8 and 11, bystanders at a demonstration, are struck with pepper spray by an anti-Trump protestor
- *Pflugerville, Texas: Teen is attacked by two Hispanic men at a bus stop because they think he’s a Trump supporter; he says “There was an off-duty officer there that didn’t break up the fight.”
- *Woodstock, Georgia: High school teacher compares students’ MAGA shirts to “swastikas,” orders them to turn them inside out or leave class. She says “Make America Great Again” is a neo-Nazi slogan.
- *Palm Bay, Florida: High school student punched in the face by another student, who then also injures a school resource officer.

An example of what students go through when they're not “politically correct”

Olivia Capestany was a senior at Seattle's Roosevelt High when she wrote a poignant essay for Education Lab after President Trump's election. She was not a fan of Trump, but she did believe in tolerance, which was in short supply. Here are some excerpts from her story (boldface emphasis has been added by us):

“With Hillary Clinton winning more than three times the votes as Donald Trump in King County, it's no surprise that the election results devastated many Roosevelt students. Students showed up to school in black clothing, saying they were mourning the loss of intelligence in this country. Some were in tears, hugging and holding each other in the hallways. My school had a total of three walkouts with a large portion of the school participating, myself included.”

But her journalism teacher, to the teacher's credit, believed the students should hear from a recent graduate who had voted for Trump, and understand the reasons.

“Before the discussion began, Ms. Roux told us that we could leave if we weren't comfortable, and about half the class left to work on other assignments.” [Yes, the dear snowflakes may be irreparably damaged by hearing a different viewpoint.] Olivia joined them, but then returned to the classroom. Here's why:

“Growing up in a Cuban American family, I have always heard about the value of free speech. Throughout my childhood, I was influenced by Fidel Castro piñatas at birthday parties and stories of the American dream. I was taught that free speech is a privilege, because in Cuba, that privilege was limited.”

“The next day in class, we spent some time talking about [the Trump supporter] Machala's visit. There were many different feelings expressed, but the common thread was shock. Nobody had ever talked to anyone like him before. That surprised me. I never realized how little political diversity there was in my school, and it opened my eyes to the fact that there were probably other students who, like Machala, were loyal to Trump but too afraid to speak up.

“In the months that followed, I started to notice a one-sidedness in class discussions and my peers using the word Republican as an insult. I think this behavior is harmful. As teenagers, our political opinions are constantly changing. Every high school should foster a safe environment for students to learn and grow politically. And that should start on the most fundamental level — with encouraging respectful conversations....

“I've seen my liberal peers shutting themselves off to other viewpoints. This is not OK. It's important for people to engage in these conversations. It's the only way we can move out of our political gridlock. Unfortunately, for many students in Seattle, it's hard to even get an opportunity to talk with someone with opposing views.

“At Roosevelt, tensions between Republicans and Democrats reached a boiling point. Friendships were broken and all fifty of the posters advertising the school’s Republican club were ripped down. Many Republicans at Roosevelt ended up feeling even more isolated and afraid to speak out.”

There’s much more to Olivia’s story, and you should check it out. Think about it—students in a city as big as Seattle who have never talked to a conservative or a Republican, and who are afraid to talk to someone outside their cozy cocoon. And then this self-isolation from other viewpoints leads to active intolerance. Lucky for Olivia, she was raised in a Cuban American family that understands what socialism is really like—so different from the utopian visions of Bernie Sanders and the leftist Democrats. Olivia is a gifted writer and Education Lab tells us “she loves comedy and wants to be a television writer someday.” We’re sure she will be a success.

There’s a tentatively happy ending to the story at Roosevelt High School. The Political Discussion Club and the Republican Club engaged in a spirited but civil debate, similar to the one in her journalism class. “Although the clubs are just starting to change the climate at Roosevelt,” Olivia concludes, “these students may have found a solution — simply listening to each other.” Unfortunately, in most big-city high schools and in colleges across the nation, intolerance of conservatives and Republicans is the norm. For the radical Left, it’s all about power, not tolerance or free speech, and anything goes in pursuit of power.

Harrowing tales from college campuses: Leftist violence and intimidation against conservatives

When we were in college, we were vastly outnumbered by liberals in both the student bodies and faculties. But most liberals of that era still had some respect for free speech, so discussions and debates—while they could get pretty wild at times—were still allowed and encouraged.

Today’s conservative students face an even greater imbalance on campus, and today’s leftists and “progressive” Democrats have no tolerance for dissent. Their goal is to overwhelm and silence any opposition in their pursuit of total power. Here is a very partial listing of some recent examples:

*Cornell University (Ithaca, New York): Student shoved to the ground day after being elected president of the College Republicans; assailant shouts “F*** you, racist bitch, you support a racist party.” She had previously received death threats after being quoted in the Cornell Daily Sun that she would vote for Trump over Clinton.

*Queensborough Community College (Queens, New York City): Black Trump supporter is accosted, threatened with being lit on fire, for wearing a MAGA hat. “This on a campus with a holocaust museum,” he notes. Campus public safety officers won’t even file a report. Asked by the Observer why he supports Trump, he explains: “Hillary Clinton stated several times that if she’s president we’re going to attack Iran.... She scares me. I have little brothers who are draft age. My

girlfriend is draft age. I don't want them to get drafted. I don't want \$10 a gallon gas. Really, out of anti-establishment and against continuation of all Obama's foreign wars."

*Providence College (Providence, Rhode Island): Board of Multicultural Student Affairs invites students to stab a "Trumpkin" as a way of relieving stress. The picture shows a pumpkin with scissors inserted, and the words at top: "Stab, Stab, Stab" with a smiley face.

*University of California, Berkeley (Berkeley, California): Student arrested for destroying College Republican signs around campus. He then complains on Facebook that "UC Berkeley protects racist organizations."

* University of California, Berkeley (Berkeley, California): Antifa thugs attack a peaceful Trump rally on campus, using pepper spray, and throwing eggs at a Trump supporter holding up a "House the Homeless" sign.

*California State (Fullerton, California): Anthropology instructor suspended for allegedly assaulting a pro-Trump student; faculty union backs him up.

*Fordham University (The Bronx, New York City): Students wearing MAGA hats are thrown out of campus "safe space" coffee shop. "It was absolutely insane," says one. "We weren't even talking politics—we were talking about finals." The social justice warrior booting them says she was "protecting my customers" (from the hats?).

*Bryn Mawr College (Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania): Freshman asking for a ride to a Trump event harassed so vehemently online, with charges of "fascist" and "racist," that she leaves school two days later.

*University of Pittsburgh (Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania): Finally bringing some diversity to the Hillary tables outside the campus library, pro-Trump students are met with remarks like "F*** the white male patriarchy!" and "I can't believe you guys are actually people," and their table is flipped, their literature spilled on the ground.

*Rutgers University (New Brunswick, New Jersey): He decides to wear his MAGA hat for a week and got the reception he expected on a liberal college campus: derision, social opprobrium, obscenities, and a girl an inch from his face when saying "I will s*** on your face." "Saturday was the Michigan football game, and this was really interesting as everyone was wasted by three in the afternoon...."

*Gustavus Adolphus College (St. Peter, Minnesota): Female student reports being assaulted while headed for a debate-watch party with fellow campus Republicans.

*St. Olaf College (Northfield, Minnesota): Conservative students raided by campus police after a false report claiming that their dorm room contained guns and ammunition; the Dean still thanks the students who called in the false tip.

*Portland State University (Portland, Oregon): Inaugural meeting of Students for Trump ends in chaos as 50 protesters disrupt it and make threats, one shouting "you f***ers! Shut the f*** up!"

*Saint Mary's College (Moraga, California): Pro-Trump student's car repeatedly vandalized; signs of College Republicans club constantly torn down.

*College of Lake County (Grayslake, Illinois): Young Americans for Freedom (YAF) chapter labeled "hate group" by college employee; group files formal complaint with the college.

*Syracuse University (Syracuse, New York): YAF chapter originally denied recognition because its Sharon Statement endorses the U.S. Constitution: "Requiring students to agree in the superiority of the U.S. Constitution is exclusionary to international students and other individuals."

Decision went too far even for these crazies, and was reversed.

*Santa Clara University (Santa Clara, California): Student government rejects YAF recognition in part because “we do have plenty of students on our [campus] who are undocumented and may see your club as a threat because of you[r stand] on legal immigration.” This crazy decision was reversed by the student government court.

*College of the Holy Cross (Worcester, Massachusetts): Protesters disrupt speech by conservative Heather MacDonald. She wrote an article for the Wall Street Journal, “Why Are College Students So Afraid of Me?” and the college’s dean of students defended the disrupting students.

*California State University (Chico, California): Student vandalizes a conservative group’s property and the school’s faculty offers legal assistance to the vandal. That same faculty association says College Republicans have “white supremacist” ideas.

*Florida State University (Tallahassee, Florida): Student arrested for assaulting a member of the College Republicans, admitting to being a communist and throwing her drink at the young Republican.

*University of Illinois (Urbana, Illinois): Graduate instructor with communist and anarchist connections, including Antifa, is arrested for assaulting pro-Trump students and throwing one student’s \$700 phone to the ground, breaking it.

*Bethel University (McKenzie, Tennessee): Students for Life denied recognition at this Christian university, claiming the group would not make the university a “better” place.

*Northwestern University (Evanston, Illinois): Speech by former Attorney General Jeff Sessions is interrupted with shouting (“Abolish ICE,” “F*** Jeff Sessions” and pushing, grabbing, and kicking of campus police officers who were trying to restore order. Attendees booed as they walked out of the College Republicans event.

*Sacramento State University (Sacramento, California): Conservative student activist is assaulted by a leftist classmate, with the violent altercation caught on video. “Motherf**ker, you’re going to end up f**king dead,” the assailant screams.

*George Washington University (Washington, D.C.): After testifying before Congress against impeachment of President Trump, constitutional law professor Jonathan Turley is “inundated with threatening messages” and demands that the university fire him.

*State University of New York (Binghamton, New York): Speech by economist Arthur Laffer to College Republicans is shut down in minutes by Antifa protestors who clashed with police. To add insult to injury, the group is then prohibited from making room reservations for future meetings by the Student Association.

Left is “against” gun violence—except when it’s their finger on the trigger

*West Goshen, Pennsylvania: Jury convicts man, his yard full of anti-Trump signs, of shooting and murdering his next-door neighbor, a Republican committeeman. He watched his neighbor die slowly for 12 seconds, prosecutors said, then leaned down and shot him again in the head

*Ithaca, New York: Man fatally shoots UPS driver, ordered for psychiatric examination after he explains: “I shot and killed Donald Trump purposely, intentionally, and very proudly.” He was indicted for second degree murder and menacing a police officer.

*Kansas City, Missouri: Thug armed with “assault rifle” taunts Trump supporter over Trump flag on his truck and rips it down

*Atlanta, Georgia: Man shot and killed outside a bar after saying he voted for Trump; he had just got a job that would finally enable him to take care of his children

*Cleveland, Ohio: Black Vietnam vet and Trump supporter shot in the thigh at bar during discussion where he gave his political views. “Just a sign of the times today,” he said from his hospital bed. “People are really lost. It’s too much like high school. You’re either with us or against us.”

*Dalton, Georgia: Three Latino men destroy a man’s Trump yard sign and threaten him with a handgun; all three are charged with violation of Georgia’s Criminal Street Gang Act

*Bowling Green, Kentucky: Man arrested for pointing a gun at a couple wearing MAGA hats at a Sam’s Club, yelling at the woman “It’s a good day to die b****.” He was booked on charges of first degree wanton endangerment

*South Daytona, Florida: Windows shot out at Volusia County GOP headquarters; at least four bullet holes discovered inside the office

*Washington, D.C.: Police investigate threat to commit mass shooting at MAGA event in Trump International Hotel; anonymous person on Twitter account that supports Democratic Socialists says “I am coming with a gun and I expect to get numerous bloodstained MAGA hats as trophies”

Leftist CNN condones leftist violence and intimidation

It is a hallmark of left-wing media to either ignore or downplay the hundreds of examples of leftist violence and intimidation. But nobody does this as much as CNN:

*CNN’s Brooke Baldwin denies that leftists who chased Sen. Ted Cruz and his wife out of a restaurant were a “mob”; later, CNN’s Don Lemon defends the mob action as free speech, and yells at his guest “You can leave the show if you want.” He rants: “In the Constitution, you can protest whenever and wherever you want. It doesn’t tell you that you can’t do it in a restaurant... To call people mobs because they are exercising their constitutional right is just beyond the pale.”

*CNN’s Jake Tapper goes into an anti-police rant at the demonstrations in Ferguson, Missouri, and CNN repeatedly pushes the Ferguson “Hands up, don’t shoot” lie.

*As violence in Baltimore is finally settling down, CNN’s Brooke Baldwin suggests that the Baltimore police department may be enlisting veterans “coming back from war, they don’t know the communities, and they are ready to do battle.”

*After 200 black-owned businesses have been burnt out in Baltimore by black protestors, CNN anchor Chris Cuomo warns one black protester about the police: “Just be careful, ‘cuz you know how they are... If you seem like you’re being provocative towards them, you know how things can go.”

*CNN employee Marc Lamont Hill says during the Baltimore riots (emphasis added): “We also have to be very careful about the language we use to talk about this. I’m not calling these people rioters. I’m calling these uprisings.. after decades and centuries of police terrorism...”

“No, there shouldn’t be calm tonight. Black people are dying in the streets. They’ve been dying in the streets for months, years, decades, centuries. I think there can be resistance to oppression and when resistance occurs, you can’t circumscribe resistance. You can’t schedule a planned resistance....

*According to *Variety*, Fox News had one anchor and four correspondents covering the Baltimore riots; MSNBC also had five there; but CNN had 17 there—as we’ve seen, often excusing the mobs and fanning the flames of white-black conflict.

Perhaps this helps to explain why CNN—which started the cable news revolution—is now in last place in the ratings battle between the three cable networks.

Off-duty Trump officials harassed in public places

A mark of the Left’s “total war” attitude toward politics has been their harassment of Trump White House and Administration officials at home or while in public, away from official functions.

Probably the most famous example was the expulsion of then-White House Press Secretary Sarah Huckabee Sanders from the Red Hen restaurant in Lexington, Virginia, which became the example followed by copycat lefties across the nation. Co-owner Stephanie Wilkinson explained that she denied Sanders service in part because she employs staff who are LGBT, and they were upset because of President Trump’s banning of transgenders from the military.

As noted by Joseph R. Murray II in *USA Today*, “The irony is just too much. For decades, Americans have been lectured to about the treatment of the LGBT community. Bakers, innkeepers, wedding planners have all been exiled because they have refused service to same-sex couples.” Homeland Security chief Kirstjen Nielsen was having dinner at MXDC Cocina Mexicana, an upscale restaurant near the White House, when protesters from Democratic Socialists of America interrupted her meal and verbally assaulted her over the administration’s immigration policies. A similar mob protested outside her Virginia townhouse, shouting “No justice, no sleep.” One yelled that she was “a modern-day Nazi!” But Rep. David Bowen (Democrat-Wisconsin) tweeted his approval of the protest.

The public insults continue even after a person leaves the administration. Steve Bannon had been out for nearly a year when a woman confronted him at Black Swan Books in Richmond, Virginia, shouting obscenities and calling him “a piece of trash.” (No doubt she would also burn any books by him.)

And White House advisor Stephen Miller found zealous demonstrators converging on his apartment building in downtown Washington to protest the administration's immigration policies. The group chanted "immigrants are welcome here," and argued with building residents who apparently were irritated with their invasion of their residence. One of the protestors ranted that "as long as Stephen Miller and the Trump regime terrorize black and brown communities, penalize immigrants, and ban Muslims, they will be met with frequent displays of public anger and moral outrage."

Not exactly the kind of incident to make you popular with your close neighbors—but that was probably one of the goals of the protestors. Their first goal, of course, was to feel good about themselves with their overabundance of "moral outrage."

It didn't even matter if you had been a presidential candidate opposing Trump during the 2016 GOP primary, if you supported the nomination of Trump's Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh. Sen. Ted Cruz (Republican-Texas) and his wife Heidi were chased out of ritzy Fiola restaurant in D.C. by a flash mob with cellphone cameras, incessantly chanting "We believe survivors!" They identified themselves as members of Democratic Socialists of America and a local "anti-fascist" group, gleefully employing fascist mob tactics.

But you don't have to be a prominent Trump official to get the same treatment

*Washington, D.C.: A woman at a restaurant is brutally attacked by two other women after admitting she supported Trump. She was treated at a hospital for a bruised eye, fractured finger, a bruised knee, and other bruises all over her body. The restaurant's surveillance camera caught the incident.

*Washington, D.C.: Man reports being assaulted and kicked out of Café Citron, which describes itself as a "friendly Latin restaurant and lounge," because of his MAGA hat.

*Washington, D.C.: Uber driver refuses to drive black conservatives to a MAGA "meet-up" at Trump International Hotel. "To me," said one, "what happened took me back to the 60s when black Americans were told they couldn't sit in the front of the bus, they had to sit at the back of the bus... So now we are going to discriminate not based on race but on political standing."

*San Francisco, California: Trump supporters are pushed out of the bar Zeitgeist and stalked down the block. Let that be a lesson to you: don't defy the zeitgeist!

*Los Angeles, California: Asher Shalom, owner of Asher Caffè & Lounge, says an anti-Trump group protested his support for President Trump's immigration policies, leading to his expulsion from the local Chamber of Commerce. A chamber official said his views "are not in line with the values and objectives" of the group. A legal immigrant himself, Shalom doesn't believe in illegal immigration and says the majority of his 70 employees are immigrants.

*Vancouver, Canada: Don't assume it's necessarily safer on the Canadian side of the border if you're a Trump supporter. The week after Sarah Huckabee Sanders was barred from the Red Hen restaurant, resulting in great publicity, a man with a MAGA hat was denied service at a pop-

ular seafood restaurant in Vancouver. Give credit to the owner, however: he fired the manager, saying “We don’t discriminate against people based on political beliefs.”

*Miami, Florida: Black man verbally attacked by about a dozen employees and called a “n*****” at Cheesecake Factory because he was wearing a MAGA hat. Video clips and photos validate these claims to a news investigator.

*Minneapolis, Minnesota: A conservative commentator is having brunch with her parents when other customers throw water at her and shout obscenities.

*New York City: Gay founder Brandon Straka of #WalkAway Campaign (view his powerful YouTube video) is recognized as such and refused service at a camera store. He receives tweets: “I am a Latina who used to be brainwashed by the Dems...I walked away from the Democratic plantation. Can’t wait to vote for Trump 2020!” “Welcome to Trump nation Brandon. They even call me a racist, as well as an UncleTom for supporting our president.” “You don’t know how encouraging it has been to sit and watch these testimonials, especially from people of color and gays.”

*New York City: Video shows a conservative forced out of a Murray Hill bar to chants of “Nazi scum get out.” Another victory for democracy by members of Democratic Socialists of America.

*New York City: Man in a MAGA hat is denied service at a West Village bar. Manager says: “Anyone who supports Trump...is not welcome here!” Ironically, the name of the establishment is ‘The Happiest Hour’.

*New York City: A man wearing a MAGA hat is violently forced out of City Hall Park while police stand by and do nothing. And the mob was there for an anti-police demonstration! New York, New York, it’s a wonderful town...if you have the correct politics.

*Colonial Heights, Virginia: Two cousins dressed in Trump t-shirts are denied service at Cook Out restaurant after attending Trump rally.

The radical Left regularly targets Republican and Trump offices, personnel

The most publicized attack took place in Alexandria, Virginia, as 24 Republican congressmen were practicing for the next day’s charity Congressional Baseball Game. Republican Senators Rand Paul and Jeff Flake were also present. Luckily, three Capitol Police officers were also present to protect Rep. Steve Scalise, who had full-time security protection because of his leadership position as House Majority Whip. Their assailant was a left-wing activist who was killed in a 10-minute shootout with the Capitol Police and Alexandria Police. Scalise and a lobbyist attending the practice game underwent surgery at hospitals following the attack.

The Virginia Attorney General concluded the leftist’s attack was “an act of terrorism...fueled by rage against Republican legislators.” While this was the most visible attack on Republicans since the election of President Trump, Republican and Trump offices and personnel have been regular targets of leftist vandals and terrorists across the nation:

*South Daytona, Florida: At least four shots fired into the headquarters of the Volusia County Republican Party. Windows shot out, but thankfully no injuries.

- *New York, New York: Antifa activists smash windows and spray-paint an anarchist symbol on the Metropolitan Republican Club in Manhattan. They leave an ominous message: “Our attack is merely a beginning. We are not passive, we are not civil, and we will not apologize.”
- *Flagstaff, Arizona: GOP office vandalized with a message: “f*** Trump.”
- *Washington, D.C.: Capitol Police arrest a male Democratic operative for assaulting a female Interior Department communications official following a House budget hearing; he chased after her and pushed her to the floor. He had identified himself as a reporter for American Bridge, a Democrat PAC dedicated to “holding Republicans accountable for their words and actions” and described as an “opposition research hub of the Democratic fundraising apparatus.”
- *Boston, Massachusetts: Man arrested for mailing a white powder to Donald Trump Jr. at his New York City apartment. He was determined to be a Democrat with strong anti-Trump rants, comparing the president to Adolf Hitler. Trump Jr.’s wife Vanessa opened the envelope and was taken to a hospital, but the power was ultimately determined to be cornstarch.
- *Martin, Tennessee: Woman arrested for trying to run Rep. David Kustoff’s (R-TN) car off the road, after he voted for repeal of Obamacare. Police say eyewitnesses reported a “lot of cursing” and they “couldn’t understand a lot of what she was saying.”
- *Polson, Montana: Republican headquarters vandalized with obscene messages.
- *Richmond, Virginia: Republican state headquarters vandalized with graffiti and property damage during protests against President-elect Trump. 12 people were arrested for blocking traffic during the Richmond protests.
- *Sterling, Virginia: Trump National Golf Club is vandalized just before the presidential election.
- *Washington, D.C.: Trump International Hotel is spray-painted with messages “Black Lives Matter” and “No justice, no peace.” Similar vandalism reported by the hotel again later.
- *Rock Island, Illinois: Rock Island County GOP office is vandalized with message “LGBT F*** Trump.” Vandalism is condemned by Jeff McKinley, GOP candidate for Circuit Court: “As a gay man and Republican candidate myself, I am so disappointed in the ignorance this demonstrates on the part of the perpetrator(s).” He added: “I have been treated with nothing but respect from everyone else from the party.”
- *Denver, Colorado: Brick wall at Trump campaign headquarters defaced with paint: “Trump = Pervert – Thief – A**wipe.” Windows also defaced.
- *Denver, Colorado: Trump campaign headquarters again hit by vandalism in the week before the 2016 election. A rock narrowly misses a 10-year-old boy who was volunteering with a parent. “He was pretty shaken up. People inside the building said it sounded like a gunshot. They hit the floor.”
- *Burlington, North Carolina: Alamance County GOP headquarters is vandalized with spray-painting of expletives directed at Trump.
- *Hillsborough, North Carolina: Orange County GOP headquarters is firebombed.
- *Ukiah, California: On Halloween, vandals throw eggs at the Trump headquarters.
- *Ukiah, California: Vandals throw a pumpkin at Trump headquarters, and use a caulking gun to write inflammatory messages (because of previous vandalism, a surveillance camera had been installed and recorded the vandals); “rapist” written on the building.
- *Ukiah, California: Vandals in a red car tear down a Trump sign. The Trump campaign responds by putting up a bigger sign, six feet high.

*Muncie, Indiana: Windows smashed at Delaware County Republican Party office, causing \$1,200 in damages.

*Jacksonville, Florida: Glass shattered as a man uses a trash can to break the front window of Trump headquarters in the city.

*Littleton, Massachusetts: Trump headquarters vandalized with words “pig” and “trump go home” plus profanity, even though a police station is just yards down the street.

Antifa: “Anti-fascist” group repeatedly uses fascist tactics of violence and intimidation

The group’s name “Antifa” is supposed to stand for “anti-fascist.” But as we’ve seen so often, the Left and the Democrats consistently accuse conservatives and Republicans of crimes and actions which in reality they practice themselves. Antifa’s resort to violence and intimidation is the very essence of fascist, anti-democratic strategies and tactics. President Trump has declared Antifa a terrorist organization.

As Wikipedia puts it, “The principal feature of antifa groups is their use of direct action, with conflicts occurring both online and in real life. They engage in varied protest tactics, which include digital activism, property damage, physical violence, and harassment...” Here is a very partial listing of their assaults on democratic traditions of free speech and civility:

*Berkeley, California: In the protest which first gained them mainstream attention, they threw Molotov cocktails and smashed windows to prevent alt-right speaker Milo Yiannopoulos from speaking, causing \$100,000 worth of damage.

*Portland, Oregon: After they learned that the Republican Party would participate in the city’s annual Avenue of Roses Parade, they sent an anonymous letter to the parade’s organizers warning: “You have seen how much power we have downtown and that the police cannot stop us from shutting down roads so please consider your decision wisely.” The parade was canceled due to safety concerns.

*Charlottesville, Virginia: While disreputable elements of the alt-right protested peaceably, Antifa terrorists used clubs and dyed liquids and chanted “punch a Nazi in the mouth.” Predictably, the leftist “mainstream” media was obsessed with the alt-right’s beliefs and ignored the violence of the Left.

*Boston, Massachusetts: 33 arrested for violent incidents while protesting the Boston Free Speech Rally, where around 50 rally participants faced an estimated 40,000 counter-protestors.

*Berkeley, California (again): A “Say No to Marxism” rally is cancelled due to security concerns, as the protesters threaten violence, including smashing the cameras of anyone who filmed them. Jesse Arreguin, the mayor of Berkeley, suggests classifying the city’s Antifa as a gang.

*San Francisco, California: A Patriot Prayer event is cancelled following counter-protests, and the Patriot Prayer’s founder blames Antifa and another group called By Any Means Necessary.

*Washington, D.C.: Antifa protests outside the home of Daily Caller founder and Fox News commentator Tucker Carlson, chanting “We will fight, we know where you sleep at night” and

defacing his property. Twitter suspends the group's account for violation of Twitter rules by posting Carlson's home addresses. They also posted the addresses of Carlson's brother and a friend who co-founded the Daily Caller.

*Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Antifa harasses and attacks African American conservative commentator and political activist Candace Owens, as she is having breakfast at a restaurant. She tweets: "They are currently following us through Philly. All black and Hispanic police force protecting us as they scream 'f***the racist police.'"

*Washington, D.C.: Antifa and its allies smash the windows of cars and businesses during President Trump's inauguration. One Trump supporter is assaulted as he attempts to put out Antifa-ignited fires.

*Berkeley, California: Police arrest a college professor and Antifa activist, for beating pro-Trump rally attendees with a bike lock. He is charged on suspicion of three accounts of assault with a deadly weapon. His former faculty page said he taught political philosophy and "is currently exploring restorative justice from an anti-authoritarian perspective."

*St. Paul, Minnesota: Antifa assaults demonstrators who are protesting Sharia practices like female genital mutilations and honor killings. They smash the phone of a reporter recording the event, and a line of State Patrol Officers, a few feet away, refuse to help her. (After all, this is liberal Minnesota.)

*Minneapolis, Minnesota: Pro-Trump veterans receive cuts and bruises as they try to attend a rally at the State Capitol, for which they had a permit, but are stopped by the Antifa thugs. They ask the Minnesota State Patrol to make arrests or at least take a report, but neither happens. (Again, this is liberal Minnesota.)

In July 2019, Senators Bill Cassidy and Ted Cruz introduced a nonbinding resolution that would designate Antifa as a domestic terrorist organization for attacking Americans "who don't agree with them." Given their repeated actions of violence and intimidation, why hasn't that resolution been passed?

Nothing is safe from the Left's vandals

*Emeryville, California: They think they're so clever, they brag about it to reporters. Anti-Trump activist group Indecline defaces huge billboard, changing a company's message "We make junk disappear" to read as a message from ICE: "We make kids disappear."

*Hollywood, California: Anonymous members of the anarchist group Indecline cover the names of movie stars on Hollywood's Walk of Fame, replacing them with names they claim were unarmed African Americans killed by police.

*California's Mojave Desert: Eight members of Indecline paint a mile-long message on an abandoned landing strip to be seen from the air: "This land was your land." They claim it's the largest piece of illegal graffiti in the world.

*Las Vegas, Nevada: Indecline defaces a billboard, changing a gun range's message from "Shoot a .50-Caliber Only \$29" to "Shoot a School Kid Only \$29."

*Bedminster, New Jersey: Indecline installs satirical fake gravestones at the property President

Trump once slated to be his final resting place. One of the gravestones in “Trump Cemetery” reads: “Here lies the American dream.”

*Rancho Palos Verdes, California: Environmentalist group defaces greens at Trump National Golf Club with message: “No more Tigers. No more woods.”

*West Palm Beach, Florida: Red paint splashed over sign at entrance to Trump’s international golf club near his Mar-a-Lago estate.

*Storrs, Connecticut: Man arrested in connection with 103 counts of vandalism on University of Connecticut campus, including many anti-Trump messages such as “F*** Trump.”

*Princess Anne, Maryland: Two teenage women arrested, accused of trying to burn a Trump sign outside a store, causing \$800 in damage.

*Jacksonville, North Carolina: Police investigate 20 reports of anti-Trump graffiti causing property damage.

*Glassboro, New Jersey: Sign at Rowan University spray-painted with messages “No Trump” and “Punch Nazis.”

*Hull, Massachusetts: Trump campaign sign set on fire.

*Big Pine Key, Florida: Woman admits slashing a Trump sign, saying she did it because the sign “ruined her chill.” She admits holding a knife in her hand but says she did not threaten two men during the confrontation.

*Los Angeles, California: Blue-haired woman sentenced for spray-painting anti-Trump graffiti on four buildings in downtown LA.

*Chapel Hill, North Carolina: Vandals spray-paint anti-Trump graffiti in town-owned Old Chapel Hill Cemetery on campus of University of North Carolina.

*Lansing, Michigan: Vulgar anti-Trump messages spray-painted on building with Trump signs.

*Matlacha, Florida: Art gallery vandalized because of pro-Trump signs.

*Boston, Massachusetts: “Kill your local Trump supporter” spray-painted on school building.

*Denver, Colorado: Arsonist sets Trump campaign sign on fire, endangering an entire neighborhood when the fire quickly spreads to brush next to it.

*St. Cloud, Florida: Trump signs vandalized at early voting site, with swastikas and messages such as “Make America Hate Again.”

*East Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania: United Methodist Church targeted with anti-Trump graffiti, including obscene sexual and anti-Semitic images. The entire outside of the church will need to be repainted.

*Leesburg, Virginia: Anti-Trump graffiti spray-painted on the sidewalks of the Woodlea Manor neighborhood.

*Quincy, Illinois: Trump signs vandalized.

*Phoenix, Arizona: Trump billboard near Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport defaced with 10 swastikas.

*Chicago, Illinois: Polish National Club is spray-painted with signs “F*** Trump” and “Traitors.”

*Jacksonville, Florida: Trump road signs have “KKK” spray-painted on them.

*Staten Island, New York: Pro-Trump “installation” is torched; owner wants a hate crime investigation.

*San Diego, California: Legally armed owner of tattoo shop confronts a group of people who are destroying his Trump sign; he has had to file four police reports of such vandalism.

*Whatcom County, Washington: Trump signs by side of road are spray-painted, torn apart, set on fire.

*Hollis, New Hampshire: A Trump sign hanging from trees on private property is set on fire.

*Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey: An 18-foot-long Trump billboard is set on fire.

*Wilmington, North Carolina: He runs down a Trump sign by the side of a highway with his Jeep, posts a video of his action on Facebook, it goes viral, and police charge him for the crime.

*Dayton, Ohio: Dozens of Trump signs torn down, or spray-painted with obscenities and the word “fascist.”

*Chicago, Illinois: A Trump billboard is taken down after it was defaced several times.

*Jacksonville, Florida: A large Trump sign in a vacant storefront is defaced with words “heil Nazi” and moustache added to Trump’s photo to make him look like Hitler. The Jewish owner sees this as a direct affront to the Jewish people.”

Help us create a database of the violence by the Democrats’ mobs

We know there are many other examples to bring to the voters’ attention. You can help us create a more complete database. Use the link below to email us with examples of which you are aware.

Whenever possible, include a link to an article or police document reporting on this incident. Keep it accurate. If a person has been accused, but not yet convicted, make that clear.

Keep it short, but include all the essential facts. Remember that even a short article tells the reader who did what, when, and where.

We will make this growing database available to conservative activists, candidates, and any media that dare to report about this threat of violence and intimidation to our nation.

Please make your report at www.MeanAndEvil.com. Thank you for helping us alert the public!

Chapter 12:

Democrats: Mean & Evil on The Gender Hoax

All these years you've thought there were only two sexes—male and female? You must be a white nationalist racist genderist to think that!

Welcome to the Brave New World of the radical “progressives” and their captives, the radicalized Democrat Party of 2020. While 95% of the nation looks on in confusion and astonishment, they proclaim that anyone can determine what sex they are, that you are a bigot if you don't accept that, and that you the taxpayer must pay for their propagandizing of your young children to the “joys” of being a drag queen.

No kidding. This is the new sexual frontier of the radicalized Democrats. If you are confused, and even if you are so un-“woke” that you're not sure you know what a drag queen is and why your baby should be exposed to one, read on—we'll explain. But it doesn't stop at exposure. There is a concerted effort to “help” young children “transition” to the opposite sex when they exhibit such interests—or perhaps just when a parent exhibits interest in turning a son into a daughter. Read on. And then you'll be angry. For this attempt to erase the meaning of sexual identity and family while victimizing both children and parents is pure evil.

The Battle Over 8-year-old James Younger's Identity

For nearly a year, the parents of James Younger, an 8-year-old Texas boy, have battled each other in court over the custody, care, and gender identity of their son. Mother Anne Georgulas insists James wants to be a girl called Luna, and facilitates dressing the child in dresses and makeup. Father Jeff Younger says he sees no signs that James wants to be a girl when he is with him, and claims his son is always trying to copy his father's dressing style right down to the cowboy boots.

The #SaveJamesYounger hashtag went viral on Twitter, and Sen. Ted Cruz (R-TX) and US Rep. Dan Crenshaw (R-TX) commented on the case, among others. An October 2019 ruling by Judge Kim Cooks included both parents when making joining medical decisions for James and his twin brother Jude.

Shockingly, Judge Cooks' ruling was reversed by Judge Mary Brown on August 11, 2020, granting sole authority to Georgulas to enroll James in school as a girl named "Luna" and have him undergo transgender medical procedures, including possibly medically delaying puberty as soon as age 9. The ruling also requires therapy for every member of the family at a cost more than \$5,000 a month to Jeff Younger. Judge Brown's ruling was made verbally and without a hearing, and without explanation as to why a hearing did not occur. However, a verbal ruling cannot be contested. A special evidentiary hearing is set for September 2020, so the battle for this little Texas boy's identity continues at the time of this writing.

Friends have created a [GiveSendGo](#) campaign to help Jeff Younger with his legal costs, as he has reportedly already spent more than the cost of tuition to send both of his sons to both medical school and law school.

The latest Democrat assault on family values: anti-Bible, anti-science "transgender" policies

If you are confused by the "transgender" revolution, you're in good company. Most people are. And you are right to be concerned, since this is already leading to some intolerable policies paid for by your tax dollars.

"Transgenders," in short, are people who feel they were born in the wrong physical body. They want to change sexes, mentally and physically, and this transformation can change from day to day, back and forth. This abolishes what we have considered "normal" for thousands of years.

There are only two sexes—male and female.

There are several "sexual orientations," including heterosexual, homosexual, bisexual, asexual, and perhaps more.

But for “gender,” a brief before the Supreme Court explains that “the list is endless, subject only to human imagination, and for some includes things like ‘pedophilia’ and ‘zoophilia.’ The idea of ‘gender’ is evolving to the point where it can encompass anything the mind can conceive. In February of 2014, Facebook added 58 gender options to its users’ profiles. By June of that year, UK Facebook users could select from 71 options. By February of 2015, Facebook no longer defines gender at all, allowing each person to choose one’s own gender instead of being ‘pigeon-holed’ by only 71 options.”

The Bible vs. the New Democrats and Radical Leftists:

“Male and female created he them; and blessed them...”—Genesis 5:2

“The woman shall not wear that which pertaineth unto a man, neither shall a man put on a woman’s garment: for all that do so are abomination unto the Lord thy God”—Deuteronomy 22:5

Science vs. the New Democrats and Radical Leftists:

Science teaches us that every human cell (other than eggs and sperm) contains 23 pairs of chromosomes, and 22 of those pairs are identical in both males and females. However, one pair of chromosomes differs between males and females — termed the sex chromosomes. Females have two copies of the X chromosome (designated “XX”), while males have one X and one Y chromosome (designated “XY”). This distinction causes enormous differences throughout the male and female body.

Our DNA makes us male or female, and no “gender nullification” or “gender reassignment” surgery in the world can change our DNA. Anatomical surgeries may change one’s visible anatomy, but can never change one’s sex.

The radicalized Democrats want to let boys into your daughter’s school bathroom—by federal law

The drive to destroy traditional family values has led to the radical Left’s demands that boy “transgenderers” (or any boy, actually) be allowed in girls’ restrooms and locker rooms. And “political correctness” guarantees that most of the new Democrats will never speak out against this insanity.

Indeed, the new Democrats want to force us, by law, to accept a “gender-neutral” society for our children and ourselves, and to hell with any religious objections we may have.

All six current and former Democratic presidential candidates currently serving in the Senate

—Kamala Harris of California, Bernie Sanders of Vermont, Cory Booker of New Jersey, Amy Klobuchar of Minnesota, Michael Bennett of Colorado, and Elizabeth Warren of Massachusetts—co-sponsored the Equality Act, legislation that would ban discrimination against transgender people in employment, housing, public accommodations, jury service, education, federal programs, and credit.

Other new Democrats—including South Bend Mayor Pete Buttigieg, former Secretary of Housing and Urban Development Julian Castro, New York City Mayor and former candidate Bill de Blasio, and former candidate Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand—want you to know they are just as determined to protect transgenders. *Dissent is not an option in New Democrat circles.*

The “Equality Act” has already passed the Democrat-controlled House. If the radicalized Democrats win in 2020, expect this radical revolution to become the law of the land.

The radical Left is already forcing your daughters and sons into “unisex” school bathrooms

We could give you dozens, perhaps hundreds, of examples if space permitted. But consider this one example of what you can expect from this radical anti-family movement.

The Washoe County School District in Nevada has announced that it is eliminating separate male and female restrooms for newly constructed **elementary and middle schools**. (You’ve got to get the kids used to this from the very beginning of their government school experience.) Adam Searcy, the district’s chief facilities officer, actually defends the policy by saying, “When you go into traditional restrooms, it’s an area where some students don’t really feel safe.”

What—your first-grade or seventh-grade daughter will feel safe with boys in the same restroom?

Adult workers have some protection (until the New Democrats get around to changing this) with the Workplace (Health, Safety, and Welfare) Regulations 1993, which mandate that employers provide separate rooms containing toilets for men and women. *But who’s going to protect our children?*

You are paying to have your young children propagandized by drag queens

For the uninitiated, “drag queens” are males who dress as women, with exaggerated makeup and voice affectations. “Drag queen shows,” usually involving singing and dancing, are a form of “sophisticated” entertainment even in some generally conservative cities.

This is not about letting adults who are “lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex, or asexual” (their words, their categories) live their lives as they wish, privately and in voluntary association with each other.

This is not about you, as an adult, deciding to see a drag show as entertainment. That, too, is a voluntary choice between consenting adults.

This is about having young children—even very young children not yet old enough to be enrolled in school—exposed to “lifestyles” that you find abhorrent, and being taught that those lifestyles are “normal” and “fun”—at your expense.

Yes, at your expense, because they take place in public facilities that you are forced to pay for with your taxes, and are subsidized by the National Endowment for the Arts.

The “LGBTQIA+” revolution is now about them having special privileges in public facilities that most likely are denied to you if you want to use those facilities to advocate for pro-life policies, traditional family protections, or religious liberty for Christians—to cite a few examples.

One specific propaganda campaign that is spreading like hellfire throughout the nation is the campaign to “normalize” drag queens among young children. This is probably taking place at a public library near you—one of the most innocent-appearing venues possible.

If it is, see if you can use that library to hold a meeting exposing Planned Parenthood’s relationship to eugenics and infanticide, or upholding the right of a Christian baker to refuse to cater a homosexual wedding. Even in the unlikely event that this would be allowed, see if you can hold that meeting without it being disrupted—even violently disrupted—by the radical Left.

How our public libraries are being used to promote perversion to our children

Let’s use Minneapolis as our example. But this is happening not only in super-liberal big cities, but in conservative small towns as well.

The Hennepin County libraries are BIG sponsors of all manner of “LGBTQIA+” events, as a look at their website will confirm. And in September 2019, drag queen performances were announced for 14 branch libraries. The purpose: to “celebrate self-expression, dress-up, and gender fluidity through stories, rhymes, music and movement.” The goal: “an intentional emphasis on self-expression, inclusion, self-acceptance.” In other words, propaganda.

We are told that one of these drag queens, Miss Richfield, wore “a rainbow-and-clouds mini dress that swayed as she sashayed into the circle.” “After a reading of ‘10,000 Dresses,’ about a boy who dreams of dresses, Miss Richfield exclaimed, ‘I dream of dresses, too!’ And she ends the show exclaiming ‘Let’s clap for being different!’”

And if you want to indoctrinate your children further, the library has book lists including

“LGBTQIA+ History Books for Children” and “LGBTQIA+ History Books for Teens.”

If you think all of this is homophobic exaggeration, see the Minnesota Sun article on the performance, “Drag Queen Who Routinely Poses Half Nude Performed for Children in Richfield.” And for the full horror story, including the lewd way Miss Richfield mocks Christians on his website, see the report by freelance journalist Peggy Traeger Tierney, who attended the event and relates how she was threatened for reporting the truth about it. And if you think she’s exaggerating about the show, see the video, “Drag Queen strips for kids in the King County Library,” on Tierney’s site. (Miss Richfield performs his show nationwide in libraries, and this video shows him in the King County Library in Seattle.) These people are open and proud about how they are propagandizing our children.

“We’re trying to groom the next generation”

In his own words, hear drag queen Dylan Pontiff explain that the purpose of these children’s programs is “to groom the next generation.”

Question: If “blackface” entertainment is wrong (and it is) because it is a caricature of African-Americans by people who are not African-American, why should drag queen shows be promoted when they are a caricature of women by people who are not women?

More drag queen horror stories

When the library says ‘no,’ the drag queen has a Democrat friend at city hall

An Atlanta branch library actually pulled its support for a drag queen’s children’s story time. So, Atlanta’s Democratic Mayor Keisha Lance Bottoms tweeted: “Miss Terra Cotta Sugarbaker and all of our LGBTQ friends are always welcome at Atlanta City Hall. How about we host your next story hour?”

And when a Christian pastor protests, he is arrested

This happened in Spokane, Washington. *Christian News* reports that supporters of the drag queen event, attended by about 50 children, were allowed to demonstrate next to the library, but Baptist pastor Afhsin Yaghtin was told by police that he had to demonstrate across the street, away from the library. He protested, “I have the right to free speech wherever I want to speak,” and was arrested and charged with “trying to enter the non-designated protest area.”

According to *The Spokesman-Review* (Spokane), about 40 police protected the story hour. Again, citizen tax dollars at work to protect the propagandizing of drag queens in a tax-supported venue.

And this drag queen storyteller is a registered sex offender!

The Houston Public Library had to apologize when one of its drag queen storytellers was exposed as a registered sex offender. The library admitted it “failed to complete a background check as required.”

And more taxpayer funding—from Uncle Sam

The Hartford Public Library reports that its “Big Read” drag queen events are “a program of the National Endowment for the Arts in partnership with Arts Midwest.

Chapter 13:

Democrats: The Mean & Evil Destruction of Justice and the Courts

One of President Trump's greatest accomplishments has been with his judicial appointments—both the Supreme Court and the lower courts. And the Democrats—who got used to having it all during the eight Obama years—hate him for that.

The far-left's idea of “justice” was in full view during the confirmation hearings of Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court—presumed guilty until proven innocent, guilty by reason of identity politics, character assassination on hearsay, and all the rest. The Kavanaugh hearings continue to warn us why the radical Democrats must not be brought back into power.

After four years of the Trump presidency, the courts are more evenly balanced than they have been in a long time. But all judges should follow the Constitution, and there is much more to be done. Four more years!

How the Deep State views your presumption of innocence: Be alarmed—be very alarmed

“Presumed innocent until proven guilty.” This presumption of innocence is a foundation of the American judicial system, derived from English common law and codified by the Coffin vs. U.S. court case in 1894. You shouldn't have to spend a fortune to prove your innocence. The prosecution must prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that you are guilty of the crime being charged

against you. Until it can do that, you are presumed innocent.

Now we see how a very top representative of the Deep State views that principle. You will remember John Brennan, President Obama's CIA Director and a key figure in the Democrat's plot to impeach President Trump.

In an interview on MSNBC, Brennan says: **“People are innocent, you know, until alleged to be involved in some kind of criminal activity.”**

Nothing in his delivery suggests that this was meant to be sarcastic. This may have been his subconscious blurting out how he really views your rights, but that in itself is very revealing—and scary. It certainly explains the Democrats' attitude toward President Trump—guilty by means of allegations. And if they get away with doing that to the President of the United States, they can do it to you.

Democrats want only radical ‘politically correct’ judges

One of President Trump's greatest accomplishments during his first term of office has been his judicial appointments. Confirmation of his conservative picks will come to a screeching halt if the Democrats win in November 2020.

Front and center in the headlines, of course, are his Supreme Court nominations (Neil Gorsuch and Brett Kavanaugh). But the president's picks have been numerous and consistently conservative throughout the federal system.

Here's what's at stake:

The U.S. Senate has already confirmed 170 judges nominated by President Trump. These consist of two Supreme Court nominees, 48 judges for the U.S. Courts of Appeals, 118 judges for the U.S. District Courts, and two judges for the U.S. Court of International Trade. Trump has appointed more appeals court judges in his first term than any president since Jimmy Carter—and more than Obama and George W. Bush combined. This is what has brought the Democrats and their leftist supporters to a state of hysteria.

But look at what's in the pipeline: An additional 50 Trump nominees are still awaiting Senate action, including four for the appeals courts, 45 for the district courts, and one for the Court of International Trade. With this many appointments in his first term, imagine the impact of two terms. This is a major reason why the Democrats and their leftist supporters are so determined to win the 2020 election.

“Trump's impact on the federal judiciary has been profound,” says Paul Butler, a professor at Georgetown Law School and a former federal prosecutor. “If there's a contest about the future of

law, of judicial interpretations, Republicans have won.”

The Democrats know that 2020 is their last big chance to keep the entire orientation of the federal courts from becoming thoroughly conservative.

The Democrats want it all

It’s not as if the Democrats are shut out of the federal courts: As of the end of 2019, 52% of current federal judges were appointed by Democrat presidents, 48% by Republicans. Obama appointed the largest number: 319, more than George W. Bush and Bill Clinton combined. (Ronald Reagan was able to appoint a paltry 23 federal judges.)

It’s obvious the Democrats have been spoiled by eight years of Obama. They are traumatized by their loss of power, and their other motivation is ideological. As GOP Sen. Patrick J. Toomey has noted, **“The left has been systematically using the courts as a sort of super-legislature, and the folks that we are confirming are not going to abuse their power that way.”**

Big bucks are flowing to the Democrats to prevent more conservative judges, with activist support from groups with names like the Alliance for Justice, People for the American Way, and Whole Women’s Health, an abortion provider. (Abortion groups are the most energized on this issue.) And they don’t hesitate to resort to smear tactics, with Demand Justice, for example, shouting that “Trump’s judges are overwhelmingly white men [and] have a clear record of bigoted views when it comes to race.”

Conservatives must be as energized as these leftist radicals. We must make certain that our Republican candidates let the voters know what is at stake, and that the radical Left plans to halt the appointment of any more conservative judges if the Democrats win in November.

The Left and the Democrats used the Kavanaugh confirmation hearings to deny one of your basic rights as an American

Who can forget those horrific confirmation hearings for the confirmation of Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court.

Judge Brett Kavanaugh was the immediate target of the radical Democrat campaign against him. But the far-Left takeover of the party is now so complete, and the Democrats’ hysteria is so overwhelming, that basic rights of every American citizen are now at risk. Be afraid—very afraid—if the Democrats win control of the White House and Congress in 2020.

It is a basic principle of American law that you are *presumed innocent* until *proven* guilty. And both law and common sense say you are not presumed guilty by reason of your genetic makeup (in this instance, whether you are male or female). The radicalized Democrats now deny both of

those fundamental American propositions.

The larger message of the Democrat onslaught is a radical perversion of the #MeToo movement. Democrat politicians and the Democrat mainstream media told us that when there are accusations of sexual violence and assault, men are always guilty, and women are always to be believed.

The poster girl for this attitude was the leftist Democrat Senator from Hawaii, Mazie Hirono: “I just want to say to the men in this country: Just shut up and step up. Do the right thing, for a change.” And the right thing, of course, was to find Kavanaugh guilty because his accuser, Christine Blasey Ford, was a woman. “I believe her,” Hirono said, passing judgment before either Ford or Kavanaugh had made their statements before the Soviet-like show trial. And the liberal media hyenas agreed with her.

Thankfully that whole contrivance fell apart and Judge Kavanaugh was confirmed. But not before a frenzy of wild accusations that included a woman who claimed to have been to a series of high school parties where young Kavanaugh and other privileged white young males routinely gang-raped women at the party. Unexplained was why she kept going to those parties when this supposedly was happening. Her story was so ludicrous, it was eventually dropped down the memory hole. But not before the media had repeated her accusations over and over and over.

For shame! The real victims besides Kavanaugh, of course, were women with valid evidence of sexual violence. They do not deserve to be tarnished by political vendettas like the one levied against Kavanaugh.

An Asian-American commentator and an African-American sociologist show how far the Democrats have repudiated the rules of justice and fairness that long united Americans of all races and creeds.

Don't ‘believe women,’ she says—believe evidence

Columnist Michelle Malkin quotes a number of comments by prominent leftists that we should automatically believe women in cases of sexual assault. For example, Clinton/Kerry flack Peter Daou: “My default in these situations is to BELIEVE WOMEN.” (This was the approach obviously taken by the Democrat members of the Judiciary Committee interrogating Kavanaugh.)

“That is a dumb and dangerous default,” says Malkin. “The costly toll of ‘believing women,’ instead of believing evidence, can be seen in the hundreds and hundreds of cases recorded by the University of Michigan Law School’s National Registry of Exonerations involving innocent men falsely accused of rape and rape/murders.” (That registry currently lists well over 2,000 such exonerations, and that’s just cases that have come to their attention so far, and which have been documented by them.)

Then she discusses the book by retired NYPD special-victims-squad detective John Savino,

forensic scientist and criminal profiler of the Forensic Criminology Institute Brent Turvey, and forensic psychologist Aurelio Coronado Mares. Those authors detail the myriad “prosocial” and “antisocial” lies people tell in their textbook [*False Allegations: Investigative and Forensic Issues in Fraudulent Reports of Crime*](#).

We urge all fair-minded Americans to read [Michelle Malkin's column](#). She concludes:

“The role of the press should be verification, not validation.

“Rape is a devastating crime. So is lying about it.

“It's not victim-blaming to get to the bottom of the truth. It's liar-shaming.

“Don't believe a gender. Believe evidence.”

“A slap to our face,” says this African-American sociologist

African-Americans have historical knowledge of how women sometimes DO lie about sexual assault. It comes from the era after the Civil War when Democrats ruled a one-party South and lynchings of black men were shamefully common. Often those lynchings were based on lies told by white women.

(This is not to equate physical lynchings that result in death with political lynchings that seek to destroy a man's reputation. And this is not to call Kavanaugh accuser Blasey Ford a liar—her story could have been a case of faulty memory or mistaken identity. But both types of lynchings are evil and result from a radical anti-Christian takeover of the Democrat Party.)

Here is an excerpt from the courageous Facebook posting by George Yancey, an African-American sociology professor at the University of North Texas, where he is the director of the graduate program in sociology (emphasis added):

“To tell an African-American man that a woman will not lie about rape or sexual misconduct is a slap to our face given the history of this nation. A lot of the lynchings were done because a white woman lied about a black man raping her. Maybe she felt pressure from the larger community but that lie cost a black man his life.

“I think this is one of the reasons why due process is so important to me and I do not want to deny it to anyone. I know that Kavanaugh is white and not tied to that history but I want him to get due process as well as much as it is possible.”

To repeat Michelle Malkin's final words: “Don't believe a gender. Believe evidence.” And the Democrats presented no evidence against Brett Kavanaugh in his confirmation hearings.

With the radical Left and the Democrats, the character assassination never stops

How the American system works under the Constitution:

If you don't like the philosophy of the Supreme Court justices nominated by the sitting President and approved by the Senate, you work to elect a new President and new Senators who will appoint new justices more to your liking.

How the New Democrat system works:

You don't like the conservative judicial philosophy of a duly appointed justice? Ruthlessly seek to destroy his reputation—*no evidence of wrongdoing necessary*. Even if you can't succeed in impeaching him, few conservatives will want to go through that ordeal when a new vacancy appears on the Supreme Court.

This was the approach used by the New Democrats against Supreme Court Justice Brett Kavanaugh in September 2019. As we've seen, they had failed to stop his nomination even after resorting to one of the most vicious crusades of character assassination in recent history. But with a liberal justice (Ruth Bader Ginsburg) in extremely poor health, they faced the prospect of President Trump being able to fill a third vacancy—giving the Supreme Court a solid 6-3 conservative-liberal makeup. Conservatives would dominate the court for most of our lifetimes.

Their solution? Destroy the nomination process provided by the Constitution with a renewed campaign of brutal character assassination. Make it so nasty that decent candidates would hesitate to go through a similar campaign of vilification when a new vacancy opened up. *And when they take power, the New Democrats will pack the Supreme Court with dependable radicals by increasing it to 15 justices.*

The Rise—and Quick Fall—of the New Smear

Kavanaugh was the obvious target of choice—they had already convinced their radical “woke” constituencies that he was a misogynist, racist, privileged white male sex fiend. Invent a new excuse for a new campaign against him. And so the vilification began again.

The New York Times, anti-Trump and anti-Kavanaugh, gave them the excuse they sought. A major hit piece in its Sunday, September 14 issue breathlessly reported that at a Yale dormitory party in 1983 “a freshman named Brett Kavanaugh pulled down his pants and thrust his penis at [fellow student Deborah Ramirez].”

All hell broke loose. The then-new Democrat presidential candidates **Kamala Harris, Elizabeth Warren, Beto O'Rourke, Julian Castro, Pete Buttigieg, and Corey Booker** all immediately

called for Kavanaugh's impeachment. No need to wait for the accusation to be proven, no need for a fair process to determine the facts—he's obviously guilty as charged by his opponents! This New Democrat lynching was in the grand tradition of Old Democrat lynching parties on the plantation. They wouldn't even recant when...

Oops!

Thanks to some stellar reporting by Mollie Hemingway of *The Federalist*, the *New York Times* had to admit, to its embarrassment (assuming it is capable of embarrassment), that its story had left out a critical fact: "The female student declined to be interviewed and friends say she does not recall the episode." (That sentence is what you will find today in the scrubbed-up version of the article, with no mention that it was left out originally.)

So, the New Democrats were left with an allegation in an anti-Trump, anti-Kavanaugh newspaper where the alleged victim says she does not recall the alleged incident. (The *National Inquirer* requires higher standards of evidence than that.) You might think that calls for some apologies and *mea culpas*...if you believe in the American traditions of fair play and justice through evidence. But the New Democrats don't believe in those traditions, so there were no recantations from the New Democrat presidential candidates, and the pileup on Justice Kavanaugh continued with increased vilification on the part of New Democrat politicians, swamp denizens, and their shrills in the captive media.

Sex and the double standard: Bill Clinton gets a "get out of jail free" card. Brett Kavanaugh gets vile accusations based on no evidence

Note the double standard. Four women made credible statements of Bill Clinton's sexual misconduct as governor of Arkansas, ranging from exposure to rape, and he paid Paula Jones \$850,000 in an out-of-court settlement to avoid testifying under oath against her. Monica Lewinsky, the 22-year-old intern in the White House, by 2018 characterized their relationship as a "gross abuse of power" because of the power differential between the two. And Clinton's license to practice law in Arkansas was suspended, which he agreed to in order to avoid criminal charges.

What was the Democrats' and the Left's response? Circle the wagons and blame what Hillary calls the "vast right-wing conspiracy." And defame the women. Joy Behar, co-host of TV's *The View*, called them "tramps" Democrat operative James Carville: "If you drag a hundred-dollar bill through a trailer park, you never know what you'll find."

Contrast that with the treatment of Brett Kavanaugh, who has never been accused of a single sexual impropriety during his entire adult life and long career as a federal judge; who, indeed, hired more female than male clerks as a Circuit Court judge and then became the first Supreme Court justice to have an all-female staff.

“It is unsurprising that Kavanaugh, **credibly accused of sexual assault**, would **lie under oath** to secure a Supreme Court seat.... He must be impeached.”—Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (D-NY)

“**Sexual predators** do not deserve a seat on the nation’s highest court”—Rep. Ayanna Pressley (D-Mass)

“I have never heard of a guy who is a one-time rapist. I have never heard of a one-time sexual assaulter. I grew up with guys like this. He’s from around this area. He [Kavanaugh] is the fifth guy in the **gang rape**.”—MSNBC political analyst Jason Johnson

How easy it is—**when no evidence is required**—to call for Justice Kavanaugh’s impeachment for something his alleged victim doesn’t remember...and to jump from accusing him of **sexual assault** to being a **gang rapist**.

Even that doesn’t exhaust the radical Left’s disgusting examples of guilt-by-association when it’s trash-Kavanaugh time. Who was the worst sexual predator of recent memory, even worse than Hollywood Democrat Harvey Weinstein? Why, of course, it was that convicted sex abuser, Democrat supporter, and longtime friend of Bill Clinton, **Jeffrey Epstein**, who conveniently committed “suicide” in a Manhattan jail, thus preventing him from blackmailing or ratting on his high-placed friends. So let’s place Kavanaugh in that company.

Obviously, there are no limits to the depravity of the New Democrats and their complicit media in their character assassination of Brett Kavanaugh. It’s all justified by their drive for power—in this instance, to control the Supreme Court. And if they cannot attain that at the ballot box and through constitutional processes, they will fight in the gutter.

Radical Democrats want you to believe women don’t lie about sexual assault—only men do

Democrat politicians and the Democrat mainstream media tell us that men are always guilty, and women are always to be believed, with accusations of sexual violence and assault. This is a radical perversion of the #MeToo movement, and is ridiculous on the face of it. But that’s what they insisted during the Kavanaugh confirmation hearings. (Note, however, that they took the opposite position when it involved accusations against Bill Clinton by women, so obviously this is just a smear tactic to use when convenient.)

Consider these statements:

Leftist Democrat Senator from Hawaii, Mazie Hirono: “I just want to say to the men in this country: Just shut up and step up. Do the right thing, for a change.” And the right thing, of course, was to find Kavanaugh guilty because his accuser, Christine Blasey Ford, was a woman.

“I believe her,” Hirono said, passing judgment before either Ford or Kavanaugh had made their statements before the Soviet-like show trial.

ABC News analyst Matthew Dowd doesn't need any data to analyze. “If this is what he said, she said, then let's believe the *she* in these scenarios. She has nothing to gain, and everything to lose. For 250 years we have believed the *he* in these scenarios. Enough is enough.”

Clinton/Kerry flack Peter Daou echoed the unthinking sentiment: “To everyone on the right who says I'm being selective, I BELIEVE WOMEN whether the accused is a Republican or Democrat. And yes, that includes all the names you're throwing at me. My default in these situations is to BELIEVE WOMEN.”

Now let's look at three common-sense rebuttals, from a black sociologist and a female Asian-American columnist.

George Yancey, an African-American sociology professor at the University of North Texas, where he is the director of the graduate program in sociology: “To tell an African-American man that a woman will not lie about rape or sexual misconduct is a slap to our face given the history of this nation. **A lot of the lynchings were done because a white woman lied about a black man raping her.** Maybe she felt pressure from the larger community but that lie cost a black man his life.

“I think this is one of the reasons why due process is so important to me and I do not want to deny it to anyone. I know that Kavanaugh is white and not tied to that history but I want him to get due process as well as much as it is possible.”

And Michelle Malkin, a Creators Syndicate columnist: “The costly toll of ‘believing women,’ instead of believing evidence, can be seen in the hundreds and hundreds of cases recorded by the University of Michigan Law School's National Registry of Exonerations involving innocent men falsely accused of rape and rape/murders....

“The idea that all women and girls must be telling the truth at all times about sexual assault allegations because they ‘have nothing to gain’ is perilously detached from reality. Retired NYPD special victim squad detective John Savino, forensic scientist and criminal profiler of the Forensic Criminology Institute Brent Turvey, and forensic psychologist Aurelio Coronado Mares detail the myriad ‘prosocial’ and ‘antisocial’ lies people tell in their textbook, *False Allegations: Investigative and Forensic Issues in Fraudulent Reports of Crime...*

“The role of the press should be verification, not validation.

“Rape is a devastating crime. So is lying about it.

“It's not victim-blaming to get to the bottom of the truth. It's liar-shaming.

“Don't believe a gender. Believe evidence.”

Chapter 14:

Democrats: Mean & Evil Recasting of “The Land of the Free” to “Racist America”

Suddenly, and seemingly overnight, 2020 America appears to have found itself teetering on the precipice of a race war. But how did this happen? Is it intentional? Let's look a little closer at the events that transpired.

In the throes of our nearly-national quasi-mandatory Covid-19 at-home quarantine orders, and while many Americans were fearful about the resulting negative impacts on their livelihoods and their ability to take care of their families, now-famous partial video of a highly-unfortunate police incident was released. The video triggered mass riots and protests, beginning in May 2020 and continuing months later, even as this book goes to press.

By contrast, there was almost complete media silence when a 5-year-old North Carolina boy, Cannon Hinnant, was allegedly shot to death in his own front yard by his neighbor, Darius Sessoms. Several people, including Cannon's older sisters, witnessed the point-blank shooting. While we are not insinuating the motive for the shooting was racial, the alleged shooter is black and the child was white. The incredible lack of news coverage is nearly as shocking as the tragic crime itself. Does this incident not fit the accepted media narrative?

What really happened to George Floyd?

So what happened? The simplified Leftist narrative tells us that a racist white cop knelt on the neck of an innocent black man in order to kill him, and that this now-deceased victim died of asphyxiation while pleading for his life and stating that he could not breathe. His name was George Floyd, and he has become a symbol of so-called institutional racism upon which both peaceful protests and violent riots base their demands to defund the police forces in our communities.

But what actually happened to George Floyd? More complete police body camera footage from the arrest went public on August 4, 2020 that shows the interaction between responding officers and Mr. Floyd. Despite this story being the most significant one in the nation for months, mainstream media has been all but mute in its coverage of the leaked video footage.

The original story that sparked the massive response is one of a peaceful, compliant man strangled to death in public by a racist, sociopathic cop. Floyd's checkered, criminal past may have given a few of us pause for thought, but in general there was no argument made by anyone in favor of what appeared to be excessive use of force by the police. And since there is no way to prove that an individual is not a racist, the story went viral.

Now Floyd's words from his arrest are seemingly etched in stone. "I Can't Breathe" is a symbol of white people oppressing black people. With no possible burden of proof that the incident was racially motivated, the court of public opinion has pronounced this to be a prime example of the rampant racism that essentially created our entire system of government.

Anyone who followed the story will know that the medical examiner's report from George Floyd's autopsy revealed that he was not strangled to death and did not die of asphyxiation. Floyd, who had a pre-existing heart condition coupled with the presence of three illicit drugs in his system at the time of his arrest, including fentanyl (50 times more potent than morphine and known to cause respiratory distress) had his official cause of death listed as "cardiopulmonary arrest complicating law enforcement subdued, restrain, and neck compression."

Prior to the leaked video showing the context of the arrest, all we had to go on were assumptions, and those hyper-charged assumptions resulted in dozens of people dead, many cities burned and looted, historic statues across the country toppled, and many law enforcement officers either injured, permanently maimed, or killed.

Matt Walsh reported in the Daily Wire regarding the contents of the leaked video, "The body cameras worn by officers Alex Kueng and Thomas Lane, the first two cops on the scene, show Floyd agitated and uncooperative from the first moment that officers arrive in response to a call from a business owner who accused Floyd of trying to pass off counterfeit bills. Floyd is in his car when law enforcement first shows up. One of the officers draws his weapon because Floyd is initially hesitant to show his hands. Once Floyd places his hands on his head, the gun is holstered. After much coaxing, he is eventually removed from his car and taken over to the police cruiser.

“Floyd appears to have trouble walking on his way over to the police car. He shouts ‘ow’ and seems to be in pain even though he is only being grabbed by the arm. Once at the vehicle, he repeatedly refuses to get inside, saying that he’s ‘claustrophobic,’ though he’d just been sitting in his own car without any apparent difficulty (on the contrary he was extremely reluctant to get out of his own vehicle). At one point, as officers try to convince him to get in the car, Floyd says he’d rather lay on the ground. He also says several times that he’s ‘going to die’ and that he can’t breathe — all before he was on the ground. He ends up on the ground because he either falls or pushes himself out of the other side of the police cruiser as officers struggle to get him inside.”

This update to the story is not intended to exonerate the responding officers of wrongdoing. That is for the court to decide. But it does paint a picture of a clearly intoxicated, non-cooperative, paranoid subject who was handled calmly by the officers for the majority of their interaction. Additionally, Mr. Floyd stated repeatedly that he could not breathe and that he was going to die long before he had a police knee on his neck. None of the officers were overhead to have said anything that could be construed as racist.

Walsh’s report continues, “When Floyd was on the ground saying he was going to die, it was no different from what he was saying while he was standing, or what he was saying in the car. These facts may not be exculpatory but they certainly are relevant. The narrative, as it was originally presented, does not take any of these details into account. It demands that we see the event as nothing more or less than a wanton act of random cruelty, with nothing precipitating it and with not even an ounce of blame or responsibility to be shared by Floyd himself. In reality, Floyd may still be the victim of some degree of negligence, but it seems that the murder charge will be difficult to prove. The truth just isn’t that simple. It rarely is. And that’s a lesson we would all do well to remember for the future.”

How did we get here as a nation?

But how did we get to a place where it seems like our entire nation is a powder keg ready to escalate tensions and bring us to the brink of a race war?

We commonly and historically have believed that what sets America apart from all the previous and present nations of the world is the acknowledgement that our rights come from God—and that they are not a gift of the State, and all men are created equal. And it is the brilliant design of the U.S. government by the Founding Fathers, designed to protect those God-given individual rights from the avarice of government. The Constitution, the Bill of Rights, separation of powers, and defined limitations on the power of all branches of government—those are the features that made America “the land of the free” where all citizens may pursue their dreams.

But none of that matters to the radical Leftists who have captured the Democrat Party. To them, everything comes down to a one-word slander: America is “racist”! And leading the parade is the house organ of progressivism: the New York Times, with its “1619 Project.” 1776 didn’t mark the founding of the U.S., says the Times, but rather the year 1619, when the first black slaves were brought to these shores.

What is the 1619 Project?

This is a shameful rewriting of America's history to fit contemporary ideological goals, but more than 3,500 classrooms in all 50 states have already adopted the 1619 project. Most often the project is being adopted by administrative fiat—that is, by the decisions of leftist bureaucrats in the school systems—and not through a public textbook review process.

Obviously much remains to be done to rescue America's ideals from the ideologues. We can start this year by rejecting the Democrat Party that has wholeheartedly adopted this America-shaming travesty.

The Left-Democrats barely disguise their hatred of America

The new Democrats openly mock President Trump's slogan, "Make America Great Again."

Barack Obama's former Attorney General Eric Holder recently said on MSNBC: "When I hear these things about 'let's make America great again,' I think to myself, **exactly when did you think America was great?**"

And the Democrat governor of New York, Andrew Cuomo, also mocked the idea that America was ever great, saying in a speech: "**We're not going to make America great again. It was never really that great.**"

And we know why the radicalized Democrats like Holder and Cuomo feel contempt for America...

They hate America because we're racist! RACIST! RACIST!

The new Democrats you see on the campaign trail, and the radical leftists you see on CNN and MSNBC, all seem to be afflicted with a verbal virus that blossomed after the 2016 election: They cannot get through a single rant without hurling the word "racist" at their political opponents.

Certain words are meant to end dialogue and debate. "Racist," "anti-Semite," "deplorable"—if you are accused of being any of these, there's no reason to take your arguments seriously. And that's the purpose behind the reckless use of expletives like "racist"—to brand you as an immoral cretin who shouldn't be taken seriously, or even given a forum in which to spread your vile ideas. It's the Democrats' post-Trump version of "When did you stop beating your wife?" They might as well take a red-hot branding iron and sear the word onto your forehead as a warning to all decent people to avoid you and your ideas.

The poster boy for this kind of political demagoguery is Beto O'Rourke, the "woke" Millennials' Don Quixote. To Beto, America is not a land where slavery and white supremacy were errors

common to that era that had to be righted over time. No, it's "foundational" to America, even after an estimated 600,000 to 750,000 Americans died in a bloody civil war fought, in part, to end slavery, and even after the civil rights movement personified by Martin Luther King, Jr. "This country was founded on white supremacy," he says, "**and every single institution and structure that we have in this country still reflects the legacy of slavery.**"

Every single one? This is a key insight into the Democrats' method of turning Americans against their own country, and ushering in unprecedented, crippling levels of strife from within.

In Houston, Beto gave us his History of the U.S.: "We can mark the creation of this country not at the Fourth of July, 1776, but August 20, 1619, when the first kidnapped African was brought to this country against his will and in bondage, and as a slave built the greatness, and the success, and the wealth that neither he nor his descendants would ever be able to participate in or enjoy."

Now, we're perfectly aware that Beto didn't last as the radicalized Democrats' best hope to defeat Donald Trump in 2020. But we're not just singling out the Democrats' clown candidate to tarnish the radical Left, because his nonsense is shared in the highest intellectual quarters of the Left. You may have noticed Beto's curious reference to the year 1619. That's no accident...

The *New York Times* rewrites American history, and it's an ugly, racist story

Here is how the *New York Times* introduces its "1619 Project" (emphasis added):

"The 1619 Project is a major initiative from the *New York Times* observing the 400th anniversary of the beginning of American slavery. It aims to **reframe** the country's history, **understanding 1619 as our true founding**, and placing the consequences of slavery and the contributions of black Americans at the very center of the story we tell ourselves about who we are."

Now, it's true that perspectives on any historical period tend to change over time, but it's obvious that this is a *propaganda* initiative, not an undertaking by serious historians based on new archival evidence. Forget 1607 (founding of Jamestown), 1620 (founding of Plymouth), the signing of the Declaration of Independence (1776), ratification of the Articles of Confederation (1781), the signing of the Constitution (1787). Forget the fights for freedom of the press, religious liberty, the Bill of Rights. Those pale in significance to the "true founding" of the U.S. in 1619 (168 years before there was a United States), when the first 20 African slaves were brought to the New World by Englishmen.

For that matter, forget that the Native Americans held war captives as slaves before the Europeans arrived. Forget that the first African slaves were brought to the present-day United States by Spaniards as early as 1526—the descendants of those Spaniards didn't form the U.S. government. The world was awash in slavery back then, but the Left sees white northern Europeans as uniquely evil. Only that fits the radical Left-Democrat narrative of America, not as the land of the free and the brave, but first and foremost as the land of slavery.

The *New York Times* has long been considered (especially by itself) as a liberal beacon, America's "newspaper of record." But as Andrew Sullivan has noted, "everything we do now has roots in many, many things that came before us. You could say the same thing about the English common law, for example, or the use of the English language... **But the NYT chose a neo-Marxist rather than liberal path** to make a very specific claim: that slavery is not one of many things that describe America's founding and culture, it is the definitive one."

Now that neo-Marxist narrative is permeating the entire political culture of the United States, from our students to campaign clowns like Beto O'Rourke and all the other Democrat campaigners who are smarter than him, to the very "journalists" in charge of telling us what to think.

The *New York Times*' perverted view of America: In their own words

Executive editor Dean Baquet held a secret 75-minute "town hall meeting" to answer questions from his liberal staff about recent developments with the paper. But *Slate* magazine obtained a recording of the meeting, and the transcript shows how both the executives and the staff are engaged in propaganda with their "racist America" campaign (emphasis added):

Staffer:

"I just feel like racism is in everything. It should be considered in our science reporting, in our culture reporting, in our national reporting. And so, to me, it's less about the individual instances of racism, and sort of how we're thinking about racism and white supremacy **as the foundation of all of the systems in the country**. And I think particularly as we are launching a 1619 Project, I feel like that's going to open us up to even more criticism from people who are like, 'OK, well you're saying this, and you're producing this big project about this. But are you guys actually considering this **in your daily reporting?**'"

New York Times executive editor Dean Baquet responds:

"I do think that race and understanding of race **should be a part of how we cover the American story**. Sometimes news organizations sort of forget that in the moment. But of course it should be. I mean, one reason we all signed off on the 1619 Project and made it so ambitious and expansive was to **teach our readers** to think a little bit more like that."

As the motto of the *New York Times* should read: "All the news that fits the slant."

Justice Clarence Thomas: If you criticize a liberal black person, they call you a racist

Says the conservative Supreme Court Justice: "There's different sets of rules for different people."

If you criticize a black person who's more liberal, you're racist. Whereas you can do whatever to me, or to now [HUD Secretary] Ben Carson, and that's fine. Because you're not really black. Because you're not doing what we expect black people to do."

Justice Thomas makes these comments in a film, "Created Equal: Clarence Thomas in His Own Words," set to air on PBS in May 2020.

Thomas' words are especially welcome at a time when the radical Left and New Democrats routinely call all conservative white Americans "racists," ignoring their own double standard as noted by the Supreme Court Justice.

And finally Americans will have the opportunity to hear Clarence Thomas's side of the story about his upbringing and Supreme Court nomination fight, rather than through the biases of the leftist mainstream media. From Thomas to Kavanaugh, nothing has changed: The Left seeks to destroy any true conservative, black or white, who is nominated for the Supreme Court.

The NFL's "kneeling" protests against racism: based on a lie

Every aspect of American life gets polluted by leftist lies, including professional sports. Take those kneeling protests against "racism" while the National Anthem is being played at NFL games, for example. What's worse is that the symbolic trend started by one football player named Colin Kaepernick has spread throughout the entire NFL, and across other team sports, as well.

The NFL, and professional sports in general, have played an important role in breaking racial barriers in America. Success in sports is based on talent, hard work, and merit. Racial barriers are as counter-productive in sports as they are anywhere in society. And NFL players have given generous personal support to programs and charities that help the disadvantaged in our society. This good record is being tarnished by the National Anthem protests.

The American people are being told that refusing to stand for the National Anthem is a symbolic protest against institutionalized racism, especially on the part of our police forces. **But the American people know that is a lie.** Yes, there are some bad apples among police officers, but that is true of every profession. And yes, we must discipline and remove, when necessary, those officers. Of note, we cannot find one instance of someone condoning the use of what appeared to be deadly force with George Floyd.

But look at the real crime statistics:

Only 4% of black homicide victims are killed in confrontations with police. Overwhelmingly, blacks are killed by other blacks, usually criminals and thieves. While blacks are only 13% of the population, blacks committed 52% of all homicides in the U.S. in recent years.

In 2015, for example, 258 blacks were killed by police gunfire, but nearly 6,000 were killed by other blacks.

Police are called into black neighborhoods, disproportionately to the percentage of blacks in the population, *to protect innocent black residents from this black criminal element*. It seems that to defund the police, as the Leftists are demanding, would disproportionately leave blacks unprotected from crime in their neighborhoods. Defunding the police, it seems, would hurt black Americans more than it would hurt white Americans. Society in general, however, would be further destabilized and weakened.

The real problem, in short, is black-on-black crime and murder

America needs to address the problem of black-on-black crime, but protests against the National Anthem at our sporting events is not the time or place, and hardly the solution. The pre-game National Anthem is a unifying tradition that shows pride in what is good about America—and there is a lot of good.

The American people know this. That's why the ones who are paying attention to this carefully-crafted narrative by the Left of institutional racism are rejecting both their claims and their highly destructive, violent methods of protest.

The owners of our major sport teams do not hesitate to prohibit activities at their games that they consider to be appropriate. In fact, attendees and players are newly-required to wear masks, comply with contact tracing efforts, and maintain space between each other in highly-reduced capacity venues. We urge team owners to stop these players' (their employees) protests against the National Anthem immediately and return to providing exciting sports entertainment for their audiences.

Sadly, the team owners, and the players involved in these protests, have shown that they are not interested in the truth, and therefore they are being punished by fans. The average per game attendance during the 2018 NFL regular season was the lowest it has been since 2010.

What began with one player taking a knee has rapidly progressed to most players taking a knee during our National Anthem, with only the rare athlete, like the NBA's Jonathan Isaac standing up for his nation and the blessings he has enjoyed here. He was the only player for the Orlando Magic team who stood for the anthem. Isaac said, "I feel like the Bible tells us that we all fall short of God's glory. That will help bring us closer together and get past skin color." The next day, jersey sales with his name on it skyrocketed.

Americans are being baited into a race war by radical Leftists for political gain in order to destabilize society and usher in a nanny state.

Protestors have been successful in the removal of cultural icons like Aunt Jemima pancake syrup from store shelves while ignoring brands that feature white people on them, like Quaker Oats. Manipulating the branding of popular grocery store items is accomplishing nothing. In fact, it seems to have the unintended consequence of making shopping in America's supermarkets a "whitewashed" activity. This embrace of absurdity would be comical if it was not so tragic.

Meanwhile, more human beings are trafficked as slaves in 2020 than have ever been enslaved before. Human trafficking is a \$100 billion industry globally. Countless living beings are suffering in slavery right now. Where are the protests for them?

The Leftist Democrats will have a lot to answer to if and when they are held accountable for this race-baiting smokescreen that fully ignores the actual human tragedy on an unimaginable scale that is happening right under our masked, self-absorbed noses.

Chapter 15:

Democrats: Mean & Evil on Abortion

Abortion was legalized in 1973 by the Supreme Court's *Roe v. Wade* decision. The Guttmacher Institute, a pro-abortion organization, estimates that since that decision and through 2011, 52.6 million abortions were performed in the United States. Another 7 million since then would be a conservative estimate, giving us a total of at least 60 million abortions since *Roe v. Wade*.

The current population of the United States is estimated at 330 million. Without those deaths by abortion, it would be somewhere around 390 million, so abortion has been responsible for killing 15% of the potential current population of the U.S. **Abortion has killed 1 out of every 7 Americans who should be alive today.**

Put another way, **abortion is the leading cause of death in the United States.** More Americans are killed by abortion than are killed by any of the usually listed causes of death, including heart disease and cancer. And bear in mind that abortions are *intentional* deaths, unlike the deaths caused by heart disease, cancer, stroke, diabetes, or other medical ailments.

These numbers are staggering and horrendous. But today's Democrat Party leaders not only support abortion, they now support abortion in the third trimester of pregnancy, and the party has been so captured by the radical Left that its presidential candidates in 2020 even support the

“right” to kill babies that are accidentally alive after an abortion. **That’s infanticide**, and that’s where today’s radical Left Democrat Party stands.

Moreover, they want *you*, the taxpayer, to pay for abortion, even if you find abortion to be against your religious or moral beliefs. The Gallup Poll finds that more Americans consider themselves to be “pro-life” than “pro-choice” (the euphemism for pro-abortion), and Americans are opposed to abortion in the last three months of pregnancy by 81% to 13%. Yet today’s radical Left Democrat Party wants to force you to pay for those abortions.

That’s how evil today’s Democrat Party has become on the issue of abortion.

Top Democratic politicians now defend infanticide—killing newborn babies

Just recently in the U.S. Senate, every Democratic Senator except three voted against legislation to protect the lives of healthy babies accidentally born alive after a botched abortion. So 44 of the 47 Democratic Senators actually voted in favor of allowing the killing of healthy live babies after they are born.

Incredibly, the Democratic Governor of Virginia, Ralph Northam, defended this idea in a radio interview. Here’s how he said a healthy baby born alive would be killed:

“The infant would be delivered. The infant would be kept comfortable. The infant would be resuscitated if that’s what the mother and the family desired, and then a discussion would ensue between the physicians and mother.”

Governor Northam made these comments a few days after the Democratic Governor of New York, Andrew Cuomo, signed into law legislation that allows abortion up to the moment of birth. Cuomo ordered the lighting up of the New York City skyline with bright pink colors to celebrate New York’s new law legalizing infanticide.

Governor Cuomo also declared in a press conference that pro-life Americans “have no place” in New York State. This is how radical today’s Democratic Party has become on abortion. But more than 85% of Americans (including Democrats) do not believe late-term abortions should be legal.

Today’s national Democratic Party has become the party of late-term abortion, partial-birth abortion, and even the party of killing live healthy babies after they are born.

Are you pro-life? The radicalized Democrat Party doesn’t want you

Today’s Democrat leaders have made it perfectly clear: Anyone who is pro-life has no place in the party. There is no room for dissent in this party that claims to stand for “diversity.”

Consider the case of Rep. Dan Lipinski, who represents a district in southwestern Chicago and its suburbs. His father held the seat from 1983 to 2005. That's a lot of service to the Democrat Party, but the party has changed since 1983. As a practicing Catholic, he opposes abortion, and that is not allowed in today's Democrat Party. So the party's leaders and financial backers are ganging up on him.

Lipinski's reliably leftist opponent in the 2018 Democrat primary, Marie Newman, lost by only 2,145 votes. Presidential candidate Senator Elizabeth Warren and Squad leader Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez are among the Democrat leaders supporting Newman in this year's primary. So are Emily's List, MoveOn, NARAL Pro-Choice America, the Progressive Change Campaign Committee, and, of course, Planned Parenthood.

Dissent is not allowed. So if you're pro-life, why would you even think of voting Democrat?

Top abortionist says Planned Parenthood plans some abortions to result in live births, allowing them to harvest body parts that are fresher. California's then-Attorney General Kamala Harris charges the investigative journalists who exposed this barbaric travesty with 14 felony counts

No charges were brought against Planned Parenthood, which has given thousands of dollars to Kamala Harris' political campaigns. She later became a U.S. Senator from California, then a candidate for the 2020 Democratic presidential nomination.

Dr. Forrest Smith proudly claimed in court that he was "the longest practicing abortion doctor in the United States today," and has done at least 50,000 abortions. "You can kill a human being, which I admit abortion is," he said, "but you have to do it in certain ways." And he added there was "no question in my mind" that some of the second-trimester induced abortions uncovered by the journalists resulted in live births.

Dr. Therese Deisher, who testified in defense of the journalists, said Smith's testimony "definitely proved that these babies are being deliberately born alive for the purposes of harvesting, the changes in the method, and the refusal to use digoxin, which stops the heart. They want those babies coming out with beating hearts."

All of the serious Democratic presidential candidates support abortion, support Planned Parenthood, support taxpayer subsidies to Planned Parenthood, and receive campaign funds and support from Planned Parenthood. This makes them culpable in the murder of live babies through late-term abortion.

“Mayor Pete” Buttigieg backed a South Bend abortion “house of horrors” while opposing a pro-life pregnancy center

Presidential candidate Pete Buttigieg, mayor of South Bend, Indiana, has been the new poster boy for radical Democrats since the demise of Beto O’Rourke. Like Joe Biden, Buttigieg masquerades as a “moderate,” but (again like Biden) his record on abortion says otherwise.

Dr. Ulrich Klopfer was one of South Bend’s leading abortionists. When he died in 2019, authorities found more than 2,000 bodies of aborted babies in his home, with no explanation for this ghoulish act. In 2016 the Indiana Medical Licensing Board had found that Klopfer violated state law 9 times and failed to report abortions he had performed on two girls under the age of 13, and stripped him of his medical license.

Buttigieg was elected mayor in 2011, and Dr. Klopfer’s abortion factory operated under his watch with no apparent regulatory oversight. When the 2,000 baby bodies were discovered, Buttigieg remained silent as long as he could, then said he hoped that “it doesn’t get caught up in politics.” And he continues to support a new South Bend abortion center, the local branch of a chain with a history of health and safety violations. But he did veto a rezoning decision that would have allowed a pro-life pregnancy center to open next to the abortion clinic, where they could offer alternatives for the pregnant women.

Buttigieg says he is a Christian, but he supports abortion on demand and says the Bible supports the practice. “Issues on the legality or morality of abortion are dramatically beyond my paygrade as a mayor,” he told the South Bend Tribune in 2018, but he obviously caught a pro-abortion religion of some sort when he decided to run for President in 2019. He couldn’t be a serious Democrat candidate for President without that conversion.

And there are the questions that remain, with the Leftist press uninterested in finding answers. As noted by David Mastio, deputy editorial page editor of USA Today, “How does a doctor amass enough dead bodies in his garage to do a passable imitation of a World War II mass grave? Didn’t his employees notice he was taking home baby parts? How does a story this sensational — that happens to have partly taken place in presidential candidate Pete Buttigieg’s hometown, where he’s the mayor — not get more than cursory attention from the national news media? Now there’s a question.”

Abortionists Planned Parenthood, Soros, are spending tens of millions in this election cycle

Planned Parenthood, the largest abortion lobby in the United States, is spending at least \$45 million in this election cycle to support pro-abortion candidates and defeat President Trump and the Republicans everywhere. They spent \$30 million in 2018 and helped the Democrats capture the House of Representatives, so they are increasing their flood of campaign money by 50% this year.

Their goal is to defeat President Trump, help Democrats take control of the GOP-controlled Senate, and bolster the Democrat majority in the House. They are focusing on roughly 5 million voters in nine key swing states: Arizona, Colorado, Florida, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin.

But even if you live in another state, you can expect to see the abortion lobby spending enormous sums to advance its deadly cause. In Virginia, for example, far-left billionaire George Soros gave Planned Parenthood Virginia \$350,000—its largest outside contribution ever—ahead of the state’s November 2018. That money helped the Democrats capture the state legislature.

And that’s just Planned Parenthood and Soros. Other major sources of abortion funding in 2020 include billionaire Michael Bloomberg, billionaire Tom Steyer, the Senate Majority PAC, Priorities USA, Emily’s List. Will their tens of millions of dollars defeat the majority of Americans who are pro-life? The answer to that begins with you.

Radical Democrats are fronting for serial killers

The radicalized Democrat Party allows no dissent for its officeholders and spokesmen: they must be pro-abortion, with no restrictive exceptions—even partial birth abortion must be allowed. Hospitals, clinics, and their workers must be forced to accept abortions at their institutions, no matter what religious objections they may have. Employers must be required to provide free insurance coverage for contraception to their female workers. And dissenting taxpayers must similarly be forced to pay for abortions through government support to Planned Parenthood and similar abortion providers.

This pro-abortion fervor was the main motivation for the ugly and often violent hysteria shown against Judge Brett Kavanaugh and his supporters during his Supreme Court confirmation hearings. The Democrats and their leftist allies wanted a Supreme Court that would guarantee no roadblocks to their radical abortion agenda.

The movie you must see immediately:

“Gosnell: The Trial of America’s Biggest Serial Killer”

This movie is a riveting trial drama that exposes the dark truth about the abortion industry. In the dramatic style of programs like “Law and Order” it tells the story of Dr. Keith Gosnell’s horrendous abortion clinic in Philadelphia.

From the Grand Jury’s report:

“This case is about a doctor who killed babies and endangered women. What we mean is that he regularly and illegally delivered live, viable babies in the third trimester of pregnancy - and then

murdered these newborns by severing their spinal cords with scissors....The medical practice by which he carried out this business was a filthy fraud in which he overdosed his patients with dangerous drugs, spread venereal disease among them with infected instruments, perforated their wombs and bowels - and, on at least two occasions, caused their deaths.”

The “mainstream,” pro-abortion media did their best to cover up this trial and Gosnell’s conviction. But the movie is available on Amazon and other sites. Use it as a tool to motivate pro-lifers to vote in November.

It’s the leading cause of death for African Americans—and the radical Left and Democrats support it



This infographic from Live Action, a pro-life advocacy group, gives the raw numbers that show how abortion is the leading cause of death in the U.S. black community. Indeed, abortion causes the deaths of more African Americans than the next nine causes of death *combined*.

We see stories and TV coverage all the time about blacks being killed by violence—and that’s tragic. But those numbers pale in comparison to the number of blacks killed by abortion. Why are there no stories about that? Possibly because the radical Left and its advocates in the “mainstream” media are fully complicit in the crime, backing abortion on demand, and now backing partial birth abortion and even the genocide of babies that somehow survive abortion and are alive. (See our story about a top abortionist who tells how Planned Parenthood kills live babies.)

But the raw numbers—horrific although they may be—are only part of the story. Population statistics show how African American mothers are targeted by the abortion industry.

According to the 2010 Census and Centers for Disease Control (CDC), black women and girls account for just 14% of the U.S. female population (ages 15-44). But 36% of abortions are performed on black women. At the same time, white women account for 58% of that female population, but the percentage of abortions performed on white women is virtually the same—37%. This means that black abortion rates are 3.6 times higher than white abortion rates.

(Latino women constitute 19% of the female population ages 15-44 and 18.5% of abortions.)

2010 Census data reveal how Planned Parenthood targets minority neighborhoods: 79% of their surgical abortion facilities are located within walking distance of African American and Latino neighborhoods. The very radicals and Democrats who routinely denounce conservatives as “racists” are in fact responsible for this black genocide by their unconditional backing of abortion on demand and taxpayer subsidies to Planned Parenthood.

Black babies matter: So why does the NAACP support Planned Parenthood’s black genocide?

Rev. Clenard Childress is a passionate African American pro-life advocate. He calls out the NAACP for supporting Planned Parenthood, which continues in the footsteps of its founder Margaret Sanger, a noted eugenicist. We would extend that challenge to all of the radical Left-new Democrat coalition supporting Planned Parenthood.

Here’s Rev. Childress on Margaret Sanger:

“The documentary Maafa 21 empirically proves Margaret Sanger collaborated with the Nazi regime and corresponded regularly. Adolf Hitler commended and thanked her for her guidance in their eugenic implementation in Germany leading to the Final Solution and ultimately the Holocaust where Blacks, Jews and Gypsies were deemed inferior and targeted for sterilization and death in concentration camps. As well as collaborating with the Germans, Margaret Sanger also had the distinction of speaking over a dozen times at Ku Klux Klan rallies in the United States, and while she was speaking at these Klan rallies, the Klan were at

the same time actively lynching African Americans.”

In the same essay, Rev. Childress gives five “undeniable and inconvenient truths” about abortion and African Americans (emphasis added):

“#1 – 1,786 African American children are killed each day by abortion.

“#2 – Abortion is systemic and deliberate, and the leading provider of abortion in America is Planned Parenthood.

“#3 – 52% of all African American pregnancies end in abortion.

“#4 – Abortion is the most performed operation on a woman. It remains the least regulated medical procedure and is often completely ignored by health regulation enforcement.

“#5 – Since the beginning of the eugenic plot to fraudulently produce the case of “Roe VS Wade” to the Supreme Court in 1973—where ironically **five white males** claimed “...they didn’t know where life began...”—a holocaust where over 20 million African American children have been systematically annihilated by abortion and thus denied access to the American dream” has occurred.

Even rapper and declared “Birthday Party” presidential candidate Kanye West has taken up the pro-life, anti-black genocide mantle with the since-viral video release of his emotional testimony about almost aborting his firstborn child, and about his mother’s choice to not abort him. In both cases, his own and his father’s, they were reluctant to become fathers, but the mothers carrying the children fought for the lives of their unborn offspring.

“My dad wanted to abort me. My mom saved my life. There would’ve been no Kanye West because my dad was too busy,” said West through tears. “I almost killed my daughter!”

“I cried at the thought of aborting my firstborn and everyone was so concerned about me,” the 43-year old West wrote. “I’m concerned for the world that feels you shouldn’t cry about this subject.”

America’s newspapers overwhelmingly favor abortion over life

A survey by the *Washington Free Beacon* shows that American newspapers overwhelmingly favor abortion on demand over pro-life measures. It’s not even close.

In its survey the *Augusta Chronicle* in Georgia was the only paper to endorse a bill restricting abortion when a human heartbeat is detectable, while 21 newspapers from 12 different states opposed heartbeat bills. These were scheduled to go into effect in Georgia and Missouri in 2019, and Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Dakota, and Ohio have also signed heartbeat bills into law. Alabama has banned abortion in all stages of pregnancy, except when the mother’s life is in danger.

While pro-life bills are passing in many states across the heartland, big-population states controlled by Democrats have passed legislation guaranteeing abortion up until birth. Newspapers have largely ignored these bills, but when they've taken a position it has been by a five-to-one margin in favor.

Major newspapers with large national circulations are largely pro-abortion, including the *Washington Post*, *New York Times*, *New York Daily News*, and *Los Angeles Times*.

At the pro-life *Augusta Chronicle*, editorial page editor Joe Hotchkiss says local news sources reflect the beliefs of readers better than these national outlets. "I think generally that smaller local media do a better job in maintaining objectivity in straight news coverage, and keeping opinions clearly separate," he adds. "On the national level, it appears more difficult. Objectivity doesn't seem to draw huge audiences, but partisanship does."

For decades, America's journalism schools have indoctrinated their students with a radical, far-left bias. As these graduates filled vacancies at newspapers, "news" coverage reflected that bias. Now even the editorial boards reflect that slant. Without the Internet and social media, Americans would receive a slant in only one direction—left, further left, and farthest left.

Chapter 16:

Conclusion

by Richard A. Viguerie

This book clearly demonstrates that the New Democrats are not the party of JFK, Jimmy Carter, or even Bill Clinton.

The Democrat Party has been captured by people who are anti-God and anti-America. In fact, many Democrats reject all religions and hate America. In a short period of time, America must decide between two very different ideas:

A free America that's the greatest country in world history and is always improving.

or

The New Democrats' America that's anti-God, anti-capitalism, and anti-America, where the government is all-powerful, giving and taking from the people whatever the ruling elite desire.

Unfortunately, most Americans fall into the category that Rush Limbaugh calls "low-information voters". It's not that they are dumb, it's just that they have low information. They are primarily focused on their family, work, schools, hobbies, community, church, etc.

With what little time they have to keep up with politics, government, and public policy issues, they generally get their information from mainstream network television (CBS, ABC, NBC), which are part of the vast Democrat propaganda/indoctrination machine.

Unfortunately, the opposition party—the Republicans—are weak and ineffective campaigners. Most are AWOL in the field of battle for America's survival.

Make no mistake, this is not only a battle, it's a war. Actually, as the political scientist Angelo Codevilla and others say, "We're in a cold civil war." In fact, the Left is busy turning a cold civil war into a hot one by burning our cities and destroying private and government property, and are met with only silence and/or encouragement from local, state, and national Democrat politicians.

However, there is a way forward that leads to saving America and defeating the Marxists. To paraphrase the cartoonist Walt Kelly, whose comic strip character, Pogo, famously said, "We've met the enemy, and it is us." We have met our new leader, someone who has the ability and the power to save America—and it is you.

If you, me, and 1,000,000 other grassroots Americans quickly begin to share information, including campaign material, with your family, friends, neighbors, and others about how the New Democrats are mean, evil, violent, open-borders, anti-God, anti-American, elite Marxists, whose policies and plans will turn America into a third-world, one-party, Marxist dictatorship—we can save America.

President Trump is working hard, but as one person he can do just so much. So, it's time for you and 1,000,000 others to provide the leadership that most Republican politicians have failed to do.

Some may be asking, how do I find the time, the energy, or the words to be effective?

Of course, as to who can save America—the correct answer is God.

As my friend, former Ohio Congressman Bob McEwen said a few days after the 2016 presidential election, "If you can't see the hand of God in this election, you're deaf, dumb, and blind." Amen.

A short, daily prayer could be, "Thank you, Lord, for the blessings of liberty and freedom we enjoy in America. We pray that you will be with President Trump and his family and guide him as he leads America. I also pray that I will have the energy, time, ideas, and words to be an instrument of Thy will to help others understand the importance of this election."

Here are a few recommendations to get started:

Go to [FedUpPAC.org](https://www.feduppac.org), sign up to be a member of the Conservative Paul Revere (CPR) Riders—it's always free. You'll find a list of many free items that you can download and pass onto others.

Forward to all on your e-list items from our website, FedUpPAC.org, especially my memo to all Americans, It's the Ballgame America – Are You Acting Like It? This memo explains exactly the dangers we face and how to overcome them.

Download the book [Mean, Evil, and Violent](#) and pass it onto others. You can also download or forward just a chapter or two at www.MeanAndEvil.com.

Sign the petition to Republican leaders in Congress and the GOP national committees expressing your disappointment in their failure to defend President Trump and their silence as the New Democrats declare war on all who oppose their plan to radically transform America into a Marxist dictatorship.

If you are able to make a donation to help us quickly grow the CPR file to 1,000,000 and produce new and more campaign material, such as videos, pamphlets, pocket cards, etc., please go to FedUpPAC.org and be as generous as you can. Remember, early voting starts in a few weeks, and we must quickly educate low-information voters, particularly in 17 key battleground states.

One last thing...there are almost certainly members of your family, friends, neighbors, co-workers, and members of your church, who are not registered to vote. Even though they share your views and values, they will not be able to vote on November 3rd unless they're registered. Spend time now to check with them, and if they're not registered to vote, push/urge them to get registered.

For trivia questions, additional chapters, and the entire <i>Mean & Evil</i> book you can download or share for free with friends, family, and neighbors, please visit www.MeanandEvil.com .

Paid for by FedUp PAC
www.FedUpPAC.org

Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.